

## Competition Shadow relation with Organizational Learning:

By

<sup>1</sup>Kamran Naeem, <sup>2</sup>Jahanvash Karim, <sup>3</sup>Amjad Khan,

### Abstract:

*The paper predicts the relationship between organizational learning and competition shadow. Competition shadow has a high level of uncertainty in market specially firms associated with technology and such uncertainty causes anxiety, a situation in which firm are in panic due to very high pressure of do or die, as the competition is cut throat competition, in such circumstances it is predicted that high organizational learning will occur which will equip individual not only to achieve higher performance in future but will help them to tackle ongoing competition shadow. Therefore, competition shadow and organizational learning are perceived to have effect on each other. Through archival research from books, journals and researches is been done to develop proposition for empirical testing for future research.*

**Keywords:** Competition Shadow, Organizational Learning, High Performance Firms

### Introduction:

Winning or losing against single or multiple competitors, either an organization will have immense reputation or now the arcade alongside single before different contestants. Not completely contestants remain indistinguishable nor entirely can aster remain competitors. Be that as it may, profoundly focused condition make adversaries and make rivalry mentally vital and it plays with the nerves of people associated with such

---

<sup>1</sup>Ph.D. Scholar Department of IMS University of Balochistan Quetta Pakistan  
Email: kamrannkk@hotmail.com

<sup>2</sup>Dr. Jahanvash Karim Associate Professor Department of IMS University of Balochistan Quetta Pakistan Email: J\_vash@hotmail.com

<sup>3</sup>University of Balochistan Quetta Pakistan. Email: amjadkk90@yahoo.com

rivalry, which impacts inspiration as well as give a stage to figure out how to individuals and associations.

it also improves the performance of these individuals and firms working under competition shadow, because the competition in which they are is the way for life and it is the life, if they fail in competition they have to leave the market with losses and if they win they can make profits and can think of the survival in future with better strategies, goals and performance.

The concept of organizational learning has been emphatically tested with performance and it is observed that with improved organizational learning organizations achieve higher performance. Competition shadow which is a state of a very stiff competition in which competitors are unaware of their exact targets. Competitors are in completely uncertain environment where they are forced by the pressure of competition to learn more as an individual and as an organization, eventually tend to learn more which helps them in taking difficult decisions in market from where they learn.

### **Organizational Learning:**

A requirement for continued existence and development during a period of consistent alter can compel associations to discover a situation that will empower them to adapt to the novel circumstance in the earth. It is discovered that scan for such a situation drives associations to ceaselessly gain from their inside and outside situations (Crossan and Bedrow 2003; Vera and Crossan 2003; Bapuji and Crossan 2004; Jansen, Vera et al. 2009). The requirement for ceaseless wisdom prompts the hierarchical learning idea, the same number of analysts have recommended, as a method for making progress in turbulent circumstances (Avlonitis and Salavou 2007; Akhavan and Jafari 2008 ;). A hierarchical capacity to constantly gain, disperse, endeavor and store pertinent information as a procedure of authoritative learning is pivotal for the association's better execution. Various scholastics and masters have prescribed that progressive learning as a method of consistent data acquirement; dispersal and abuse numerous advance the force of an affiliation (Alvarez Gil 1999; Vera and Crossan2004; Jansen, Vera et al. 2009; Jyothibabu, Pradhan et al. 2011). Thusly, Chang and Lee (2007) have communicated that associations with a wisdom capacity can get a high ground. But definitive wisdom has been ensured to be basic for an affiliation's force and existence, exploratory research on various leveled wisdom is up 'til now required (Elliott, Crossan, Maurer et al. 2011).

Additional observational vocation is expected to clear up wording, builds and measurements of hierarchical learning and in addition authoritative learning forerunners and results (Crossan, Maurer et al. 2011). By and large, past scientists have conceptualized hierarchical learning as a consistent procedure to gain, scatter and endeavor data and information in an association (Crossan, Path et al. 1995; Bontis, Crossan et al. 2002; Crossan and Bedrow 2003; Jung, Chow et al. 2003; Bapuji and Crossan 2004; Berson, Nemanich et al. 2006; Argote 2011; Crossan, Maurer et al. 2011). This idea has been utilized as a part of building a measure of authoritative learning by various analysts. Hierarchical level reasoning in administration groups in the vast majority of organizations is extensively underneath the individual administrator's abilities. (De Geus 1988).

