

### Jordan Left Derivations on Lie Ideals of Prime $\Gamma$ -rings

A. K. Halder  
University of Rajshahi  
Department of Mathematics  
Rajshahi-6205  
Rajshahi, Bangladesh  
Email: halderamitabh@yahoo.com

A. C. Paul  
University of Rajshahi  
Department of Mathematics  
Rajshahi-6205  
Rajshahi, Bangladesh  
Email: acpaulru\_math@yahoo.com

**Abstract.** Let  $M$  be a 2-torsion free prime  $\Gamma$ -ring. Let  $U$  be a Lie ideal of  $M$  such that  $u\alpha u \in U$ , for all  $u \in U$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . If  $d : M \rightarrow M$  is an additive mapping such that  $d(u\alpha u) = 2u\alpha d(u)$ , for all  $u \in U$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , then  $d(u\alpha v) = u\alpha d(v) + v\alpha d(u)$ , for all  $u, v \in U$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ .

**AMS (MOS) Subject Classification Codes:** 03E72, 54A40, 54B15

**Key Words:**  $n$ -torsion free, Lie ideals, Jordan left derivations, Prime  $\Gamma$ -rings.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $M$  and  $\Gamma$  be additive abelian groups.  $M$  is said to be a  $\Gamma$ -ring if there exists a mapping  $M \times \Gamma \times M \rightarrow M$  (sending  $(x, \alpha, y)$  into  $x\alpha y$ ) such that

$$(a) (x + y)\alpha z = x\alpha z + y\alpha z,$$

$$x(\alpha + \beta)y = x\alpha y + x\beta y,$$

$$x\alpha(y + z) = x\alpha y + x\alpha z,$$

$$(b) (x\alpha y)\beta z = x\alpha(y\beta z),$$

for all  $x, y, z \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ .

A subset  $A$  of a  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$  is a left(right) ideal of  $M$  if  $A$  is an additive subgroup of  $M$  and  $M\Gamma A = \{m\alpha a : m \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma, a \in A\}$ ,  $A\Gamma M$  is contained in  $A$ . The centre of  $M$  is denoted by  $Z(M)$  which is defined by  $Z(M) = \{m \in M : a\alpha m = m\alpha a, a \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma\}$ .  $M$  is commutative if  $a\alpha b = b\alpha a$ , for all  $a, b \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ .  $M$  is prime if  $a\Gamma M\Gamma b = 0$  with  $a, b \in M$ , then  $a = 0$  or  $b = 0$ . We denote the commutator  $x\alpha y - y\alpha x$  by  $[x, y]_\alpha$ . An additive subgroup  $U$  of  $M$  is said to be a Lie ideal of  $M$  if  $[u, x]_\alpha \in U$ , for all  $u \in U, x \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ .  $M$  is  $n$ -torsion free if  $nx = 0$ , for  $x \in M$  implies  $x = 0$ , where  $n$  is an integer. An additive mapping  $d : M \rightarrow M$  is a derivation if  $d(a\alpha b) = a\alpha d(b) + d(a)\alpha b$ , a left derivation if  $d(a\alpha b) = a\alpha d(b) + b\alpha d(a)$ , a Jordan derivation if  $d(a\alpha a) = a\alpha d(a) + d(a)\alpha a$  and a Jordan left derivation if  $d(a\alpha a) = 2a\alpha d(a)$ , for all  $a, b \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ .

Y.Ceven [3] worked on Jordan left derivations on completely prime  $\Gamma$ -rings. He investigated the existence of a nonzero Jordan left derivation on a completely prime  $\Gamma$ -ring that makes the  $\Gamma$ -ring commutative with an assumption. With the same assumption, he showed that every Jordan left derivation on a completely prime  $\Gamma$ -ring is a left derivation on it. In this paper, he gave an example of Jordan left derivation for  $\Gamma$ -rings.

Mustafa Asci and Sahin Ceran [6] studied on a nonzero left derivation  $d$  on a prime  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$  for which  $M$  is commutative with the conditions  $d(U) \subseteq U$  and  $d^2(U) \subseteq Z$ , where  $U$  is an ideal of  $M$  and  $Z$  is the centre of  $M$ . They also proved the commutativity of  $M$  by the nonzero left derivation  $d_1$  and right derivation  $d_2$  on  $M$  with the conditions  $d_2(U) \subseteq U$  and  $d_1 d_2(U) \subseteq Z$ .

In [7], M.Sapanci and A.Nakajima defined a derivation and a Jordan derivation on  $\Gamma$ -rings and investigated a Jordan derivation on a certain type of completely prime  $\Gamma$ -ring which is a derivation. They also gave examples of a derivation and a Jordan derivation of  $\Gamma$ -rings.

M. Bresar and J.Vukman[2] showed that the existence of a nonzero Jordan left derivation of  $R$  into  $X$  implies  $R$  is commutative, where  $R$  is a ring and  $X$  is 2-torsion free and 3-torsion free left  $R$ -module. In [8], Jun and Kim proved their results without the property 3-torsion free.

