

Punjab University
Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 1016-2526)
Vol. 51(8)(2019) pp. 87-109

Some Generalized Contractive Mappings in Generalized Spaces

Maliha Rashid^{*1}, Lariab Shahid²

^{1,2} Department of Mathematics & Statistics, International Islamic University, H-10,
Islamabad, Pakistan.

Email: maliha.rashid@iiu.edu.pk¹, laraib246@hotmail.com²

Received: 08 November, 2018 / Accepted: 03 March, 2019 / Published online: 01 July,
2019

Abstract. In the following article we have introduced generalized multivalued α - ψ -contractive type mappings and multi-valued (θ, L) -weakly contractive mappings in \mathcal{G} -metric spaces and have established common fixed point results for the concerned contractive mappings. We have also proved some coincidence point theorems for multivalued \mathcal{G} - α -admissible mappings and relations. Some examples are also included in the support of these results. Our results can not be derived directly from fixed point theorems on metric or quasimetric spaces as discussed by Jleli *et al.* in [21] and Azam *et al.* in [9]. An interesting application is also added to evaluate the solution of hyperbolic differential inclusion.

AMS (MOS) Subject Classification Codes: 6S40; 47H10; 54H25

Key Words: \mathcal{G} -metric spaces, generalized contractive type mappings, fixed point results.

1. INTRODUCTION

Fixed point theory is an interdisciplinary topic which can be applied in various disciplines of mathematical sciences like game theory, mathematical economics, optimization theory, approximation theory and variational inequalities. Banach [10] in 1922, presented a contraction principle for the existence of unique fixed point. The fixed point theory (as well as Banach contraction principle) has been studied and generalized in different spaces and various fixed point theorems were developed. Using the idea of famous Banach contraction theorem, many authors have worked to find the fixed point of several contractive mappings and have also introduced several new contractions. In this regard several fixed point theorems, common fixed point theorems and coincidence results have been developed for mappings satisfying certain contractive conditions. Nadler [34] generalized Banach contraction principle to set-valued mappings by using the Hausdorff metric. After that several mathematicians deliberated various fixed point results for multi-valued contraction mappings [16,17,18,19,29,37,38,41].

Alber and Guerre [2] in 1997, presented concept of weak contraction . Berinde in 2004 [11], introduced the concept of (θ, L) -weak contraction and multi-valued (θ, L) -weak contraction [12] and extended the idea of fixed point theory to multi-valued mappings satisfying the related contraction. Samet *et al.* [39], in 2012, presented a new concept of contractive mapping namely α - ψ -contractive type mapping and studied fixed point theorem for concerned contraction. With time concept of α -admissible mappings has been developed in several directions like [4,5,13,14,15,20,24,36,40].

In the perspective of generalization, mathematicians have been focusing towards new metric based structures as well as generalized contractions, in order to obtain more refined fixed point results. So, in 2006, Mustafa and Sims [28] presented a generalized version of metric spaces, namely \mathcal{G} -metric spaces and after that various fixed point results have been obtained using various contractive conditions for the concerned metric [1,6,7,22,27-32,35]. This article pursue for fixed point results of multi-valued contractive type mappings and coincidence point results for multi-valued mappings and relation in \mathcal{G} -metric spaces. In [25, 26] Khuri and Sayfy applied the fixed point schemes for the numerical solutions of initial and boundary value problems. Inspired by this an interesting application is also added to evaluate the solution of hyperbolic differential inclusion.

2. PRELIMINARIES

The following section is related to some basic concepts and results mostly from [28].

Definition 2.1. Consider $\Upsilon \neq \emptyset$ and mapping $\mathcal{G} : \Upsilon \times \Upsilon \times \Upsilon \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ satisfying subsequent axioms:

- (g1) $\mathcal{G}(\varphi, \nu, \varsigma) = 0$ if $\varphi = \nu = \varsigma$,
- (g2) $0 < \mathcal{G}(\varphi, \nu, \varsigma), \forall \varphi, \nu, \varsigma \in \Upsilon$ with $\varphi \neq \nu$,
- (g3) $\mathcal{G}(\varphi, \varphi, \nu) \leq \mathcal{G}(\varphi, \nu, \varsigma) \forall \varphi, \nu, \varsigma \in \Upsilon$ with $\varsigma \neq \nu$,
- (g4) \mathcal{G} preserves the symmetry in each variable,
- (g5) $\mathcal{G}(\varphi, \nu, \varsigma) \leq \mathcal{G}(\varphi, \varphi', \varphi') + \mathcal{G}(\varphi', \nu, \varsigma) \forall \varphi, \nu, \varsigma, \varphi' \in \Upsilon$.

Then Υ is called \mathcal{G} -metric space.

Definition 2.2. Consider Υ be a \mathcal{G} -metric space. Then the sequence $\{\varphi_\eta\}$ in Υ is:

- (i) \mathcal{G} -convergent iff for any $\gamma > 0$, there is $\varphi \in \Upsilon$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $\mathcal{G}(\varphi, \varphi_\eta, \varphi_\zeta) < \gamma, \forall \eta, \zeta \geq N$,
- (ii) \mathcal{G} -Cauchy iff for any $\gamma > 0$, there is $N \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $\mathcal{G}(\varphi_\eta, \varphi_\zeta, \varphi_\tau) < \gamma, \forall \eta, \zeta, \tau \geq N$.

Theorem 2.3. Consider Υ be a \mathcal{G} -metric space and $\{\varphi_\eta\}$ be a sequence in Υ . Consequently subsequent are equal:

- (i) $\{\varphi_\eta\}$ is \mathcal{G} -convergent to φ ,
- (ii) $\mathcal{G}(\varphi_\eta, \varphi_\eta, \varphi) \rightarrow 0$, as $\eta \rightarrow \infty$,
- (iii) $\mathcal{G}(\varphi_\eta, \varphi, \varphi) \rightarrow 0$, as $\eta \rightarrow \infty$,
- (iv) $\mathcal{G}(\varphi_\zeta, \varphi_\eta, \varphi) \rightarrow 0$, as $\zeta, \eta \rightarrow \infty$.

Proposition 2.4. Every \mathcal{G} -metric space Υ , defines a metric space $(\Upsilon, d_{\mathcal{G}})$ by $d_{\mathcal{G}}(\varphi, \nu) = \mathcal{G}(\varphi, \nu, \nu) + \mathcal{G}(\varphi, \varphi, \nu), \forall \varphi, \nu \in \Upsilon$.

Theorem 2.5. Consider Υ be a \mathcal{G} -metric space and $\{\varphi_\eta\}$ a sequence in Υ respectively. Consequently subsequent are equal:

- (i) $\{\varphi_\eta\}$ is \mathcal{G} -Cauchy.
- (ii) For every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathcal{G}(\varphi_\eta, \varphi_\zeta, \varphi_\zeta) < \varepsilon$, $\forall \eta, \zeta \geq N$.
- (iii) $\{\varphi_\eta\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in the metric space $(\Upsilon, d_{\mathcal{G}})$.

Υ will be complete if every \mathcal{G} -Cauchy sequence in Υ is a \mathcal{G} -convergent sequence in Υ .

Denote the class of all nonempty closed and bounded subsets of Υ as $F(\Upsilon)$.

Consider $H_{\mathcal{G}}(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$ be a Hausdorff \mathcal{G} -distance on $F(\Upsilon)$ [23], i.e.

$$H_{\mathcal{G}}(\check{C}, \check{D}, \check{E}) = \max\{\sup_{\varphi \in \check{C}} \mathcal{G}(\varphi, \check{D}, \check{E}), \sup_{\varphi \in \check{D}} \mathcal{G}(\varphi, \check{E}, \check{C}), \sup_{\varphi \in \check{E}} \mathcal{G}(\varphi, \check{C}, \check{D})\}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}(\varphi, \check{D}, \check{E}) &= d_{\mathcal{G}}(\varphi, \check{D}) + d_{\mathcal{G}}(\check{D}, \check{E}) + d_{\mathcal{G}}(\varphi, \check{E}) \\ d_{\mathcal{G}}(\varphi, \check{D}) &= \inf\{d_{\mathcal{G}}(\varphi, \nu) : \nu \in \check{D}\} \\ d_{\mathcal{G}}(\check{C}, \check{D}) &= \inf\{d_{\mathcal{G}}(a, b) : a \in \check{C}, b \in \check{D}\} \\ \mathcal{G}(\varphi, \nu, \check{E}) &= \inf\{\mathcal{G}(\varphi, \nu, \varsigma) : \varsigma \in \check{E}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Consider $\Gamma : \Upsilon \rightarrow 2^\Upsilon$ and $\varphi \in \Upsilon$ then φ is a fixed point of Γ if $\varphi \in \Gamma\varphi$.

Remark 2.6. Consider Υ be a \mathcal{G} -metric space, $\varphi \in \Upsilon$ and $\check{D} \subseteq \Upsilon$. For each $\nu \in \check{D}$,

$$\mathcal{G}(\varphi, \check{D}, \check{D}) \leq 6 \mathcal{G}(\varphi, \nu, \nu).$$

Lemma 2.7. ([33]) Consider Υ be a \mathcal{G} -metric space and $\check{C}, \check{D} \in F(\Upsilon)$, then for each $a \in \check{C}$:

$$\mathcal{G}(a, \check{D}, \check{D}) \leq H_{\mathcal{G}}(\check{C}, \check{D}, \check{D}).$$

Lemma 2.8. ([33]) Consider Υ be a \mathcal{G} -metric space. If $\check{C}, \check{D} \in F(\Upsilon)$ and $\varphi \in \check{C}$, then for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\nu \in \check{D}$ such that:

$$\mathcal{G}(\varphi, \nu, \nu) \leq H_{\mathcal{G}}(\check{C}, \check{D}, \check{D}) + \epsilon.$$

Definition 2.9. ([12]) Consider $\Upsilon \neq \emptyset$ and mapping $S : \Upsilon \rightarrow F(\Upsilon)$. S is said to be a multivalued (θ, L) -weak contraction if there exists two constants $\theta \in (0, 1)$ and $L \geq 0$ such that

$$H(S\varphi, S\nu) \leq \theta d(\varphi, \nu) + LD(\varphi, S\nu) \quad \text{for all } \varphi, \nu \in \Upsilon.$$

3. RESULTS

Let Ψ be the family of all nondecreasing functions $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that $\sum_{\eta=1}^{\infty} \psi^\eta(t) < \infty$ and $\psi(t) < t$, for each $t > 0$.

Definition 3.1. ([21]) Consider Υ be a \mathcal{G} -metric space, $\Gamma : \Upsilon \rightarrow \Upsilon$ be a mapping and $\alpha : \Upsilon \times \Upsilon \times \Upsilon \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. The mapping Γ will be \mathcal{G} - α -admissible if for $\varphi, \nu, \varsigma \in \Upsilon$:

$$\alpha(\varphi, \nu, \varsigma) \geq 1 \implies \alpha(\Gamma\varphi, \Gamma\nu, \Gamma\varsigma) \geq 1.$$

Definition 3.2. ([3]) Consider Υ be a \mathcal{G} -metric space, $\Gamma : \Upsilon \rightarrow \Upsilon$ be a mapping and $\alpha : \Upsilon \times \Upsilon \times \Upsilon \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. If a function $\psi \in \Psi$, such that

$$\alpha(\varphi, \nu, \varsigma) \mathcal{G}(\Gamma\varphi, \Gamma\nu, \Gamma\varsigma) \leq \psi(\mathcal{G}(\varphi, \nu, \varsigma))$$

$\forall \varphi, \nu, \varsigma \in \Upsilon$, then Γ is called \mathcal{G} - α - ψ -contractive type mapping.

Definition 3.3. Consider Υ be a \mathcal{G} -metric space, (Γ_1, Γ_2) be a pair of self mappings and $\alpha : \Upsilon \times \Upsilon \times \Upsilon \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. The pair (Γ_1, Γ_2) will be \mathcal{G} - α -admissible iff for $\varphi, \nu, \varsigma \in \Upsilon$,

$$\alpha(\varphi, \nu, \varsigma) \geq 1 \implies \alpha(\Gamma_1\varphi, \Gamma_1\nu, \Gamma_2\varsigma) \geq 1, \alpha(\Gamma_2\varphi, \Gamma_1\nu, \Gamma_1\varsigma) \geq 1.$$

Definition 3.4. Consider Υ be a \mathcal{G} -metric space, $\Gamma : \Upsilon \rightarrow F(\Upsilon)$ be a multi-valued mapping, $\alpha : \Upsilon \times \Upsilon \times \Upsilon \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. The mapping Γ is called multi-valued \mathcal{G} - α -admissible mapping iff for $\varphi_0, \nu_0, \varsigma_0 \in \Upsilon$,

$$\alpha(\varphi_0, \nu_0, \varsigma_0) \geq 1 \implies \alpha(\varphi_1, \nu_1, \varsigma_1) \geq 1, \text{ where } \varphi_1 \in \Gamma\varphi_0, \nu_1 \in \Gamma\nu_0, \varsigma_1 \in \Gamma\varsigma_0.$$

The pair (Γ_1, Γ_2) of multi-valued mappings is called multi-valued \mathcal{G} - α -admissible if for $\varphi_0, \nu_0, \varsigma_0 \in \Upsilon$, $\alpha(\varphi_0, \nu_0, \varsigma_0) \geq 1$ imply

- (i) $\alpha(\varphi_1, \nu_1, \varsigma_1) \geq 1$, whenever $\varphi_1 \in \Gamma_1\varphi_0, \nu_1 \in \Gamma_2\nu_0, \varsigma_1 \in \Gamma_2\varsigma_0$,
- (ii) $\alpha(\varphi_1, \nu_1, \varsigma_1) \geq 1$, whenever $\varphi_1 \in \Gamma_2\varphi_0, \nu_1 \in \Gamma_1\nu_0, \varsigma_1 \in \Gamma_1\varsigma_0$.