Learning in association is an advancing methodology in which affiliation get contribution and rolls out learning and makes incessant improvement to stay in exceedingly engaged markets. Legitimate learning "includes the procedure through which authoritative units e.g. gatherings, offices, divisions change because of experience. Hierarchical learning occurs as an element of know-how inside an association and enables the organization to remain aggressive in a consistently embryonic situation. Hierarchical learning is a procedure alter that can build ability, precision, and advantages. Authoritative Learning gets ready individuals perceive inventive contemplations, change of new advancement, quick improvement, fundamental administration in sudden condition.

### **Competition Shadow:**

Present day business condition requests multi-objective introduction. Idea of rivalry shadow is to be sure enlivened from social hypothesis of firm (Cyert and Walk, 1963) and the hypothesis had its sub hypothesis as Benefit hypothesis is not any more a substantial measure of authoritative execution nor are alternate methodologies that mull over just the interests of investors (proprietors) of an organization nor the fundamental hypothesis its self is a legitimate measure for firms. The cutting edge business condition is portrayed by expanded significance and quality of clients, workers and society all in all. As of now the conduct hypothesis of an organization (Cyert and Walk, 1963) has perceived the organization as a coalition of people or gatherings of people, for example, administration, workers, clients, proprietors, government and so on yet has done nothing to acquaint this assertion with hierarchical execution appraisal. Other than monetary execution (FP), non-money related execution (NFP) should likewise be surveyed

keeping in mind the end goal to assess the general authoritative execution of a cutting edge organization.

"Ecological equivocality has for some time been perceived as a vital variable in the clarification of association harmony and execution." (Walk, J.G. also, H.A. Simon, 1958).

In conditions of industry advancement, new section for the most part brings additional point of confinement. Regardless, same level of firm achievement is difficult to be overseen as time goes on. Nonappearance of partition amid the things or organizations accessible between contenders, or squat customer trading price may back off the business improvement rate. In such conditions, centered dispute among contenders is likely going to augment as firm's policy their future attempting to either keep up or make better their present use. (Porter, 1979)

Threat, which creates the climate of rivalry is valuable for the affiliation yet genuine competition makes uneasiness; the engaged pressure impacts the designation of the advantages in view of the forceful direct advised of a dark and creating risk. Contest with repeating correspondence may hand over to dispute where before affiliation is hub, with related properties in choosing forceful direct. Centered rivalry will upgrade the stage of incentive and may incite leaving from fiscally sensible lead. (Kilduff, 2010)

Organizations now days are in a silhouette compose condition where they are not certain about the resulting stage yet rather they are constrained to fight and this is recognized as contention shadow. Contest shadow illuminates how boss face and handle contention shadow; it is especially elucidated for front line firms. "Centered shadow (CS) incorporates seeing that firm has ungainliness amid its capacity and insist from nature. In like manner it is named as transcendently a psychological situation in which firm feels the cumbersomeness among capacity and required is known as "competition shadow" (CS)". (K. Naeem, 2018).

Kruger and Gilovich (1999) clarify that as administrators convey their own particular perceptual inclination to organization when they deciding. Thusly, undertakings' natural examination subject to an insightful implicit inclination. "Nearness of a CS and individual characteristics syndicate to deliver a predisposition in the leader's outlook"

In the non-attendance of finish aggressive data, administrators regularly misrepresent the determination of their adversaries in emergency circumstances, happens in any case, if thought of their opponents' past activities would have prompted a more precise estimation.

Key inquiries, for example, who are boss contenders of the firm and how much rivalry firm faces with every contender are been gone to by aggressive progression.