Theorem 3.5. Consider Υ be a complete \mathcal{G} -metric space, $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 : \Upsilon \rightarrow F(\Upsilon)$ be a pair of generalized multi-valued \mathcal{G} - α - ψ -contractive type mappings. Suppose $\forall \varphi, \nu, \varsigma \in \Upsilon, L \geq 0$,

$$\alpha(\varphi, \nu, \varsigma) H_{\mathcal{G}}(\Gamma_1\varphi, \Gamma_2\nu, \Gamma_2\varsigma) \leq \psi \left[\frac{1}{6} \mathcal{Y}_{i,j} \right] + L \mathcal{Z}_{i,j} \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Y}_{i,j} &= \max \left(\begin{array}{c} 6\mathcal{G}(\varphi, \nu, \varsigma), \mathcal{G}(\varphi, \Gamma_i\varphi, \Gamma_i\varphi), \mathcal{G}(\nu, \Gamma_j\nu, \Gamma_j\nu), \\ \frac{\mathcal{G}(\nu, \Gamma_i\varphi, \Gamma_i\varphi) + \mathcal{G}(\varphi, \Gamma_j\nu, \Gamma_j\nu)}{\mathcal{G}(\nu, \Gamma_j\nu, \Gamma_j\nu)[1 + \mathcal{G}(\varphi, \Gamma_i\varphi, \Gamma_i\varphi)]}, \\ \frac{1 + 6\mathcal{G}(\varphi, \nu, \varsigma)}{\mathcal{G}(\nu, \Gamma_i\varphi, \Gamma_i\varphi)[1 + \mathcal{G}(\varphi, \Gamma_j\nu, \Gamma_j\nu)]} \end{array} \right), \\ \mathcal{Z}_{i,j} &= \min \left[\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{G}(\varphi, \Gamma_i\varphi, \Gamma_i\varphi), \mathcal{G}(\nu, \Gamma_j\nu, \Gamma_j\nu), \\ \mathcal{G}(\varphi, \Gamma_j\nu, \Gamma_j\nu), \mathcal{G}(\nu, \Gamma_i\varphi, \Gamma_i\varphi) \end{array} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Also assume that $\alpha(\varphi, \nu, \nu) \geq 1 \forall \varphi, \nu \in \Upsilon$. Then there exists a common fixed point of the multi-valued mappings Γ_i and Γ_j .

Proof. Let $\varphi_0 \in \Upsilon$. As $\Gamma_1\varphi_0 \neq \varphi$ so there exists $\varphi_1 \in \Gamma_1\varphi_0$. From Lemma 2, for a nonnegative real number $k < 1$ there exists $\varphi_2 \in \Gamma_2\varphi_1$ such that

$$\mathcal{G}(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_2) \leq k H_{\mathcal{G}}(\Gamma_1\varphi_0, \Gamma_2\varphi_1, \Gamma_2\varphi_1)$$

As $\alpha(\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \varphi_1) \geq 1$, so we conclude that

$$\mathcal{G}(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_2) \leq \alpha(\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \varphi_1) H_{\mathcal{G}}(\Gamma_1\varphi_0, \Gamma_2\varphi_1, \Gamma_2\varphi_1).$$

By condition (3.1) we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_2) &\leq \psi \left[\frac{1}{6} \max \left(\begin{array}{l} 6\mathcal{G}(\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \varphi_1), \mathcal{G}(\varphi_0, \Gamma_1\varphi_0, \Gamma_1\varphi_0), \\ \mathcal{G}(\varphi_1, \Gamma_2\varphi_1, \Gamma_2\varphi_1), \\ \frac{\mathcal{G}(\varphi_1, \Gamma_1\varphi_0, \Gamma_1\varphi_0) + \mathcal{G}(\varphi_0, \Gamma_2\varphi_1, \Gamma_2\varphi_1)}{2}, \\ \frac{\mathcal{G}(\varphi_1, \Gamma_2\varphi_1, \Gamma_2\varphi_1)[1 + \mathcal{G}(\varphi_0, \Gamma_1\varphi_0, \Gamma_1\varphi_0)]}{1 + 6\mathcal{G}(\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \varphi_1)}, \\ \frac{\mathcal{G}(\varphi_1, \Gamma_1\varphi_0, \Gamma_1\varphi_0)[1 + \mathcal{G}(\varphi_0, \Gamma_2\varphi_1, \Gamma_2\varphi_1)]}{1 + 6\mathcal{G}(\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \varphi_1)} \end{array} \right) \right] \\ &\quad + L \min \left[\begin{array}{l} \mathcal{G}(\varphi_0, \Gamma_1\varphi_0, \Gamma_1\varphi_0), \mathcal{G}(\varphi_1, \Gamma_2\varphi_1, \Gamma_2\varphi_1), \\ \mathcal{G}(\varphi_0, \Gamma_2\varphi_1, \Gamma_2\varphi_1), \mathcal{G}(\varphi_1, \Gamma_1\varphi_0, \Gamma_1\varphi_0) \end{array} \right] \\ &\leq \psi [\max (\mathcal{G}(\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \varphi_1), \mathcal{G}(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_2))]. \end{aligned}$$

By using properties of ψ we have

$$\mathcal{G}(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_2) \leq \psi (\mathcal{G}(\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \varphi_1)). \quad (3.2)$$

Now for $\varphi_2 \in \Gamma_2\varphi_1$ there exists $\varphi_3 \in \Gamma_1\varphi_2$. By Lemma 2 and condition (3.1) we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}(\varphi_2, \varphi_3, \varphi_3) &\leq \alpha(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_2) H_{\mathcal{G}}(\Gamma_2\varphi_1, \Gamma_2\varphi_1, \Gamma_2\varphi_1) \\ &\leq \psi \left[\frac{1}{6} \max \left(\begin{array}{l} 6\mathcal{G}(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_2), \mathcal{G}(\varphi_1, \Gamma_2\varphi_1, \Gamma_2\varphi_1), \\ \mathcal{G}(\varphi_2, \Gamma_1\varphi_2, \Gamma_1\varphi_2), \\ \frac{\mathcal{G}(\varphi_2, \Gamma_2\varphi_1, \Gamma_2\varphi_1) + \mathcal{G}(\varphi_1, \Gamma_1\varphi_2, \Gamma_1\varphi_2)}{2}, \\ \frac{\mathcal{G}(\varphi_2, \Gamma_2\varphi_1, \Gamma_2\varphi_1)[1 + \mathcal{G}(\varphi_1, \Gamma_2\varphi_1, \Gamma_2\varphi_1)]}{1 + 6\mathcal{G}(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_2)}, \\ \frac{\mathcal{G}(\varphi_2, \Gamma_1\varphi_2, \Gamma_1\varphi_2)[1 + \mathcal{G}(\varphi_1, \Gamma_2\varphi_1, \Gamma_2\varphi_1)]}{1 + 6\mathcal{G}(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_2)} \end{array} \right) \right] \\ &\quad + L \min \left[\begin{array}{l} \mathcal{G}(\varphi_1, \Gamma_2\varphi_1, \Gamma_2\varphi_1), \mathcal{G}(\varphi_2, \Gamma_1\varphi_2, \Gamma_1\varphi_2), \\ \mathcal{G}(\varphi_2, \Gamma_2\varphi_1, \Gamma_2\varphi_1), \mathcal{G}(\varphi_1, \Gamma_1\varphi_2, \Gamma_1\varphi_2) \end{array} \right] \\ &\leq \psi [\max (\mathcal{G}(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_2), \mathcal{G}(\varphi_2, \varphi_3, \varphi_3))]. \end{aligned}$$

Again by using properties of ψ , we get

$$\mathcal{G}(\varphi_2, \varphi_3, \varphi_3) \leq \psi^2 (\mathcal{G}(\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \varphi_1)).$$

Continuing in the same manner, we get a sequence $\{\varphi_\eta\}$ in Υ for $\alpha(\varphi_\eta, \varphi_{\eta+1}, \varphi_{\eta+1}) \geq 1$ such that

$$\mathcal{G}(\varphi_\eta, \varphi_{\eta+1}, \varphi_{\eta+1}) \leq \psi^\eta (\mathcal{G}(\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \varphi_1)).$$

So by (g5) and by a property of ψ , we have

$$\mathcal{G}(\varphi_\eta, \varphi_\zeta, \varphi_\zeta) \leq \sum_{k=\eta}^{\zeta-1} \psi^k (\mathcal{G}(\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \varphi_1)) \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \psi^k (\mathcal{G}(\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \varphi_1)) < \infty.$$

This proves that the sequence $\{\varphi_\eta\}$ is \mathcal{G} -Cauchy. The completeness of Υ ensures an element $\varphi^* \in \Upsilon$ such that the sequence $\{\varphi_\eta\}$ is \mathcal{G} -convergent to φ^* as $\eta \rightarrow \infty$.

Using the fact that $\varphi_{2\eta+1} \in \Gamma_1\varphi_{2\eta}$ and $\varphi_{2\eta+2} \in \Gamma_2\varphi_{2\eta+1}$, now it is shown that $\varphi^* \in \Gamma_1\varphi^*$

and $\wp^* \in \Gamma_2\wp^*$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}(\wp_{2\eta+2}, \Gamma_1\wp^*, \Gamma_1\wp^*) &\leq H_{\mathcal{G}}(\Gamma_2\wp_{2\eta+1}, \Gamma_1\wp^*, \Gamma_1\wp^*) \\ &\leq \alpha(\wp_{2\eta+1}, \wp^*, \wp^*)H_{\mathcal{G}}(\Gamma_2\wp_{2\eta+1}, \Gamma_1\wp^*, \Gamma_1\wp^*) \\ &\leq \psi \left[\frac{1}{6} \max \left(\begin{array}{c} 6\mathcal{G}(\wp_{2\eta+1}, \wp^*, \wp^*), \\ 6\mathcal{G}(\wp_{2\eta+1}, \wp_{2\eta+2}, \wp_{2\eta+2}), \\ \mathcal{G}(\wp^*, \Gamma_1\wp^*, \Gamma_1\wp^*), \\ \frac{6\mathcal{G}(\wp^*, \wp_{2\eta+2}, \wp_{2\eta+2}) + \mathcal{G}(\wp_{2\eta+1}, \Gamma_1\wp^*, \Gamma_1\wp^*)}{\mathcal{G}(\wp^*, \Gamma_1\wp^*, \Gamma_1\wp^*)[1+6\mathcal{G}(\wp_{2\eta+1}, \wp_{2\eta+2}, \wp_{2\eta+2})]}, \\ \frac{\mathcal{G}(\wp^*, \Gamma_1\wp^*, \Gamma_1\wp^*)[1+6\mathcal{G}(\wp_{2\eta+1}, \wp_{2\eta+2}, \wp_{2\eta+2})]}{1+6\mathcal{G}(\wp_{2\eta+1}, \wp^*, \wp^*)}, \\ \frac{6\mathcal{G}(\wp^*, \wp_{2\eta+2}, \wp_{2\eta+2})[1+\mathcal{G}(\wp_{2\eta+1}, \Gamma_1\wp^*, \Gamma_1\wp^*)]}{1+6\mathcal{G}(\wp_{2\eta+1}, \wp^*, \wp^*)} \end{array} \right) \right] \\ &\quad + L \min \left[\begin{array}{c} 6\mathcal{G}(\wp_{2\eta+1}, \wp_{2\eta+2}, \wp_{2\eta+2}), \\ \mathcal{G}(\wp^*, \Gamma_1\wp^*, \Gamma_1\wp^*), \\ \mathcal{G}(\wp_{2\eta+1}, \Gamma_1\wp^*, \Gamma_1\wp^*), \\ 6\mathcal{G}(\wp^*, \wp_{2\eta+2}, \wp_{2\eta+2}) \end{array} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Applying $\lim \eta \rightarrow \infty$, we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\eta \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{G}(\wp_{2\eta+2}, \Gamma_1\wp^*, \Gamma_1\wp^*) &\leq \lim_{\eta \rightarrow \infty} \psi \left[\frac{1}{6} \max \left(\begin{array}{c} 6\mathcal{G}(\wp_{2\eta+1}, \wp^*, \wp^*), \\ 6\mathcal{G}(\wp_{2\eta+1}, \wp_{2\eta+2}, \wp_{2\eta+2}), \\ \mathcal{G}(\wp^*, \Gamma_1\wp^*, \Gamma_1\wp^*), \\ \frac{6\mathcal{G}(\wp^*, \wp_{2\eta+2}, \wp_{2\eta+2}) + \mathcal{G}(\wp_{2\eta+1}, \Gamma_1\wp^*, \Gamma_1\wp^*)}{\mathcal{G}(\wp^*, \Gamma_1\wp^*, \Gamma_1\wp^*)^2}, \\ \frac{\mathcal{G}(\wp^*, \Gamma_1\wp^*, \Gamma_1\wp^*)}{[1+6\mathcal{G}(\wp_{2\eta+1}, \wp_{2\eta+2}, \wp_{2\eta+2})]}, \\ \frac{6\mathcal{G}(\wp_{2\eta+1}, \wp_{2\eta+2}, \wp_{2\eta+2})}{6\mathcal{G}(\wp^*, \wp_{2\eta+2}, \wp_{2\eta+2})}, \\ \frac{[1+\mathcal{G}(\wp_{2\eta+1}, \Gamma_1\wp^*, \Gamma_1\wp^*)]}{1+6\mathcal{G}(\wp_{2\eta+1}, \wp^*, \wp^*)} \end{array} \right) \right] \\ &\quad + \lim_{\eta \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ L \min \left[\begin{array}{c} 6\mathcal{G}(\wp_{2\eta+1}, \wp_{2\eta+2}, \wp_{2\eta+2}), \\ \mathcal{G}(\wp^*, \Gamma_1\wp^*, \Gamma_1\wp^*), \\ \mathcal{G}(\wp_{2\eta+1}, \Gamma_1\wp^*, \Gamma_1\wp^*), \\ 6\mathcal{G}(\wp^*, \wp_{2\eta+2}, \wp_{2\eta+2}) \end{array} \right] \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Assuming $\mathcal{G}(\wp^*, \Gamma_1\wp^*, \Gamma_1\wp^*) \neq 0$, and using property of ψ we get

$$\mathcal{G}(\wp^*, \Gamma_1\wp^*, \Gamma_1\wp^*) \leq \psi \left[\frac{\mathcal{G}(\wp^*, \Gamma_1\wp^*, \Gamma_1\wp^*)}{6} \right] < \frac{\mathcal{G}(\wp^*, \Gamma_1\wp^*, \Gamma_1\wp^*)}{6},$$

a contradiction. Hence

$$\mathcal{G}(\wp^*, \Gamma_1\wp^*, \Gamma_1\wp^*) = 0,$$

that is $\wp^* \in \Gamma_1\wp^*$.

Similarly we can prove that $\wp^* \in \Gamma_2\wp^*$ and \wp^* is the common fixed point of the pair (Γ_1, Γ_2) .

Example 3.6. Let $\Upsilon = [0, \infty)$. Define $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 : \Upsilon \rightarrow F(\Upsilon)$ by $\Gamma_1\varphi = [0, \frac{\varphi}{5}]$, $\Gamma_2\varphi = [0, \frac{\varphi}{3}] \quad \forall \varphi \in \Upsilon$. Define a \mathcal{G} -metric space on Υ by $\mathcal{G}(\varphi, \nu, \varsigma) = |\varphi - \nu| + |\nu - \varsigma| + |\varsigma - \varphi|$ and

$$\alpha(\varphi, \nu, \varsigma) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \varphi, \nu, \varsigma \in [0, 1] \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases},$$

then for $\psi(t) = \frac{t}{2}$, conditions of above theorem are satisfied and 0 is the common fixed point of mappings Γ_1 and Γ_2 .

Corollary 3.7. Consider Υ be a complete \mathcal{G} -metric space, $\Gamma : \Upsilon \rightarrow F(\Upsilon)$ be a generalized multi-valued \mathcal{G} - α - ψ -contractive type mapping. Suppose $\forall \varphi, \nu, \varsigma \in \Upsilon$,

$$\alpha(\varphi, \nu, \varsigma)H_{\mathcal{G}}(\Gamma\varphi, \Gamma\nu, \Gamma\varsigma) \leq \psi\left(\frac{1}{6}\mathcal{Y}\right) + L\mathcal{Z} \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$\mathcal{Y} = \max \left(\begin{array}{c} 6\mathcal{G}(\varphi, \nu, \varsigma), \mathcal{G}(\varphi, \Gamma\varphi, \Gamma\varphi), \mathcal{G}(\nu, \Gamma\nu, \Gamma\nu), \\ \frac{\mathcal{G}(\nu, \Gamma\varphi, \Gamma\varphi) + \mathcal{G}(\varphi, \Gamma\nu, \Gamma\nu)}{2}, \\ \frac{\mathcal{G}(\nu, \Gamma\nu, \Gamma\nu)[1 + \mathcal{G}(\varphi, \Gamma\varphi, \Gamma\varphi)]}{1 + 6\mathcal{G}(\varphi, \nu, \varsigma)}, \\ \frac{\mathcal{G}(\nu, \Gamma\varphi, \Gamma\varphi)[1 + \mathcal{G}(\varphi, \Gamma\nu, \Gamma\nu)]}{1 + 6\mathcal{G}(\varphi, \nu, \varsigma)} \end{array} \right),$$

$$\mathcal{Z} = \min \left[\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{G}(\varphi, \Gamma\varphi, \Gamma\varphi), \mathcal{G}(\nu, \Gamma\nu, \Gamma\nu), \\ \mathcal{G}(\varphi, \Gamma\nu, \Gamma\nu), \mathcal{G}(\nu, \Gamma\varphi, \Gamma\varphi) \end{array} \right].$$

Also assume that $\alpha(\varphi, \nu, \nu) \geq 1 \quad \forall \varphi, \nu \in \Upsilon$. Then there exists a fixed point of the multi-valued mapping Γ .

□

Definition 3.8. Consider Υ be a \mathcal{G} -metric space and $\Gamma : \Upsilon \rightarrow F(\Upsilon)$. Then Γ is called a multi-valued (θ, L) -weak contraction in \mathcal{G} -metric space if there exist two constants $\theta \in (0, 1)$ and $L \geq 0$ such that

$$H_{\mathcal{G}}(\Gamma\varphi, \Gamma\nu, \Gamma\varsigma) \leq \theta\mathcal{G}(\varphi, \nu, \varsigma) + Ld_{\mathcal{G}}(\nu, \Gamma\varphi) \quad \forall \varphi, \nu, \varsigma \in \Upsilon.$$

Theorem 3.9. Consider Υ be a complete \mathcal{G} -metric space and $\Gamma : \Upsilon \rightarrow F(\Upsilon)$ a multi-valued (θ, L) -weakly contractive mapping. Then there exists $\varphi^* \in \Upsilon$ such that φ^* is a fixed point of Γ .

Proof. Consider $\varphi_0 \in \Upsilon$, then there exists $\varphi_1 \in \Upsilon$ such that $\varphi_1 \in \Gamma\varphi_0$. Similarly there exists $\varphi_2 \in \Upsilon$ such that $\varphi_2 \in \Gamma\varphi_1$.

Then for some $k_1 > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_2) &\leq k_1 H_{\mathcal{G}}(\Gamma\varphi_0, \Gamma\varphi_1, \Gamma\varphi_1) \\ &\leq k_1 [\theta(\mathcal{G}(\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \varphi_1)) + Ld_{\mathcal{G}}(\varphi_1, \Gamma\varphi_0)] \\ &\leq k_1 [\theta(\mathcal{G}(\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \varphi_1)) + L\inf\{d_{\mathcal{G}}(\varphi_1, \nu) : \nu \in \Gamma\varphi_0\}] \\ &\leq k_1 \theta(\mathcal{G}(\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \varphi_1)). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for some $k_2 > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}(\varphi_2, \varphi_3, \varphi_3) &\leq k_2 H_{\mathcal{G}}(\Gamma\varphi_1, \Gamma\varphi_2, \Gamma\varphi_2) \\ &\leq k_1 k_2 \theta^2 \mathcal{G}(\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \varphi_1). \end{aligned}$$

In general, $\wp_{\eta+1} \in \Gamma\wp_\eta$, $\eta \in \mathbb{N}$. Continuing in this way, we get $k_i > 0$, $i = 3, 4, \dots, \eta$ such that

$$\mathcal{G}(\wp_\eta, \wp_{\eta+1}, \wp_{\eta+1}) \leq k_1 k_2 \dots k_\eta \theta^\eta \mathcal{G}(\wp_0, \wp_1, \wp_1) = l_1 \theta^\eta \mathcal{G}(\wp_0, \wp_1, \wp_1),$$

where $l_1 = k_1 k_2 \dots k_\eta$.

Consider for $\zeta > \eta$, then by (g5) :

$$\mathcal{G}(\wp_\eta, \wp_\zeta, \wp_\zeta) \leq \theta^\eta (l_1 + l_2 \theta + \dots + l_{\zeta-\eta} \theta^{\zeta-\eta-1}) \mathcal{G}(\wp_0, \wp_1, \wp_1).$$

Applying limit $\zeta, \eta \rightarrow \infty$, $\mathcal{G}(\wp_\eta, \wp_\zeta, \wp_\zeta) \rightarrow 0$. Hence the sequence $\{\wp_\eta\}$ is \mathcal{G} -Cauchy. Completeness of Υ ensures that, $\wp^* \in \Upsilon$ such that $\wp_\eta \rightarrow \wp^*$ as $\eta \rightarrow \infty$.

Now, for some $k > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}(\wp_\eta, \Gamma\wp^*, \Gamma\wp^*) &\leq k H_{\mathcal{G}}(\Gamma\wp_{\eta-1}, \Gamma\wp^*, \Gamma\wp^*) \\ &\leq k [\theta \mathcal{G}(\wp_{\eta-1}, \wp^*, \wp^*) + L d_{\mathcal{G}}(\wp^*, \Gamma\wp_{\eta-1})] \\ &\leq k [\theta \mathcal{G}(\wp_{\eta-1}, \wp^*, \wp^*) + L \inf\{d_{\mathcal{G}}(\wp^*, \nu) : \nu \in \Gamma\wp_{\eta-1}\}]. \end{aligned}$$

Applying $\lim \eta \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\lim_{\eta \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{G}(\wp_\eta, \Gamma\wp^*, \Gamma\wp^*) \leq k \lim_{\eta \rightarrow \infty} [\theta \mathcal{G}(\wp_{\eta-1}, \wp^*, \wp^*) + L \inf\{d_{\mathcal{G}}(\wp^*, \nu) : \nu \in \Gamma\wp_{\eta-1}\}],$$

which gives,

$$\mathcal{G}(\wp^*, \Gamma\wp^*, \Gamma\wp^*) = 0, \text{ as } \wp_\eta \in \Gamma\wp_{\eta-1}.$$

This implies $\wp^* \in \Gamma\wp^*$. Hence \wp^* is fixed point of the mapping Γ . \square

Example 3.10. Let $\Upsilon = [0, 1]$ and $\Gamma : \Upsilon \rightarrow F(\Upsilon)$ be a multi-valued (θ, L) -weak contractive mapping defined as,

$$\Gamma\wp = \left[0, \frac{\wp}{7}\right] \quad \forall \wp \in \Upsilon,$$

then for $\theta = \frac{1}{2}$ and $L \geq 0$, conditions of above Theorem are satisfied and 0 is the fixed point of mapping Γ .

Corollary 3.11. Consider Υ a complete \mathcal{G} -metric space. Let $\Gamma : \Upsilon \rightarrow \Upsilon$ be a (θ, L) -weakly contractive mapping, that is, there exists $\theta \in (0, 1)$, $L \geq 0$ and $\forall \wp, \nu, \varsigma \in \Upsilon$, $L \geq 0$, the following hold

$$\mathcal{G}(\Gamma\wp, \Gamma\nu, \Gamma\varsigma) \leq \theta \mathcal{G}(\wp, \nu, \varsigma) + L d_{\mathcal{G}}(\nu, \Gamma\wp).$$

Then Γ has a fixed point in Υ .

Theorem 3.12. Consider Υ a complete \mathcal{G} -metric space. Let $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 : \Upsilon \rightarrow F(\Upsilon)$ be a pair of multi valued (θ, L) -weakly contractive type mappings satisfying

$$H_{\mathcal{G}}(\Gamma_i\wp, \Gamma_j\nu, \Gamma_j\varsigma) \leq \frac{\theta}{6} \mathcal{N}_i + L_1 d_{\mathcal{G}}(\nu, \Gamma_1\wp) + L_2 \mathcal{M}_{i,j} \quad (3.4)$$

where

$$\mathcal{N}_i = \max \left(6\mathcal{G}(\wp, \nu, \varsigma), \mathcal{G}(\wp, \Gamma_i\wp, \Gamma_i\wp), \frac{\mathcal{G}(\nu, \Gamma_i\wp, \Gamma_i\wp) + \mathcal{G}(\varsigma, \Gamma_i\wp, \Gamma_i\wp)}{2} \right)$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{i,j} = \min [\mathcal{G}(\nu, \Gamma_j\nu, \Gamma_j\nu), \mathcal{G}(\varsigma, \Gamma_j\varsigma, \Gamma_j\varsigma)]$$

$\forall \varphi, \nu, \varsigma \in \Upsilon, \theta \in (0, 1)$ and $L_1, L_2 \geq 0$, where $i \neq j, i, j = 1, 2$.
 Then there exists $\varphi^* \in \Upsilon$ such that $\varphi^* \in \Gamma_i \varphi^*$ and $\varphi^* \in \Gamma_j \varphi^*$ i.e. φ^* is a common fixed point of Γ_i and Γ_j .