"Aggressive progression, which makes individual focused move as the point of convergence of examination, has analyzed indicators and impacts of between firm competitions." (Chen and MacMillan, 1992; Ferrier, 2001)

Kruger and Gilovich (1999) clarifies that as administrators convey their own perceptual inclination to firm when they deciding. Hence ventures' ecological examination is liable to a genuine worked in predisposition. "Nearness of a CS and individual attributes consolidate to create a predisposition in the chief's outlook "Today business environment has become very uncertain due to the rapid and unexpected changes happening in it. This uncertainty carries a lot of implications for the organization. As organizations consists of humans and humans have to deal these issues. In such an environment no one exactly knows how to deal with such matters because of the lack of information due to these events. These events have to be dealt because they can have important consequences for the organizations. So these uncertain events cannot be ignored because of their importance. We hear a lot about successful business which face huge loss due to the emergence of unknown competitors which are behind the scenes. On 25<sup>th</sup> Feb 2016 kohl's store announced to close its 20 underperforming stores. The CEO in his press conference held responsible the online shopping as a cause to close the stores. So the online stores are a type of uncertain situation for kohl's store management. Because it doesn't know that which online store is operating in which area and which strategy it is implementing that has effected its business.

Similarly, an Indian firm launched a cellular phone in 2015 with its shape resembled to that of Apple iPhone 4 and its price is \$ 3.67. The firm claimed that it is the world cheapest mobile phone and a huge demand to buy the phone has been observed; 600,000 hits per second on company's website. This is now an alarming situation for other well-known cellular company.

The above two examples show that huge and big companies face uncertain competition especially in the form of small and unseen

businesses which cannot be ignored. Because they can be a threat to the very existence of the big businesses.

### **Proposition and Discussion:**

Archival Research method has been used for in which books and journals are used to describe the propositions and their relationship. From different sources the related information is collected and understood, details of all these sources are given in reference section.

Organization learning (OL) happens in all associations and it's all activities with unpredictable speed. The primary objective of the Association learning is to adjust the progressions which happen in nature and to change under questionable conditions and to build viability and proficiency. To keep upper hand associations, need to embrace the quicken the market and need to keep a similar pace in Association adapting course in understanding nature and its aggressive elements, to pursue the heaps of the market.

### **Variables influencing Hierarchical Learning**

Four logical components influence the likelihood that learning will happen:

1. Corporate culture urging to learning,
2. Strategy that grants flexibility,
3. Organizational building that permits both imaginativeness and novel experiences, and
4. Environment.

These have a round association with learning in that they make and fortify learning and are made by learning. The ecological setting incorporates parts outside the bounds of the association, as an example, contenders, customers, instructive foundations, and governments.

Nature will shift on varied measurements, as an example, instability, vulnerability, link, and benevolence. The ecological setting influences the expertise the association gets. Requests for things or solicitations for administrations enter the association from the planet. For example, a doctor's facility crisis unit in one space would get varied forms of patients than a crisis unit in another space, that serves a network with varied qualities. The class-conscious setting incorporates attributes

of the association, as an example, its structure, culture, innovation, character, memory, goals, motivators. Since hierarchical learning as a procedure of information procurement, spread and abuse needs to happen day by day in an authoritative setting to be successful, the procedure needs particular hierarchical situation that empower the procedure (Crossan and Bedrow 2003; Berson, Nemanich et al. 2006; Garcia-Spirits, Llorens-Montes et al. 2006). A main specialist in the field of authoritative learning state "the capacity to learn quicker than your rivals might be the main maintainable upper hand" (De Geus, 1988). For associations in the learning has the basic effect. Through learning, associations adjust to ecological imperatives, stay away from the reiteration of past missteps and hold basic information that may somehow or another be lost. As the rate of learning turns into a more basic component in increasing upper hand, it is by and large perceived that associations must turn out to be more "purposeful" about their own particular learning forms. A considerable lot of the basic issues our associations confront are issues of learning. The capacity to execute a vital change, to react to an aggressive test, to move basic information crosswise over divisional limits all are firmly attached to the association's capacity to learn.

In innovation and business condition, to meet the quick changes in showcase, constant learning of work-based action for information in a dynamic commercial center, exceptional rivalry, an ever increasing number of clients' requests, and effectively imitable upper hand; is viewed as trigger for development in items or procedures. Therefore, the adapting needs of representatives and rapidly outdated information drive the organization to keep up its intensity need to overlook. Most analysts concur with characterizing hierarchical learning as a modification in the affiliation's data that occurs as a part of experience (e.g., Fiol and Lyles, 1985).