Proof. Consider $\varphi_0 \in \Upsilon$. As $\Gamma_1 \varphi_0 \neq \varphi$, define $\varphi_1 \in \Gamma_1 \varphi_0$ and similarly $\varphi_2 \in \Gamma_2 \varphi_1$. By condition (3.3) and Lemma 2, there exists $k_1 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_2) &\leq k_1 H_{\mathcal{G}}(\Gamma_1 \varphi_0, \Gamma_2 \varphi_1, \Gamma_2 \varphi_1) \\ &\leq k_1 \left[\frac{\frac{\theta}{6} \max \left(\frac{6\mathcal{G}(\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \varphi_1), \mathcal{G}(\varphi_0, \Gamma_1 \varphi_0, \Gamma_1 \varphi_0)}{\mathcal{G}(\varphi_1, \Gamma_1 \varphi_0, \Gamma_1 \varphi_0) + \mathcal{G}(\varphi_1, \Gamma_1 \varphi_0, \Gamma_1 \varphi_0)}, \right)^2}{+ L_1 d_{\mathcal{G}}(\varphi_1, \Gamma_1 \varphi_0) + L_2 \min \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{G}(\varphi_1, \Gamma_2 \varphi_1, \Gamma_2 \varphi_1), \mathcal{G}(\varphi_1, \Gamma_1 \varphi_0, \Gamma_1 \varphi_0)}{\mathcal{G}(\varphi_0, \Gamma_2 \varphi_1, \Gamma_2 \varphi_1)} \right\}} \right] \\ &\leq k_1 \theta \mathcal{G}(\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \varphi_1). \end{aligned}$$

Now for $\varphi_2 \in \Gamma_2 \varphi_1$ and $\varphi_3 \in \Gamma_1 \varphi_2$, there exists $k_2 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}(\varphi_2, \varphi_3, \varphi_3) &\leq k_2 H_{\mathcal{G}}(\Gamma_2 \varphi_1, \Gamma_1 \varphi_2, \Gamma_1 \varphi_2) \\ &\leq k_2 \left[\frac{\frac{\theta}{6} \max \left(\frac{6\mathcal{G}(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_2), \mathcal{G}(\varphi_1, \Gamma_2 \varphi_1, \Gamma_2 \varphi_1)}{\mathcal{G}(\varphi_2, \Gamma_2 \varphi_1, \Gamma_2 \varphi_1) + \mathcal{G}(\varphi_2, \Gamma_2 \varphi_1, \Gamma_2 \varphi_1)}, \right)^2}{+ L_3 d_{\mathcal{G}}(\varphi_2, \Gamma_2 \varphi_1) + L_4 \min \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{G}(\varphi_2, \Gamma_1 \varphi_2, \Gamma_1 \varphi_2), \mathcal{G}(\varphi_2, \Gamma_2 \varphi_1, \Gamma_2 \varphi_1)}{\mathcal{G}(\varphi_1, \Gamma_1 \varphi_2, \Gamma_1 \varphi_2)} \right\}} \right] \\ &\leq k_2 \theta \mathcal{G}(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_2) \\ &\leq k_1 k_2 \theta^2 \mathcal{G}(\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \varphi_1) \end{aligned}$$

Continuing in this way, we get

$$\mathcal{G}(\varphi_\eta, \varphi_{\eta+1}, \varphi_{\eta+1}) \leq k_1 k_2 \dots k_\eta \theta^\eta \mathcal{G}(\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \varphi_1) = l_1 \theta^\eta \mathcal{G}(\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \varphi_1)$$

$\forall k_i > 0, i = 3, 4, \dots, \eta$, where $l_1 = k_1 k_2 \dots k_\eta$.

Consider for $\zeta > \eta$, then by (g5):

$$\mathcal{G}(\varphi_\eta, \varphi_\zeta, \varphi_\zeta) \leq \theta^\eta (l_1 + l_2 \theta + \dots + l_{\zeta-\eta} \theta^{\zeta-\eta-1}) \mathcal{G}(\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \varphi_1).$$

Applying limit $\zeta, \eta \rightarrow \infty$, $\mathcal{G}(\varphi_\eta, \varphi_\zeta, \varphi_\zeta) \rightarrow 0$. Hence the sequence $\{\varphi_\eta\}$ is \mathcal{G} -Cauchy. The completeness of Υ ensures that, $\varphi^* \in \Upsilon$ so that $\varphi_\eta \rightarrow \varphi^*$ as $\eta \rightarrow \infty$.

Since $\varphi_{2\eta+1} \in \Gamma_1 \varphi_{2\eta}$ and $\varphi_{2\eta+2} \in \Gamma_2 \varphi_{2\eta+1}$, now it is shown that $\varphi^* \in \Gamma_1 \varphi^*$ and

$$\wp^* \in \Gamma_2 \wp^*.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{G}(\wp_{2\eta+1}, \Gamma_2 \wp^*, \Gamma_2 \wp^*) &\leq k H_{\mathcal{G}}(\Gamma_1 \wp_{2\eta}, \Gamma_2 \wp^*, \Gamma_2 \wp^*) \\
&\leq k \left[\frac{\frac{\theta}{6} \max \left(\begin{array}{c} 6\mathcal{G}(\wp_{2\eta}, \wp^*, \wp^*), \\ \mathcal{G}(\wp_{2\eta}, \Gamma_1 \wp_{2\eta}, \Gamma_1 \wp_{2\eta}), \\ \mathcal{G}(\wp^*, \Gamma_1 \wp_{2\eta}, \Gamma_1 \wp_{2\eta}) + \mathcal{G}(\wp^*, \Gamma_1 \wp_{2\eta}, \Gamma_1 \wp_{2\eta}) \end{array} \right)}{2} \right] \\
&\leq k \left[\frac{L_2 \min \left[\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{G}(\wp^*, \Gamma_2 \wp^*, \Gamma_2 \wp^*), \\ \mathcal{G}(\wp^*, \Gamma_1 \wp_{2\eta}, \Gamma_1 \wp_{2\eta}), \\ \mathcal{G}(\wp_{2\eta}, \Gamma_2 \wp^*, \Gamma_2 \wp^*) \end{array} \right]}{2} \right] \\
&\leq k \left[\frac{\frac{\theta}{6} \max \left(\begin{array}{c} 6\mathcal{G}(\wp_{2\eta}, \wp^*, \wp^*), \\ 6\mathcal{G}(\wp_{2\eta}, \wp_{2\eta+1}, \wp_{2\eta+1}), \\ 6\mathcal{G}(\wp^*, \wp_{2\eta+1}, \wp_{2\eta+1}) + \mathcal{G}(\wp^*, \wp_{2\eta+1}, \wp_{2\eta+1}) \end{array} \right)}{2} \right] \\
&\quad + L_1 \inf \{d_{\mathcal{G}}(\wp^*, \nu) : \nu \in \Gamma_1 \wp_{2\eta}\} \\
&\quad + L_2 \min \left[\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{G}(\wp^*, \Gamma_2 \wp^*, \Gamma_2 \wp^*), \\ 6\mathcal{G}(\wp^*, \wp_{2\eta+1}, \wp_{2\eta+1}), \\ \mathcal{G}(\wp_{2\eta}, \Gamma_2 \wp^*, \Gamma_2 \wp^*) \end{array} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Applying $\lim \eta \rightarrow \theta$, we get $\mathcal{G}(\wp^*, \Gamma_2 \wp^*, \Gamma_2 \wp^*) = 0$, that is $\wp^* \in \Gamma_2 \wp^*$.

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{G}(\wp_{2\eta+2}, \Gamma_1 \wp^*, \Gamma_1 \wp^*) &\leq k H_{\mathcal{G}}(\Gamma_2 \wp_{2\eta+1}, \Gamma_1 \wp^*, \Gamma_1 \wp^*) \\
&\leq k \left[\frac{\frac{\theta}{6} \left\{ \max \left(\begin{array}{c} 6\mathcal{G}(\wp_{2\eta+1}, \wp^*, \wp^*), \\ \mathcal{G}(\wp_{2\eta+1}, \Gamma_2 \wp_{2\eta+1}, \Gamma_2 \wp_{2\eta+1}), \\ \mathcal{G}(\wp^*, \Gamma_2 \wp_{2\eta+1}, \Gamma_2 \wp_{2\eta+1}) + \mathcal{G}(\wp^*, \Gamma_2 \wp_{2\eta+1}, \Gamma_2 \wp_{2\eta+1}) \end{array} \right) \right\}}{2} \right] \\
&\quad + L_3 d_{\mathcal{G}}(\wp^*, \Gamma_2 \wp_{2\eta+1}) + \\
&\quad L_4 \min \left[\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{G}(\wp^*, \Gamma_1 \wp^*, \Gamma_1 \wp^*), \\ \mathcal{G}(\wp^*, \Gamma_2 \wp_{2\eta+1}, \Gamma_2 \wp_{2\eta+1}), \\ \mathcal{G}(\wp_{2\eta+1}, \Gamma_1 \wp^*, \Gamma_1 \wp^*) \end{array} \right] \\
&\leq k \left[\frac{\frac{\theta}{6} \max \left(\begin{array}{c} 6\mathcal{G}(\wp_{2\eta+1}, \wp^*, \wp^*), \\ 6\mathcal{G}(\wp_{2\eta+1}, \wp_{2\eta+2}, \wp_{2\eta+2}), \\ 6\mathcal{G}(\wp^*, \wp_{2\eta+2}, \wp_{2\eta+2}) + \mathcal{G}(\wp^*, \wp_{2\eta+2}, \wp_{2\eta+2}) \end{array} \right)}{2} \right] \\
&\quad + L_3 \inf \{d_{\mathcal{G}}(\wp^*, \nu) : \nu \in \Gamma_2 \wp_{2\eta+1}\} \\
&\quad + L_4 \min \left[\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{G}(\wp^*, \Gamma_1 \wp^*, \Gamma_1 \wp^*), \\ 6\mathcal{G}(\wp^*, \wp_{2\eta+2}, \wp_{2\eta+2}), \\ \mathcal{G}(\wp_{2\eta+1}, \Gamma_1 \wp^*, \Gamma_1 \wp^*) \end{array} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Applying $\lim \eta \rightarrow \infty$, we get $\mathcal{G}(\wp^*, \Gamma_1 \wp^*, \Gamma_1 \wp^*) = 0$ which implies $\wp^* \in \Gamma_1 \wp^*$.

So \wp^* is the common fixed point of mappings Γ_1 and Γ_2 in a complete \mathcal{G} -metric space. \square

Example 3.13. Consider $\Upsilon = [0, 1]$. Suppose $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 : [0, 1] \rightarrow F(\Upsilon)$ be a pair of multi-valued (θ, L) -weakly contractive type mapping defined as,

$$\Gamma_1\wp = \left[0, \frac{\wp}{5}\right] \quad \Gamma_2\wp = \left[0, \frac{\wp}{7}\right] \quad \forall \wp \in \Upsilon,$$

Define a \mathcal{G} -metric space on Υ as in Example 1, then for $\theta = \frac{1}{2}$ and $L_1, L_2, L_3, L_4 \geq 0$, conditions of above theorem are satisfied and 0 is the common fixed point of given mappings.

By setting $\Gamma_1 = \Gamma_2$ in the last theorem, the following result is induced.

Corollary 3.14. Consider Υ a complete \mathcal{G} -metric space. Let $\Gamma : \Upsilon \rightarrow F(\Upsilon)$ be a multi-valued (θ, L) -weakly contractive type mapping such that $\forall \wp, \nu, \varsigma \in \Upsilon$ and $\theta \in (0, 1), L_1, L_2 \geq 0$, the following holds

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\mathcal{G}}(\Gamma\wp, \Gamma\nu, \Gamma\varsigma) &\leq \frac{\theta}{6} \max \left(\frac{6\mathcal{G}(\wp, \nu, \varsigma), \mathcal{G}(\wp, \Gamma\wp, \Gamma\wp),}{\mathcal{G}(\nu, \Gamma\wp, \Gamma\wp) + \mathcal{G}(\varsigma, \Gamma\wp, \Gamma\wp)} \right) \\ &\quad + L_1 d_{\mathcal{G}}(\nu, \Gamma\wp) + L_2 \min \left[\frac{\mathcal{G}(\nu, \Gamma\nu, \Gamma\nu),}{\mathcal{G}(\varsigma, \Gamma\wp, \Gamma\wp),}, \frac{\mathcal{G}(\wp, \Gamma\varsigma, \Gamma\varsigma)}{\mathcal{G}(\wp, \Gamma\varsigma, \Gamma\varsigma)} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Then there exists $\wp^* \in \Upsilon$ such that $\wp^* \in \Gamma\wp^*$ i.e \wp^* is a fixed point of Γ .

Coincidence Points of Mappings and Relation

Consider sets $\check{C}, \check{D} \neq \emptyset$. A relation Ω from \check{C} to \check{D} is a subset of $\check{C} \times \check{D}$ represented by $\Omega : \check{C} \rightsquigarrow \check{D}$. The statement $(\wp, \nu) \in \Omega$ is represented by $\wp\Omega\nu$.

A relation $\Omega : \check{C} \rightsquigarrow \check{D}$ is known as left-total if for every $\wp \in \check{C}$ there exists a $\nu \in \check{D}$ so that $\wp\Omega\nu$.

For $\Omega : \check{C} \rightsquigarrow \check{D}, W \subset \check{C}$ define[8]

$$\Omega(W) = \{\nu \in \check{D} : \wp\Omega\nu \text{ for some } \wp \in W\},$$

$$dom(\Omega) = \{\wp \in \check{C} : \Omega(\{\wp\}) \neq \emptyset\},$$

$$Range(\Omega) = \{\nu \in \check{D} : \nu \in \Omega(\{\wp\}) \text{ for some } \wp \in dom(\Omega)\}.$$

For ease of notation, present $\Omega(\{\wp\})$ by Ω_{\wp} and $Range$ by $\varrho(\Omega)$. (\check{C}, \check{D}) denotes the class of all relations from \check{C} to \check{D} .

An element $\wp \in \check{C}$ is known as coincidence point of mapping $\Gamma : \check{C} \rightarrow \check{D}$ and relation $\Omega : \check{C} \rightsquigarrow \check{D}$ if $\Gamma\wp \in \Omega\{\wp\}$.

For $\Omega : \Upsilon \rightsquigarrow \mathfrak{S}$ and $u, v, w \in dom(\Omega)$, we define:

$$D_{\mathcal{G}}(\Omega_u, \Omega_v, \Omega_w) = \inf_{u\Omega\wp, v\Omega\nu, w\Omega\varsigma} \mathcal{G}(\wp, \nu, \varsigma)$$

Theorem 3.15. Consider Υ as \mathcal{G} -metric space. Consider $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 : \Upsilon \rightarrow F(\Upsilon)$ be a pair of multi-valued \mathcal{G} - α -admissible mappings and $\Omega : \Upsilon \rightsquigarrow \Upsilon$ be any relation in such a way that

- (i) Ω is left total;
- (ii) $\varrho(\Omega)$ contains all the closed bounded subsets of Υ ;
- (iii) $\varrho(\Omega)$ is complete;

(iv) $\alpha(\varphi, \nu, \nu) \geq 1 \forall \varphi, \nu \in \Upsilon$.

Suppose that for $\psi \in \Psi$ and $\forall \varphi, \nu, \varsigma \in \Upsilon$,

$$\alpha(\varphi, \nu, \varsigma) H_{\mathcal{G}}(\Gamma_1 \varphi, \Gamma_2 \nu, \Gamma_2 \varsigma) \leq \psi [D_{\mathcal{G}}(\Omega_{\varphi}, \Omega_{\nu}, \Omega_{\varsigma})], \quad (3.6)$$

and

$$\alpha(\varphi, \nu, \varsigma) H_{\mathcal{G}}(\Gamma_2 \varphi, \Gamma_1 \nu, \Gamma_1 \varsigma) \leq \psi [D_{\mathcal{G}}(\Omega_{\varphi}, \Omega_{\nu}, \Omega_{\varsigma})]. \quad (3.7)$$

Then there exists $\varphi^* \in \Upsilon$ such that $\Gamma_1 \varphi^* \cap \Gamma_2 \varphi^* \cap \Omega\{\varphi^*\} \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Consider $\varphi_0 \in \Upsilon$. Since Ω is left total, so for $\varphi_0 \in \Upsilon$ there exists $r_0 \in \varrho(\Omega)$ such that $\varphi_0 \Omega r_0$. Consider the sequences $\{\varphi_\eta\} \subset \Upsilon, \{r_\eta\} \subset \varrho(\Omega)$. Let $r_1 \in \Gamma_1 \varphi_0$. As $\Gamma_1 \varphi_0 \subset Range(\Omega)$ select $\varphi_1 \in \Upsilon$ such that $\varphi_1 \Omega r_1$. Let $r_2 \in \Gamma_2 \varphi_1$ then there exists $\varphi_2 \in \Upsilon$ such that $\varphi_2 \Omega r_2$.

Now from Lemma 2 and (3.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}(r_1, r_2, r_2) &\leq \alpha(\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \varphi_1) H_{\mathcal{G}}(\Gamma_1 \varphi_0, \Gamma_2 \varphi_1, \Gamma_2 \varphi_1) \\ &\leq \psi [D_{\mathcal{G}}(\Omega_{\varphi_0}, \Omega_{\varphi_1}, \Omega_{\varphi_1})] \\ &\leq \psi (\mathcal{G}(r_0, r_1, r_1)). \end{aligned}$$

Again using condition (3.6) we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}(r_2, r_3, r_3) &\leq \alpha(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_2) \mathcal{G}(\Gamma_2 \varphi_1, \Gamma_1 \varphi_2, \Gamma_1 \varphi_2) \\ &\leq \psi [D_{\mathcal{G}}(\Omega_{\varphi_1}, \Omega_{\varphi_2}, \Omega_{\varphi_2})] \\ &\leq \psi (\mathcal{G}(r_1, r_2, r_2)). \end{aligned}$$

By induction, we can have sequences $\{\varphi_\eta\} \subset \Upsilon$ and $\{r_\eta\} \subset \varrho(\Omega)$ such that $r_{2\eta+1} \in \Gamma_1 \varphi_{2\eta}, r_{2\eta+2} \in \Gamma_2 \varphi_{2\eta+1}$ and $\varphi_\eta \Omega r_\eta$ for $\eta = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}(r_\eta, r_{\eta+1}, r_{\eta+1}) &\leq \psi [D_{\mathcal{G}}(\Omega_{\varphi_{\eta-1}}, \Omega_{\varphi_\eta}, \Omega_{\varphi_\eta})] \\ &\leq \psi (\mathcal{G}(r_{\eta-1}, r_\eta, r_\eta)). \end{aligned}$$

By the monotone property of ψ , we have:

$$\mathcal{G}(r_\eta, r_{\eta+1}, r_{\eta+1}) \leq \psi^\eta (\mathcal{G}(r_0, r_1, r_1)).$$

So for $\eta > \zeta$, by (g5) we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}(r_\eta, r_\zeta, r_\zeta) &\leq \psi^\eta (\mathcal{G}(r_0, r_1, r_1)) + \psi^{\eta+1} (\mathcal{G}(r_0, r_1, r_1)) + \dots \\ &\quad + \psi^{\zeta-1} (\mathcal{G}(r_0, r_1, r_1)) \end{aligned}$$

By a property of ψ , we have

$$\mathcal{G}(r_\eta, r_\zeta, r_\zeta) \leq \sum_{k=\eta}^{\zeta-1} \psi^k (\mathcal{G}(r_0, r_1, r_1)) \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \psi^k (\mathcal{G}(r_0, r_1, r_1)) < \infty.$$

This shows that sequence $\{r_\eta\}$ is Cauchy. The completeness of $\varrho(\Omega)$ ensures an element $w \in \varrho(\Omega)$ such that $r_\eta \rightarrow w$ as $\eta \rightarrow \infty$. Also $\varphi^* \Omega w$ for some $\varphi^* \in \Upsilon$.

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{G}(r_{2\eta+2}, \Gamma_1\wp^*, \Gamma_1\wp^*) &\leq H_{\mathcal{G}}(\Gamma_2\wp_{2\eta+1}, \Gamma_1\wp^*, \Gamma_1\wp^*) \\
&\leq \alpha(\wp_{2\eta+1}, \wp^*, \wp^*)H_{\mathcal{G}}(\Gamma_2\wp_{2\eta+1}, \Gamma_1\wp^*, \Gamma_1\wp^*) \\
&\leq \psi[D_{\mathcal{G}}(\Omega_{\wp_{2\eta+1}}, \Omega_{\wp^*}, \Omega_{\wp^*})] \\
&\leq \psi(\mathcal{G}(r_{2\eta+1}, w, w)) \\
&< \mathcal{G}(r_{2\eta+1}, w, w).
\end{aligned}$$

Letting $\eta \rightarrow \infty$ we have $\mathcal{G}(w, \Gamma_1\wp^*, \Gamma_1\wp^*) = 0$. It follows that $w \in \Gamma_1\wp^*$.

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{G}(r_{2\eta+1}, \Gamma_2\wp^*, \Gamma_2\wp^*) &\leq H_{\mathcal{G}}(\Gamma_1\wp_{2\eta+2}, \Gamma_2\wp^*, \Gamma_2\wp^*) \\
&< \mathcal{G}(\wp_{2\eta+2}, w, w).
\end{aligned}$$

Letting $\eta \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain $\mathcal{G}(w, \Gamma_2\wp^*, \Gamma_2\wp^*) = 0$. It follows that $w \in \Gamma_2\wp^*$. Consequently,

$$\Gamma_1\wp^* \cap \Gamma_2\wp^* \cap \Omega_{\wp^*} \neq \emptyset$$

□

Corollary 3.16. Consider Υ be a \mathcal{G} -metric space. Consider $\Gamma : \Upsilon \rightarrow F(\Upsilon)$ be a multi-valued \mathcal{G} - α -admissible mapping and $\Omega : \Upsilon \rightsquigarrow \Upsilon$ be any relation such that

- (i) Ω is left total;
- (ii) $\varrho(\Omega)$ contains all the closed bounded subsets of Υ ;
- (iii) $\varrho(\Omega)$ is complete;
- (iv) $\alpha(\wp, \nu, \nu) \geq 1 \forall \wp, \nu \in \Upsilon$.

Suppose that for $\psi \in \Psi$ and $\forall \wp, \nu, \varsigma \in \Upsilon$,

$$\alpha(\wp, \nu, \varsigma)H_{\mathcal{G}}(\Gamma\wp, \Gamma\nu, \Gamma\varsigma) \leq \psi[D_{\mathcal{G}}(\Omega_{\wp}, \Omega_{\nu}, \Omega_{\varsigma})],$$

Then there exists $\wp^* \in \Upsilon$ such that $\Gamma\wp^* \cap \Omega\{\wp^*\} \neq \emptyset$.

Corollary 3.17. Consider Υ be a \mathcal{G} -metric space. Consider $\Gamma : \Upsilon \rightarrow \Upsilon$ be a \mathcal{G} - α -admissible mapping and $\Omega : \Upsilon \rightsquigarrow \Upsilon$ be any relation such that

- (i) Ω is left total;
- (ii) $\varrho(\Omega)$ is complete;
- (iii) $\alpha(\wp, \nu, \nu) \geq 1 \forall \wp, \nu \in \Upsilon$.

Suppose that for $\psi \in \Psi$ and $\forall \wp, \nu, \varsigma \in \Upsilon$,

$$\alpha(\wp, \nu, \varsigma)\mathcal{G}(\Gamma\wp, \Gamma\nu, \Gamma\varsigma) \leq \psi[D_{\mathcal{G}}(\Omega_{\wp}, \Omega_{\nu}, \Omega_{\varsigma})],$$

Then there exists $\wp^* \in \Upsilon$ such that $\Gamma\wp^* \in \Omega\{\wp^*\}$.

4. APPLICATION

In the following section we provide the application of our main result in terms of an existence result for the following differential inclusion:

$$\left\{
\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial^2 u(x,t)}{\partial x \partial t} \in F(x, t, u(x, t)) \text{ for } (x, t) \in \Pi_{\mathbb{R} \times T} \\
u(x, 0) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, t \in T = [0, a]
\end{array}
\right., \quad (4.8)$$

where $\Pi_{\mathbb{R} \times T} = \mathbb{R} \times T$. Suppose $F : \Pi_{\mathbb{R} \times T} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{R}}$ is a multivalued mapping. For $\Lambda = C(\Pi_{\mathbb{R} \times T}, \mathbb{R})$ define a G -metric on Λ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (G(v_1, v_2, v_3))(x, t) &= \sup_{(x,t) \in \Pi_{\mathbb{R} \times T}} \|v_1(x, t) - v_2(x, t)\| + \\ &\quad \sup_{(x,t) \in \Pi_{\mathbb{R} \times T}} \left\| \frac{\partial v_1(x, t)}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial v_2(x, t)}{\partial x} \right\| + \\ &\quad \sup_{(x,t) \in \Pi_{\mathbb{R} \times T}} \|v_2(x, t) - v_3(x, t)\| + \\ &\quad \sup_{(x,t) \in \Pi_{\mathbb{R} \times T}} \left\| \frac{\partial v_2(x, t)}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial v_3(x, t)}{\partial x} \right\| + \\ &\quad \sup_{(x,t) \in \Pi_{\mathbb{R} \times T}} \|v_3(x, t) - v_1(x, t)\| + \\ &\quad \sup_{(x,t) \in \Pi_{\mathbb{R} \times T}} \left\| \frac{\partial v_3(x, t)}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial v_1(x, t)}{\partial x} \right\|, \end{aligned}$$

for $v_1, v_2, v_3 \in \Lambda$. Then Λ is a complete G -metric space.