Erudition is viewed as basic for each association to make due in mind boggling and dubious situations (e.g. Giles and Hargreaves, 2006). Today, there is by all accounts small addressing regarding whether associations can learn and provided that this is true, what are the advantages of such learning for the long haul survival of the association (Friedman., 2005). An investigation of the writing, particularly writing from the previous decade, uncovers that authoritative learning (OL) is viewed as a "central idea in hierarchical hypothesis" (Arthur and Aiman-Smith, 2002) and has turned into a basic worry for associations and administrators. Keeping in mind the end goal to acclimate to the changing condition and settle on suitable key decisions, associations need to wind up mindful of on-going natural changes (Lobby and Saias, 1989) and understand the earth (Dumb and Weick, 1984; Weick, 1996).

### **Suggestions:**

1: Authoritative Learning has negative impact on mental tension created by Rivalry Shadow.

2; Our translation of authoritative learning expands on three traditional comments drawn from social instructions of associations. The initial is that conduct in an association depends on traditions (Cyert and March 1963, Nelson and Winter 1982). Activity comes from a rationale of fittingness or authority more than from a rationale of significance or goal. It includes coordinating strategies to circumstances more than it does scheming decisions. The second perception is that authoritative activities are olden-time-subordinate (Lindblom 1959). Schedules depend on understandings of the previous than expectations without bounds.

They adapt to expertise incrementally in response to feedback concerning outcomes.

Their behavior depends on the relation between the outcomes they spot and therefore the aspirations they need for those outcome grafter distinctions square measure created between success and failure than among gradations of either.

Among such a context organizations square measure seen as learning by cryptography inferences from history into routines that guide behavior.

Taking an activity approach, alternative researchers have targeted on data embedded in practices or routines and viewed changes in them as reflective of changes in data.

“Organizations learn by encoding on routine from the historical inferences which guides their behavior” (Levitt & March, 1988; Miner & Haunschild, 1995). “Organizations learn through their routine which they repeat continuously and increase organizational efficiency and reduce production cost” (Porter, 1985)

The resource-based scan of the firm suggests that positive competitive outcomes are largely thanks to organizations’ individual resources (Barney, 1991; Peteraf, 1993; Wernerfelt, 1984).

Organizational leaders and researchers have united that the flexibility to effectively manage info inside the firm has turned important as a result of it helps in gaining a competitive advantage.

An equivalent has been discovered by many marketers as a supply important creation, rather than a price (Samuelson, 1998).



“Organizational learning is one process that plays an important role in enhancing a firm’s capabilities and competitive advantage” (Grant; Lei, Hitt, and Bettis, 1996).

Organizational learning is a vital topic of dialogue trendy management literature.

It’s turned mutually of the foremost effective ideas in strategic management.

For the property competitive advantage organizations need to learn quicker than the competitors. (De Geus, 1988).

Despite importance of OL for strategic management, OL is however to be conceptualized. The processes associated with OL that square measure instrumental for effective structure performance and competitive advantage square measure however to be explored. The flexibility to be told quicker than your competitors is also the sole property competitive advantage. (De Geus 1988). “Studies mainly relating to the impact of Organizational Learning and focuses mainly on sustainable competitive advantage.” “The idea that an organization’s ability to learn faster than its competitors, is the actual competitive advantage which they can sustain” (Lindley and Wheeler, 2001). “In addition to durable competitive advantage, is associated with a higher level of organizational learning competencies” (Chaston et al., 2001).

Market leader isn't certain WHO is following them with what speed and also the followers try to urge him however they're unsure wherever the market leader can take flip or twist, target may be a moving one. The destination is such scenario isn't stable for the corporations and it moves over time; corporations don't seem to be certain that trend to follow and who else is following constant trend. for instance, if a footballer is meant to form a goal, whereas the position of the goal on goal posts might modification at anytime and anywhere within the ground.