Define a partial order \preceq on Λ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{for } r, s \in \Lambda, r \preceq s \text{ if and only if} \\ \|r(x, t)\| \leq \|s(x, t)\| \text{ and } \left\| \frac{\partial r(x, t)}{\partial x} \right\| \leq \left\| \frac{\partial s(x, t)}{\partial x} \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathcal{L} = L^1(\Pi_{\mathbb{R} \times T}, \mathbb{R})$ be the Banach space consisting of all measurable functions $\xi : \Pi_{\mathbb{R} \times T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which are Lebesgue integrable with norm given by

$$\|\xi\|_{\mathcal{L}} = \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^a \xi(\chi, \tau) d\tau d\chi \right|, \quad \text{for } \xi \in \mathcal{L}.$$

For each γ in the space Λ the set of all selections of F is defined as

$$\Upsilon_{F,\gamma} = \{\xi \in \mathcal{L} : \xi \in F(x, t, \gamma(x, t)) \text{ a.e. } (x, t) \in \Pi_{\mathbb{R} \times T}\}.$$

Now we allocate F a multivalued operator S from Λ to $2^{\mathcal{L}}$ by assuming

$$S(\gamma) = \{\omega \in \mathcal{L} : \xi \in F(x, t, \gamma(x, t)) \text{ for } (x, t) \in \Pi_{\mathbb{R} \times T}\}$$

where S is the Niemytsky operator [7].

In order to establish our result we require a continuous mapping $\pi : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow *$ defined as

$$\pi(\gamma) = \int_0^t \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \gamma(\chi, \tau) d\chi d\tau.$$

In the following we use Theorem to obtain the solution of differential inclusion.

Theorem 4.1. Suppose the multivalued mapping $F : \Pi_{\mathbb{R} \times T} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{R}}$ fulfills the following conditions:

A1: $F(x, t, \gamma)$ is a closed and bounded subset for each $(x, t, \gamma) \in \Pi_{\mathbb{R} \times T} \times \Lambda$. Moreover, $\Upsilon_{F,\gamma}$ is nonempty for each γ in the space Λ .

A2: For any $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Lambda$ if $\gamma_1 \preceq \gamma_2$ then for each $\nu_1 \in F(x, t, \gamma_1)$ there exists $\nu_2 \in F(x, t, \gamma_2)$ such that

$$|\nu_1(x, t) - \nu_2(x, t)| \leq g_1(x, t) \left(\frac{1}{2} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 6 \{ |\gamma_1 - \gamma_2| + |\gamma_{1x} - \gamma_{2x}| \}, \\ |\nu_1 - \gamma_1| + |\nu_{1x} - \gamma_{1x}|, \\ |\nu_2 - \gamma_2| + |\nu_{2x} - \gamma_{2x}|, \\ |\nu_1 - \gamma_2| + |\nu_{1x} - \gamma_{2x}| + \\ \frac{|\nu_2 - \gamma_1| + |\nu_{2x} - \gamma_{1x}|}{\{ |\nu_1 - \gamma_1| + |\nu_{1x} - \gamma_{1x}| \} \times \\ \{ 1 + |\nu_2 - \gamma_2| + |\nu_{2x} - \gamma_{2x}| \}}, \\ \frac{1 + 6 \{ |\gamma_1 - \gamma_2| + |\gamma_{1x} - \gamma_{2x}| \}}{\{ |\nu_1 - \gamma_2| + |\nu_{1x} - \gamma_{2x}| \} \times \\ \{ 1 + |\nu_2 - \gamma_1| + |\nu_{2x} - \gamma_{1x}| \}} \end{array} \right\} \right) \\ + g_2(x, t) \left[\frac{3L}{k} \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} |\nu_1 - \gamma_1| + |\nu_{1x} - \gamma_{1x}|, \\ |\nu_2 - \gamma_2| + |\nu_{2x} - \gamma_{2x}|, \\ |\nu_2 - \gamma_1| + |\nu_{2x} - \gamma_{1x}|, \\ |\nu_1 - \gamma_2| + |\nu_{1x} - \gamma_{2x}| \end{array} \right\} \right], \quad (4.9)$$

where $L \geq 0$ and $0 < k < 1$. Then there exists a solution of the differential inclusion (4.1).

Proof. The given problem is equivalent to the following integral inclusion

$$\gamma(x, t) \in \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \zeta \in \Lambda : \zeta(x, t) = \alpha(t) + \beta(t) - \alpha(0) + \\ \int_0^t \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(\chi, \tau) d\chi d\tau, \text{ for } \delta \in \Upsilon_{F, \gamma} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Define a mapping $S : \Lambda \rightarrow 2^\Lambda$ by

$$(S\gamma)(x, t) \in \{ \zeta \in \Lambda : \zeta(x, t) = \alpha(t) + \beta(t) - \alpha(0) + \pi(\delta(\chi, \tau)), \text{ for } \delta \in \Upsilon_{F, \gamma} \}.$$

Now we prove that $(S\gamma)(x, t)$ is compact for each $\gamma \in \Lambda$ and $\delta \in \Upsilon_{F, \gamma}$. For this purpose it is enough to prove that $\pi \circ \Upsilon_{F, \gamma}$ is compact. Let us assume that $\{\kappa_n\}$ be a sequence in $\Upsilon_{F, \gamma}$. Then by definition of $\Upsilon_{F, \gamma}$

$$\kappa_n \in \mathcal{L} \text{ and } \kappa_n \in F(x, t, \gamma(x, t)) \text{ a.e. } (x, t) \in \Pi_{\mathbb{R} \times T}.$$

Since $F(x, t, \gamma(x, t))$ is compact so there exists $\kappa(x, t) \in F(x, t, \gamma(x, t))$ such that $\kappa_n \rightarrow \kappa$. By the continuity of π , $\pi \circ \kappa_n \rightarrow \pi \circ \kappa$. Since $\kappa \in \mathcal{L}$ and $\kappa(x, t) \in F(x, t, \gamma(x, t))$ therefore $\pi \circ \kappa \in \pi \circ \Upsilon_{F, \gamma}$ which implies the compactness of $\pi \circ \Upsilon_{F, \gamma}$.

Now suppose $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Lambda$ with $\gamma_1 \preceq \gamma_2$ and $\omega_1 \in \Gamma_{\gamma_1}$, then there exists $\xi_1 \in \Upsilon_{F, \gamma_1}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_1(x, t) &= \alpha(t) + \beta(t) - \alpha(0) + \pi(\xi_1(x, t)) \\ &= \alpha(t) + \beta(t) - \alpha(0) + \int_0^t \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \xi_1(\vartheta, \varrho) d\vartheta d\varrho, \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{for } (x, t) \in \Pi_{\mathbb{R} \times T}.$$

Now by the given assumption there exists a ς in $F(x, t, \gamma_2)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |\xi_1(x, t) - \varsigma| &\leq g_1(x, t) \times \\ & \frac{1}{2} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 6 \{|\gamma_1 - \gamma_2| + |\gamma_{1x} - \gamma_{2x}|, \\ |\xi_1 - \gamma_1| + |\xi_{1x} - \gamma_{1x}|, \\ |\xi_2 - \gamma_2| + |\xi_{2x} - \gamma_{2x}|, \\ |\xi_1 - \gamma_2| + |\xi_{1x} - \gamma_{2x}| + \\ |\xi_2 - \gamma_1| + |\xi_{2x} - \gamma_{1x}|, \\ \frac{\{|\xi_1 - \gamma_1| + |\xi_{1x} - \gamma_{1x}|\} \times \\ \{1 + |\xi_2 - \gamma_2| + |\xi_{2x} - \gamma_{2x}|\}}{1+6\{|\gamma_1 - \gamma_2| + |\gamma_{1x} - \gamma_{2x}|\}}, \\ \frac{\{|\xi_1 - \gamma_2| + |\xi_{1x} - \gamma_{2x}|\} \times \\ \{1 + |\xi_2 - \gamma_1| + |\xi_{2x} - \gamma_{1x}|\}}{1+6\{|\gamma_1 - \gamma_2| + |\gamma_{1x} - \gamma_{2x}|\}} \end{array} \right\}, \\ & + g_2(x, t) \times \\ & \left[\frac{3L}{k} \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} |\nu_1 - \gamma_1| + |\nu_{1x} - \gamma_{1x}|, \\ |\nu_2 - \gamma_2| + |\nu_{2x} - \gamma_{2x}|, \\ |\nu_2 - \gamma_1| + |\nu_{2x} - \gamma_{1x}|, \\ |\nu_1 - \gamma_2| + |\nu_{1x} - \gamma_{2x}| \end{array} \right\} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Define a multivalued mapping $\mathcal{U} : \Pi_{\mathbb{R} \times T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\mathcal{U}(x, t) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \varsigma \in \mathbb{R} : |\xi_1(x, t) - \varsigma| \leq g_1(x, t) \times \\ \frac{1}{2} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 6 \{|\gamma_1 - \gamma_2| + |\gamma_{1x} - \gamma_{2x}|, \\ |\xi_1 - \gamma_1| + |\xi_{1x} - \gamma_{1x}|, \\ |\xi_2 - \gamma_2| + |\xi_{2x} - \gamma_{2x}|, \\ |\xi_1 - \gamma_2| + |\xi_{1x} - \gamma_{2x}| + \\ |\xi_2 - \gamma_1| + |\xi_{2x} - \gamma_{1x}|, \\ \frac{\{|\xi_1 - \gamma_1| + |\xi_{1x} - \gamma_{1x}|\} \times \\ \{1 + |\xi_2 - \gamma_2| + |\xi_{2x} - \gamma_{2x}|\}}{1+6\{|\gamma_1 - \gamma_2| + |\gamma_{1x} - \gamma_{2x}|\}}, \\ \frac{\{|\xi_1 - \gamma_2| + |\xi_{1x} - \gamma_{2x}|\} \times \\ \{1 + |\xi_2 - \gamma_1| + |\xi_{2x} - \gamma_{1x}|\}}{1+6\{|\gamma_1 - \gamma_2| + |\gamma_{1x} - \gamma_{2x}|\}} \end{array} \right\}, \\ + g_2(x, t) \times \\ \left[\frac{3L}{k} \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} |\nu_1 - \gamma_1| + |\nu_{1x} - \gamma_{1x}|, \\ |\nu_2 - \gamma_2| + |\nu_{2x} - \gamma_{2x}|, \\ |\nu_2 - \gamma_1| + |\nu_{2x} - \gamma_{1x}|, \\ |\nu_1 - \gamma_2| + |\nu_{1x} - \gamma_{2x}| \end{array} \right\} \right] \end{array} \right\};$$

then the mapping $\Phi : \Pi_{\mathbb{R} \times T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\Phi(\varkappa, \gamma) = \mathcal{U}(x, t) \cap \Upsilon_{F, \gamma_1}$$

is a measurable selection which has non-empty values [7]. So there exists ξ_2 in Φ such that $\xi_2 \in F(x, t, \gamma_2)$ and

$$|\xi_1(x, t) - \xi_2(x, t)| \leq g_1(x, t) \times$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 6 \{|\gamma_1 - \gamma_2| + |\gamma_{1x} - \gamma_{2x}| \}, \\ |\xi_1 - \gamma_1| + |\xi_{1x} - \gamma_{1x}|, \\ |\xi_2 - \gamma_2| + |\xi_{2x} - \gamma_{2x}|, \\ |\xi_1 - \gamma_2| + |\xi_{1x} - \gamma_{2x}| + \\ |\xi_2 - \gamma_1| + |\xi_{2x} - \gamma_{1x}|, \\ \frac{\{|\xi_1 - \gamma_1| + |\xi_{1x} - \gamma_{1x}|\} \times}{1+6\{|\gamma_1 - \gamma_2| + |\gamma_{1x} - \gamma_{2x}|\}}, \\ \frac{\{1 + |\xi_2 - \gamma_2| + |\xi_{2x} - \gamma_{2x}|\}}{1+6\{|\gamma_1 - \gamma_2| + |\gamma_{1x} - \gamma_{2x}|\}}, \\ \frac{\{|\xi_1 - \gamma_2| + |\xi_{1x} - \gamma_{2x}|\} \times}{1+6\{|\gamma_1 - \gamma_2| + |\gamma_{1x} - \gamma_{2x}|\}} \end{array} \right\} \\
& + g_2(x, t) \times \\
& \left[\frac{3L}{k} \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} |\nu_1 - \gamma_1| + |\nu_{1x} - \gamma_{1x}|, \\ |\nu_2 - \gamma_2| + |\nu_{2x} - \gamma_{2x}|, \\ |\nu_2 - \gamma_1| + |\nu_{2x} - \gamma_{1x}|, \\ |\nu_1 - \gamma_2| + |\nu_{1x} - \gamma_{2x}| \end{array} \right\} \right], \\
& \text{for all } (x, t) \in \Pi_{\mathbb{R} \times T}.
\end{aligned}$$