Once player can get the ball and check out to maneuver towards goal of opponents, the player ought to save himself from the attacks of opponent team player and ought to observe the new position of goal ceaselessly on changes within the position of goal; solely then he will build a goal to happen. Therefore, organizations are required to continuously understand the market trend and have to grasp the changes in the market so that any change can be understood beforehand or on time for which again organizations feel the need to learn. Because for reducing uncertainty they have to learn therefore intense form or uncertainty will force organization to learn faster.

***Proposition 2: Competition Shadow has positive effect on Organizational Learning.***

**Discussion:**

Today we are in growing market place with competitive setting characterized by globalization, greater intricacy rapid alter and rapid changing technology; which enforces the need for flexibility and differentiation for which complex market dynamics are understood. in order to survive in such markets, it is essential for the organizations to innovate and take in new wisdom for which they opt to spend in firm learning practices by developing technical infrastructures that allow the retrieval and distribution of knowledge while at the same time the firm concentrates on developing strategy to coup up the intense competition in market where organization is operating. Therefore, the importance of organizational learning for business organizations has increased. Research described the relation of Competition shadow with Organizational learning. Competition shadow is a term on which studies are not yet being done therefore it is one of the major contributions of the research. Researchers have shown some relations of uncertain state of organization with organizational learning but competition shadow is never explained or associated with organizational learning. Whereas in this relationship it is explained as two-way relation in which both the variables effect each other and bring improvement in the position of organizations where they are standing.

## References:

- Akhavan, Peyman and Mostaf Jafari (2008), Towards learning in SMEs: an empirical study in Iran, *Development and learning in organizations*, 22(1): 17-19.
- Álvarez Gil, M. J., & González de la Fe, P. (1999). Strategic alliances, organisational learning and new product development: the cases of Rover and Seat. *R&D Management*, 29(4), 423-426.
- Avlonitis, George J., and Helen E. Salavou. "Entrepreneurial orientation of SMEs, product innovativeness, and performance." *Journal of Business Research* 60.5 (2007): 566-575.
- Argote, L. 2011. Organizational learning research: past, present and future. *Management Learning*, 1-8.
- Arthur, J.B. and Aiman-Smith, L. (2002), "Gain sharing and organizational learning: an analysis of employee suggestions over time", *Academy of Management Journal*, Vol. 44 No. 4, pp. 737-54.
- Bapuji, H., & Crossan, M. (2004). From questions to answers: reviewing organizational learning research. *Management Learning*, 35(4), 397-417
- Barney, Jay. "Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage." *Journal of management* 17.1 (1991): 99-120.
- Berson, Y., Nemanich, L. A., Waldman, D. A., Galvin, B. M., & Keller, R. T. 2006. Leadership and organizational learning: a multiple level perspective. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 17(6): 577-594.
- Bontis, N., Crossan, M. M., & Hulland, J. (2002). Managing an organizational learning system by aligning stocks and flows. *Journal of management studies*, 39(4), 437-469.
- Chaston, I., B. Badger and E. Sadler-Smith. 2001. Organizational learning style, competencies and learning systems in small UK manufacturing firms, *International Journal of Operations and Production Management* 21(11), 1417-1432
- Chen, M. J., & MacMillan, I. C. (1992). Nonresponse and delayed response to competitive moves: The roles of competitor

- dependence and action irreversibility. *Academy of Management Journal*, 35(3), 539-570.
- Crossan, M. M., & Bedrow, I. 2003. Organizational learning and strategic renewal. *Strategic Management Journal*, 24(11): 1087-1105.
- Crossan, M. M., Lane, H. W., White, R. E., & Djurfeldt, L. (1995). Organizational learning: Dimensions for a theory. *The international journal of organizational analysis*, 3(4), 337-360.
- Crossan, M. M., Maurer, C. C., & White, R. E. (2011). Reflections on the 2009 AMR decade award: do we have a theory of organizational learning? *Academy of Management Review*, 36(3), 446-460.
- Cyert, R. M., & March, J. G. (1963). A behavioral theory of the firm. *Englewood Cliffs, NJ*, 2.
- Daft, R.L. and Weick, K.E. (1984), "Toward a model of organizations as interpretation systems", *Academy of Management Review*, Vol. 9 No. 2, pp. 284-95.
- De Geus, A.P. 1988. Planning as learning, *Harvard Business Review*, March-April, 70-74
- Fiol, C.M. and M.A. Lyles. 1985. Organizational learning, *Academy of Management Review* 10(4), 803-813
- Friedman, V.J., Lipshitz, R. and Popper, M. (2005), "The mystification of organizational learning",
- García-Morales, V. J., Lopez-Martín, F. J., & Llamas-Sánchez, R. 2006. Strategic factors and barriers for promoting educational organizational learning. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 22(4): 478-502.
- Giles, C. and Hargreaves, A. (2006), "The sustainability of schools as learning organizations and professional learning communities during standard-based reform, Vol. 42 No. 1, pp. 124-56.", *Educational Administration Quarterly*
- Hall, D.J. and Saias, M.A. (1989), "Strategy follows structure!", *Strategic Management Journal*, Vol. 1, pp. 149-63.

- Hitt, Michael A., et al. "The market for corporate control and firm innovation." *Academy of management journal* 39.5 (1996): 1084-1119.
- Jansen J J, Vera D, Crossan M, et al. Strategic leadership for exploration and exploitation: The moderating role of environmental dynamism[J]. *Leadership Quarterly*, 2009, 20(1): 5-18
- Jung, D. I., Chow, C., & Wu, A. (2003). The role of transformational leadership in enhancing organizational innovation: Hypotheses and some preliminary findings. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 14(4), 525-544.
- Jyothibabu, C., Pradhan, B. B., & Farooq, A. (2011). Organisational learning and performance—an empirical study. *International Journal of Learning and Change*, 5(1), 68-83.
- Jyothibabu, C., Farooq, A., & Bhusan Pradhan, B. (2010). An integrated scale for measuring an organizational learning system. *The Learning Organization*, 17(4), 303-327.
- Kilduff, G. J., Elfenbein, H. A., & Staw, B. M. (2010). The psychology of rivalry: A relationally dependent analysis of competition. *Academy of Management Journal*, 53(5), 943-969.
- Kruger, J., & Gilovich, T. (1999). " Naive cynicism" in everyday theories of responsibility assessment: On biased assumptions of bias. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 76(5), 743.
- Lindblom, C. E. (1959). The science of" muddling through". *Public administration review*, 79-88.
- Lindley, E. and F. Wheeler. 2001. Using the learning square, *The Learning Organization*, 8(3/4), 114-123.
- Levitt, B., & March, J. G. (1988). Organizational learning. *Annual review of sociology*, 14(1), 319-338.
- March, J.G. and H.A. Simon, *Organizations*. 1958
- Miner, A. S., & Haunschild, P. R. (1995). Population-Level Learning. *Research in Organizational Behavior: An Annual Series of Analytical Essays and Critical Reviews*, Vol 17, 1995, 17, 115-166.

- Nelson, R. R., & Sidney, G. (1982). Winter. 1982. An evolutionary theory of economic change, 929-964
- Peteraf, M. A. (1993). The cornerstones of competitive advantage: A resource-based view. *Strategic management journal*, 14(3), 179-191.
- Porter, ME (1980). *Competitive Strategy*. New York: The Free Press
- Samplir, Jeffrey L. "Redefining industry structure for the information age." *Strategic Management Journal* 19.4 (1998): 343-355.
- Schlagwein, D., & Bjørn-Andersen, N. (2014). Organizational learning with crowd sourcing: The revelatory case of LEGO. *Journal of the Association for Information Systems*, 15(11), 754.
- Wernerfelt, Birger. "A resource-based view of the firm." *Strategic management journal* 5.2 (1984): 171-180.
- Won Lee, C., Kwon, I. W. G., & Severance, D. (2007). Relationship between supply chain performance and degree of linkage among supplier, internal integration, and customer. *Supply chain management: An International journal*, 12(6), 444-452.
- Vera, D./Crossan, M. (2004): Strategic Leadership and Organizational Learning. *Academy of Management Review*, 29, 2, 222-240