For each $(x, t) \in \Pi_{\mathbb{R} \times T}$, set

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_2(x, t) &= \alpha(t) + \beta(t) - \alpha(0) + \pi(\xi_2(x, t)) \\
&= \alpha(t) + \beta(t) - \alpha(0) + \int_0^t \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \xi_2(\vartheta, \varrho) d\vartheta d\varrho.
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\omega_1(x, t) - \omega_2(x, t)| \leq \int_0^{\gamma} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\xi_1(\varrho, \tau) - \xi_2(\varrho, \tau)| d\varrho d\tau \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 6 \{|\gamma_1 - \gamma_2| + |\gamma_{1x} - \gamma_{2x}| \}, \\ |\xi_1 - \gamma_1| + |\xi_{1x} - \gamma_{1x}|, \\ |\xi_2 - \gamma_2| + |\xi_{2x} - \gamma_{2x}|, \\ |\xi_1 - \gamma_2| + |\xi_{1x} - \gamma_{2x}| + \\ |\xi_2 - \gamma_1| + |\xi_{2x} - \gamma_{1x}|, \\ \frac{\{|\xi_1 - \gamma_1| + |\xi_{1x} - \gamma_{1x}|\} \times}{1+6\{|\gamma_1 - \gamma_2| + |\gamma_{1x} - \gamma_{2x}|\}}, \\ \frac{\{1 + |\xi_2 - \gamma_2| + |\xi_{2x} - \gamma_{2x}|\}}{1+6\{|\gamma_1 - \gamma_2| + |\gamma_{1x} - \gamma_{2x}|\}}, \\ \frac{\{|\xi_1 - \gamma_2| + |\xi_{1x} - \gamma_{2x}|\} \times}{1+6\{|\gamma_1 - \gamma_2| + |\gamma_{1x} - \gamma_{2x}|\}} \end{array} \right\} \\
& \times \int_0^t \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_1(\varrho, \tau) d\varrho d\tau
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{3L}{k} \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} |\nu_1 - \gamma_1| + |\nu_{1x} - \gamma_{1x}|, \\ |\nu_2 - \gamma_2| + |\nu_{2x} - \gamma_{2x}|, \\ |\nu_2 - \gamma_1| + |\nu_{2x} - \gamma_{1x}|, \\ |\nu_1 - \gamma_2| + |\nu_{1x} - \gamma_{2x}| \end{array} \right\} \\
& \times \int_0^t \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_2(\varrho, \tau) d\varrho d\tau \\
& \leq \frac{\|g_1\|_{\mathcal{L}}}{2} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 6\{|\gamma_1 - \gamma_2| + |\gamma_{1x} - \gamma_{2x}|\}, \\ |\xi_1 - \gamma_1| + |\xi_{1x} - \gamma_{1x}|, \\ |\xi_2 - \gamma_2| + |\xi_{2x} - \gamma_{2x}|, \\ |\xi_1 - \gamma_2| + |\xi_{1x} - \gamma_{2x}| + \\ \frac{|\xi_2 - \gamma_1| + |\xi_{2x} - \gamma_{1x}|}{\sqrt{1+6\{|\gamma_1 - \gamma_2| + |\gamma_{1x} - \gamma_{2x}|\}}}, \\ \{|\xi_1 - \gamma_1| + |\xi_{1x} - \gamma_{1x}|\} \times \\ \frac{\{1 + |\xi_2 - \gamma_2| + |\xi_{2x} - \gamma_{2x}|\}}{1+6\{|\gamma_1 - \gamma_2| + |\gamma_{1x} - \gamma_{2x}|\}}, \\ \{|\xi_1 - \gamma_2| + |\xi_{1x} - \gamma_{2x}|\} \times \\ \frac{\{1 + |\xi_2 - \gamma_1| + |\xi_{2x} - \gamma_{1x}|\}}{1+6\{|\gamma_1 - \gamma_2| + |\gamma_{1x} - \gamma_{2x}|\}} \end{array} \right\} \\
& + \|g_2\|_{\mathcal{L}} \frac{3L}{k} \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} |\nu_1 - \gamma_1| + |\nu_{1x} - \gamma_{1x}|, \\ |\nu_2 - \gamma_2| + |\nu_{2x} - \gamma_{2x}|, \\ |\nu_2 - \gamma_1| + |\nu_{2x} - \gamma_{1x}|, \\ |\nu_1 - \gamma_2| + |\nu_{1x} - \gamma_{2x}| \end{array} \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking sup on both sides

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{(x,t) \in \Pi_{\mathbb{R} \times T}} |\omega_1(x,t) - \omega_2(x,t)| & \leq \frac{\|g_1\|_{\mathcal{L}}}{2} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 6\mathcal{G}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_2), \\ \mathcal{G}(\gamma_1, \Gamma\gamma_1, \Gamma\gamma_1), \\ \mathcal{G}(\gamma_2, \Gamma\gamma_2, \Gamma\gamma_2), \\ \mathcal{G}(\gamma_2, \Gamma\gamma_1, \Gamma\gamma_1) + \\ \frac{\mathcal{G}(\gamma_1, \Gamma\gamma_2, \Gamma\gamma_2)}{\sqrt{1+6\mathcal{G}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_2)}}, \\ \frac{\mathcal{G}(\gamma_2, \Gamma\gamma_2, \Gamma\gamma_2)}{[1 + \mathcal{G}(\gamma_1, \Gamma\gamma_1, \Gamma\gamma_1)]}, \\ \frac{\mathcal{G}(\gamma_2, \Gamma\gamma_1, \Gamma\gamma_1)}{1+6\mathcal{G}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_2)}, \\ \frac{[\mathcal{G}(\gamma_1, \Gamma\gamma_2, \Gamma\gamma_2)]}{1+6\mathcal{G}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_2)} \end{array} \right\} \\
& + \|g_2\|_{\mathcal{L}} \frac{3L}{k} \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{G}(\gamma_1, \Gamma\gamma_1, \Gamma\gamma_1), \\ \mathcal{G}(\gamma_2, \Gamma\gamma_2, \Gamma\gamma_2), \\ \mathcal{G}(\gamma_1, \Gamma\gamma_2, \Gamma\gamma_2), \\ \mathcal{G}(\gamma_2, \Gamma\gamma_1, \Gamma\gamma_1) \end{array} \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \frac{k}{12} \max \left(\begin{array}{l} 6\mathcal{G}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_2), \\ \mathcal{G}(\gamma_1, \Gamma\gamma_1, \Gamma\gamma_1), \\ \mathcal{G}(\gamma_2, \Gamma\gamma_2, \Gamma\gamma_2), \\ \mathcal{G}(\gamma_2, \Gamma\gamma_1, \Gamma\gamma_1) + \\ \frac{\mathcal{G}(\gamma_1, \Gamma\gamma_2, \Gamma\gamma_2)}{\mathcal{G}(\gamma_2, \Gamma\gamma_2, \Gamma\gamma_2)}, \\ \frac{[1 + \mathcal{G}(\gamma_1, \Gamma\gamma_1, \Gamma\gamma_1)]}{1+6\mathcal{G}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_2)}, \\ \frac{\mathcal{G}(\gamma_2, \Gamma\gamma_1, \Gamma\gamma_1)}{[1 + \mathcal{G}(\gamma_1, \Gamma\gamma_2, \Gamma\gamma_2)]} \\ \frac{[1 + \mathcal{G}(\gamma_1, \Gamma\gamma_2, \Gamma\gamma_2)]}{1+6\mathcal{G}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_2)} \end{array} \right) \\ + \frac{L}{2} \min \left(\begin{array}{l} \mathcal{G}(\gamma_1, \Gamma\gamma_1, \Gamma\gamma_1), \\ \mathcal{G}(\gamma_2, \Gamma\gamma_2, \Gamma\gamma_2), \\ \mathcal{G}(\gamma_1, \Gamma\gamma_2, \Gamma\gamma_2), \\ \mathcal{G}(\gamma_2, \Gamma\gamma_1, \Gamma\gamma_1) \end{array} \right),$$

where $\frac{k}{6} = \|g_i\|_{\mathcal{L}} \leq 1$ for $i = 1, 2$.

Now

$$|\omega_{1x}(x, t) - \omega_{2x}(x, t)| \leq \int_0^\gamma \int_{-\infty}^\infty |\xi_{1x}(\varrho, \tau) - \xi_{2x}(\varrho, \tau)| d\varrho d\tau \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} \max \left(\begin{array}{l} 6\{|\gamma_1 - \gamma_2| + |\gamma_{1x} - \gamma_{2x}|\}, \\ |\xi_1 - \gamma_1| + |\xi_{1x} - \gamma_{1x}|, \\ |\xi_2 - \gamma_2| + |\xi_{2x} - \gamma_{2x}|, \\ |\xi_1 - \gamma_2| + |\xi_{1x} - \gamma_{2x}| + \\ \frac{|\xi_2 - \gamma_1| + |\xi_{2x} - \gamma_{1x}|}{\{|\xi_1 - \gamma_1| + |\xi_{1x} - \gamma_{1x}|\} \times \\ \{1 + |\xi_2 - \gamma_2| + |\xi_{2x} - \gamma_{2x}|\}}, \\ \frac{\{|\xi_1 - \gamma_2| + |\xi_{1x} - \gamma_{2x}|\} \times \\ \{1 + |\xi_2 - \gamma_1| + |\xi_{2x} - \gamma_{1x}|\}}{1+6\{|\gamma_1 - \gamma_2| + |\gamma_{1x} - \gamma_{2x}|\}}, \\ \frac{\{|\xi_1 - \gamma_2| + |\xi_{1x} - \gamma_{2x}|\} \times \\ \{1 + |\xi_2 - \gamma_1| + |\xi_{2x} - \gamma_{1x}|\}}{1+6\{|\gamma_1 - \gamma_2| + |\gamma_{1x} - \gamma_{2x}|\}} \end{array} \right) \\ \times \int_0^t \int_{-\infty}^\infty g_{1x}(\varrho, \tau) d\varrho d\tau \\ + \frac{3L}{k} \min \left(\begin{array}{l} |\nu_1 - \gamma_1| + |\nu_{1x} - \gamma_{1x}|, \\ |\nu_2 - \gamma_2| + |\nu_{2x} - \gamma_{2x}|, \\ |\nu_2 - \gamma_1| + |\nu_{2x} - \gamma_{1x}|, \\ |\nu_1 - \gamma_2| + |\nu_{1x} - \gamma_{2x}| \end{array} \right) \\ \times \int_0^t \int_{-\infty}^\infty g_{2x}(\varrho, \tau) d\varrho d\tau$$

$$\leq \frac{\|g_{1x}\|_{\mathcal{L}}}{2} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 6\{|\gamma_1 - \gamma_2| + |\gamma_{1x} - \gamma_{2x}|\}, \\ |\xi_1 - \gamma_1| + |\xi_{1x} - \gamma_{1x}|, \\ |\xi_2 - \gamma_2| + |\xi_{2x} - \gamma_{2x}|, \\ |\xi_1 - \gamma_2| + |\xi_{1x} - \gamma_{2x}| + \\ |\xi_2 - \gamma_1| + |\xi_{2x} - \gamma_{1x}| \\ \hline \frac{2}{\{|\xi_1 - \gamma_1| + |\xi_{1x} - \gamma_{1x}|\} \times \\ \{1 + |\xi_2 - \gamma_2| + |\xi_{2x} - \gamma_{2x}|\}} \\ \frac{1+6\{|\gamma_1 - \gamma_2| + |\gamma_{1x} - \gamma_{2x}|\}}{1+6\{|\gamma_1 - \gamma_2| + |\gamma_{1x} - \gamma_{2x}|\}}, \\ \{|\xi_1 - \gamma_2| + |\xi_{1x} - \gamma_{2x}|\} \times \\ \{1 + |\xi_2 - \gamma_1| + |\xi_{2x} - \gamma_{1x}|\} \\ \hline \frac{2}{1+6\{|\gamma_1 - \gamma_2| + |\gamma_{1x} - \gamma_{2x}|\}} \end{array} \right\} \\ + \|g_{2x}\|_{\mathcal{L}} \frac{3L}{k} \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} |\nu_1 - \gamma_1| + |\nu_{1x} - \gamma_{1x}|, \\ |\nu_2 - \gamma_2| + |\nu_{2x} - \gamma_{2x}|, \\ |\nu_2 - \gamma_1| + |\nu_{2x} - \gamma_{1x}|, \\ |\nu_1 - \gamma_2| + |\nu_{1x} - \gamma_{2x}| \end{array} \right\}.$$

Taking sup on both sides

$$\sup_{(x,t) \in \Pi_{\mathbb{R} \times T}} |\omega_{1x}(x,t) - \omega_{2x}(x,t)| \leq \frac{\|g_{1x}\|_{\mathcal{L}}}{2} \times \\ \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 6\mathcal{G}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_2), \\ \mathcal{G}(\gamma_1, \Gamma\gamma_1, \Gamma\gamma_1), \\ \mathcal{G}(\gamma_2, \Gamma\gamma_2, \Gamma\gamma_2), \\ \mathcal{G}(\gamma_2, \Gamma\gamma_1, \Gamma\gamma_1) + \\ \frac{\mathcal{G}(\gamma_1, \Gamma\gamma_2, \Gamma\gamma_2)}{2}, \\ \frac{\mathcal{G}(\gamma_2, \Gamma\gamma_2, \Gamma\gamma_2)}{2} \\ \frac{[1 + \mathcal{G}(\gamma_1, \Gamma\gamma_1, \Gamma\gamma_1)]}{1+6\mathcal{G}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_2)}, \\ \frac{\mathcal{G}(\gamma_2, \Gamma\gamma_1, \Gamma\gamma_1)}{1+6\mathcal{G}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_2)} \\ \frac{[1 + \mathcal{G}(\gamma_1, \Gamma\gamma_2, \Gamma\gamma_2)]}{1+6\mathcal{G}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_2)} \end{array} \right\} \\ + \|g_{2x}\|_{\mathcal{L}} \frac{3L}{k} \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{G}(\gamma_1, \Gamma\gamma_1, \Gamma\gamma_1), \\ \mathcal{G}(\gamma_2, \Gamma\gamma_2, \Gamma\gamma_2), \\ \mathcal{G}(\gamma_1, \Gamma\gamma_2, \Gamma\gamma_2), \\ \mathcal{G}(\gamma_2, \Gamma\gamma_1, \Gamma\gamma_1) \end{array} \right\} \\ \leq \frac{k}{12} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 6\mathcal{G}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_2), \\ \mathcal{G}(\gamma_1, \Gamma\gamma_1, \Gamma\gamma_1), \\ \mathcal{G}(\gamma_2, \Gamma\gamma_2, \Gamma\gamma_2), \\ \frac{\mathcal{G}(\gamma_2, \Gamma\gamma_1, \Gamma\gamma_1) + \mathcal{G}(\gamma_1, \Gamma\gamma_2, \Gamma\gamma_2)}{2}, \\ \frac{\mathcal{G}(\gamma_2, \Gamma\gamma_2, \Gamma\gamma_2)[1 + \mathcal{G}(\gamma_1, \Gamma\gamma_1, \Gamma\gamma_1)]}{1+6\mathcal{G}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_2)}, \\ \frac{\mathcal{G}(\gamma_2, \Gamma\gamma_1, \Gamma\gamma_1)[1 + \mathcal{G}(\gamma_1, \Gamma\gamma_2, \Gamma\gamma_2)]}{1+6\mathcal{G}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_2)} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$+ \frac{L}{2} \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{G}(\gamma_1, \Gamma\gamma_1, \Gamma\gamma_1), \\ \mathcal{G}(\gamma_2, \Gamma\gamma_2, \Gamma\gamma_2), \\ \mathcal{G}(\gamma_1, \Gamma\gamma_2, \Gamma\gamma_2), \\ \mathcal{G}(\gamma_2, \Gamma\gamma_1, \Gamma\gamma_1) \end{array} \right\}.$$

Thus we have

$$H_{\mathcal{G}}(\Gamma\gamma_1, \Gamma\gamma_2, \Gamma\gamma_2) \leq \frac{k}{6} \max \left(\begin{array}{l} 6\mathcal{G}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_2), \\ \mathcal{G}(\gamma_1, \Gamma\gamma_1, \Gamma\gamma_1), \\ \mathcal{G}(\gamma_2, \Gamma\gamma_2, \Gamma\gamma_2), \\ \mathcal{G}(\gamma_2, \Gamma\gamma_1, \Gamma\gamma_1) + \\ \frac{\mathcal{G}(\gamma_1, \Gamma\gamma_2, \Gamma\gamma_2)}{\mathcal{G}(\gamma_2, \Gamma\gamma_2, \Gamma\gamma_2)^2}, \\ \frac{[1 + \mathcal{G}(\gamma_1, \Gamma\gamma_1, \Gamma\gamma_1)]}{1 + 6\mathcal{G}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_2)}, \\ \mathcal{G}(\gamma_2, \Gamma\gamma_1, \Gamma\gamma_1) \\ \frac{[1 + \mathcal{G}(\gamma_1, \Gamma\gamma_2, \Gamma\gamma_2)]}{1 + 6\mathcal{G}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_2)} \end{array} \right) \\ + L \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{G}(\gamma_1, \Gamma\gamma_1, \Gamma\gamma_1), \\ \mathcal{G}(\gamma_2, \Gamma\gamma_2, \Gamma\gamma_2), \\ \mathcal{G}(\gamma_1, \Gamma\gamma_2, \Gamma\gamma_2), \\ \mathcal{G}(\gamma_2, \Gamma\gamma_1, \Gamma\gamma_1) \end{array} \right\}.$$

Assuming $\psi(t) = kt$ for all $t \in [0, \infty)$ and $\alpha(\varphi, \nu, \nu) = 1$ for all $\varphi, \nu \in \Lambda$ we get the hypothesis of the Corollary 1, which gives $\gamma \in \Lambda$ such that $\gamma \in \Gamma\gamma$, which is the solution of the Problem (4.1). \square

5. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to the referees for their careful reading of our paper and many valuable suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Abbas and B. E. Rhoades, *Common fixed point results for noncommuting mappings without continuity in generalized metric spaces*, Applied Mathematics and Computation **215**, No. 1, (2009) 262-269.
- [2] Y. I. Alber and S. G.-Delabriere, *Principle of weakly contractive maps in Hilbert spaces*, In New Results in Operator Theory and Its Applications, Birkhäuser, Basel (1997) 7-22.
- [3] M. A. Alghamdi and E. Karapinar, *G-beta-psi-contractive type mappings in G-metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory and Applications **1**, (2013) 123.
- [4] P. Amiri, S. Rezapour and N. Shahzad, *Fixed points of generalized alpha psi -contractions*, Revista de la Real Academia de Ciencias Exactas, Fisicas y Naturales. Serie A. Matematicas, **108**, No. 2 (2014) 519-526.
- [5] M. Arshad, A. Azam and P. Vetro, *Some common fixed point results in cone metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory and Applications **1**, (2009) 493965.
- [6] M. Asadi, E. Karapinar and P. Salimi, *A new approach to G-metric and related fixed point theorems*, Journal of Inequalities and Applications **1**, (2013) 454.
- [7] J. P. Aubin and A. Cellina, *Differential inclusions: set-valued maps and viability theory*, Springer Science & Business Media **264**, (2012).
- [8] A. Azam, *Coincidence points of mappings and relations with applications*, Fixed Point Theory and Applications **1**, (2012) 50.
- [9] A. Azam and N. Mehmood, *Fixed point theorems for multivalued mappings in G-cone metric spaces*, Journal of Inequalities and Applications **1**, (2013) 354.

- [10] S. Banach, *Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux équations intégrales*, Fund. math. **3**, No. 1 (1922) 133-181.
- [11] V. Berinde, *Approximating fixed points of weak contractions using the Picard iteration*, In Nonlinear Analysis Forum **9**, (2004) 43-54.
- [12] M. Berinde and V. Berinde, *On a general class of multi-valued weakly Picard mappings*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications **326**, No. 2 (2007) 772-782.
- [13] S. H. Cho, *A fixed point theorem for weakly alpha-contractive mappings with application*, Appl. Math. Sci. **60**, No. 7 (2013) 2953-2965.
- [14] B. S. Choudhury, N. Metiya and C. Bandyopadhyay, *Fixed points of multivalued alpha-admissible mappings and stability of fixed point sets in metric spaces*, Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo **64**, No. 1 (2015) 43-55.
- [15] B. S. Choudhury, N. Metiya, T. Som and C. Bandyopadhyay, *Multivalued fixed point results and stability of fixed point sets in metric spaces*, Facta Universitatis, Series: Mathematics and Informatics **30**, No. 4 (2015) 501-512.
- [16] L. B. Ćirić and J. S. Ume, *Some common fixed point theorems for weakly compatible mappings*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications **314**, No. 2 (2006) 488-499.
- [17] M. Fakhar, *Endpoints of set-valued asymptotic contractions in metric spaces*, Applied Mathematics Letters **24**, No. 4 (2011) 428-431.
- [18] M. E. Gordji, H. Baghani, H. Khodaei and M. Ramezani, *A generalization of Nadler's fixed point theorem*, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. **3**, No. 2 (2010) 148-151.
- [19] A. A.-Harandi, *Endpoints of set-valued contractions in metric spaces*, Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications **72**, No. 1 (2010) 132-134.
- [20] N. Hussain, E. Karapınar, P. Salimi and F. Akbar, *Alpha-admissible mappings and related fixed point theorems*, Journal of Inequalities and Applications **1**, (2013) 114.
- [21] N. Hussain, V. Parvaneh and F. Golkarmanesh, *Coupled and tripled coincidence point results under (F , g)-invariant sets in G_b -metric spaces and G alpha-admissible mappings*, Mathematical Sciences **9**, No. 1 (2015) 11-26.
- [22] M. Jleli and B. Samet, *Remarks on G -metric spaces and fixed point theorems*, Fixed Point Theory and Applications **1**, (2012) 210.
- [23] A. Kaewcharoen and A. Kaewkhao, *Common fixed points for single-valued and multi-valued mappings in G -metric spaces*, Int. J. Math. Anal. **36**, No. 5 (2011) 1775-1790.
- [24] E. Karapınar and R. P. Agarwal, *A note on 'Coupled fixed point theorems for alpha-psi-contractive-type mappings in partially ordered metric spaces'*, Fixed Point Theory and Applications **1**, (2013) 216.
- [25] S. A. Khuri and A. Sayfy, *Variational iteration method: Green's functions and fixed point iterations perspective*, Applied Mathematics Letters **32**, (2014) 28-34.
- [26] S. A. Khuri and A. Sayfy, *A novel fixed point scheme: Proper setting of variational iteration method for BVPs*, Applied Mathematics Letters **48**, (2015) 75-84.
- [27] S. K. Mohanta, *Some fixed point theorems in G -metric spaces*, Analele Universitatii "Ovidius" Constanta-Seria Matematica, **20**, No. 1 (2012) 285-306.
- [28] Z. Mustafa and B. Sims, *A new approach to generalized metric spaces*, Journal of Nonlinear and Convex Analysis **7**, No. 2 (2006) 289-297.
- [29] Nadeem, M. Faisal, Sohail Zafar, and Zohaib Zahid. *Some Topological Indices of $L(S)$ ($CNC_k[n]$)*. Punjab University Journal of Mathematics 49, no. 1 (2017): 13-17.
- [30] Z. Mustafa, W. Shatanawi and M. Bataineh, *Existence of fixed point results in G -metric spaces*, International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences (2009).
- [31] Z. Mustafa and B. Sims, *Fixed point theorems for contractive mappings in complete G -metric spaces*, Fixed point theory and Applications **1**, (2009) 917175.
- [32] Z. Mustafa, *Some new common fixed point theorems under strict contractive conditions in G -metric spaces*, Journal of Applied Mathematics (2012).
- [33] Z. Mustafa, M. Arshad, S. Khan, J. Ahmad and M. Jaradat, *Common fixed points for multivalued mappings in G -metric spaces with applications*, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. **10**, (2017) 2550-2564.
- [34] S. Nadler, *Multi-valued contraction mappings*, Pacific Journal of Mathematics **30**, No. 2 (1969) 475-488.
- [35] H. Poincaré, *Sur les courbes qui déterminent une équation différentielle*, J. de Math. **2**, (1886) 54-65.

- [36] M. Rashid, A. Azam and N. Mehmood, *L-fuzzy fixed points theorems for L-fuzzy mappings via-admissible pair*, The Scientific World Journal (2014).
- [37] Riaz, Muhammad, and Khalid Naeem. *Measurable soft mappings*. Punjab Univ. j. math 48, no. 2 (2016): 19-34.
- [38] P. Salimi, A. Latif and N. Hussain, *Modified alpha-psi-contractive mappings with applications*, Fixed Point Theory and Applications **1**, (2013) 151.
- [39] B. Samet, C. Vetro and P. Vetro, *Fixed point theorems for alpha-psi-contractive type mappings*, Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications **75**, No. 4 (2012) 2154-2165.
- [40] W. Sintunavarat and P. Kumam, *Coincidence and common fixed points for hybrid strict contractions without the weakly commuting condition*, Applied Mathematics Letters **22**, No. 12 (2009) 1877-1881.
- [41] N. Tahat, H. Aydi, E. Karapinar and W. Shatanawi, *Common fixed points for single-valued and multi-valued maps satisfying a generalized contraction in G-metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory and Applications **1**, (2012) 48.