Qing Deng [4] worked on Jordan left derivations  $d$  of prime ring  $R$  of characteristic not 2 into a nonzero faithful and prime left  $R$ -module  $X$ . He proved the commutativity of  $R$  with Jordan left derivation  $d$ .

Mohammad Ashraf and Nadeem-Ur-Rehman[1] studied on Lie ideals and Jordan left derivations of prime rings. They proved that if  $d$  is an additive mapping on a 2-torsion free prime ring  $R$  satisfying  $d(u^2) = 2ud(u)$ , for all  $u \in U$ , where  $U$  is a Lie ideal of  $R$  such that  $u^2 \in U$ , for all  $u \in U$ , then  $d(uv) = ud(v) + vd(u)$ , for all  $u \in U$ .

In our paper, we reviewed the results of Mohammad Ashraf and Nadeem-Ur-Rehman[1] in gamma rings. We show that if  $d$  is an additive mapping on a 2-torsion free prime  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$  such that  $d(u\alpha u) = 2u\alpha d(u)$ , for all  $u \in U$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , where  $U$  is a Lie ideal of  $M$  such that  $u\alpha u \in U$ , for all  $u \in U$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , then  $d(u\alpha v) = u\alpha d(v) + v\alpha d(u)$ , for all  $u, v \in U$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . To complete the proof of main result in commutative sense, we take a help from the book ‘Topics in ring theory’ of Herstein[5]. Finally, we showed that every Jordan left derivation on  $U$  is a left derivation.

Throughout this paper, we shall use the mark (\*) for  $a\alpha b\beta c = a\beta b\alpha c$ , for all  $a, b, c \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ .

In order to prove our main result, we shall state and prove some lemmas as primary results.

## 2. PRIMARY RESULTS

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $U \not\subseteq Z(M)$  be a Lie ideal of a 2-torsion free  $\sigma$ -prime  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$ . Then there exists an ideal  $I$  of  $M$  such that  $[I, M]_\alpha \subseteq U$  but  $[I, M]_\alpha \not\subseteq Z(M)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $M$  is 2-torsion free and  $U \not\subseteq Z(M)$ , it follows from the results in [6] that  $[U, U]_\alpha \neq 0$  and  $[I, M]_\alpha \subseteq U$ , where  $I = I_\alpha[U, U]_\alpha \alpha M \neq 0$  is an ideal of  $M$  generated by  $[U, U]_\alpha$ . Now,  $U \not\subseteq Z(M)$  implies  $[I, M]_\alpha \not\subseteq Z(M)$ ; for, if  $[I, M]_\alpha \subseteq Z(M)$  then  $[I, [I, M]_\alpha]_\alpha = 0$ , which gives  $I \subseteq Z(M)$  and, since  $I \neq 0$  is an ideal of  $M$ , so  $M = Z(M)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $U \not\subseteq Z(M)$  be a Lie ideal of a 2-torsion free prime  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$  which satisfies the condition (\*) and  $a, b \in M$  such that  $a\alpha U\beta b = 0$ . Then  $a = 0$  or  $b = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $M$  is 2-torsion free prime  $\Gamma$ -ring, there exists an ideal  $I$  of  $M$  such that  $[I, M]_\alpha \subseteq U$  but  $[I, M]_\alpha \not\subseteq Z(M)$ , by Lemma 1. Now, taking  $u \in U$ ,  $e \in I$  and  $m \in M$ , we have  $[e\alpha a\alpha u, m]_\alpha \in [I, M]_\alpha \subseteq U$ , and so

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= a\alpha[e\alpha a\alpha u, m]_\beta\beta b \\ &= a\alpha[e\alpha a, m]_\alpha\beta u\beta b + a\alpha e\beta a\alpha[u, m]_\alpha\beta b, \text{ by (*)} \\ &= a\alpha[e\alpha a, m]_\alpha\beta u\beta b, \text{ since } a\alpha[u, m]_\alpha \in a\alpha U\beta b \\ &= a\alpha e\alpha a\alpha m\beta u\beta b - a\alpha m\alpha e\alpha a\beta u\beta b \\ &= a\alpha e\alpha a\alpha m\beta u\beta b - a\alpha m\alpha e\beta a\alpha u\beta b, \text{ by (*)} \\ &= a\alpha e\alpha a\alpha m\beta u\beta b, \text{ by assumption.} \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $a\alpha I\alpha a\alpha M\beta U\beta b = 0$ .

If  $a \neq 0$  then  $U\beta b = 0$ , by the primeness of  $M$ . Now, if  $u \in U$  and  $m \in M$  then  $u\alpha m - m\alpha u \in U$  and hence  $(u\alpha m - m\alpha u)\beta b = 0$  implies  $u\alpha m\beta b = 0$ , that is  $u\alpha M\beta b = 0$ . Since  $U \neq 0$ , we must have  $b = 0$ . In the similar fashion, it can be shown that if  $b \neq 0$  then  $a = 0$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $M$  be a 2-torsion free prime  $\Gamma$ -ring and let  $U$  be a Lie ideal of  $M$  such that  $u\alpha u \in U$ , for all  $u \in U$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . If  $d : M \rightarrow M$  is an additive mapping satisfying  $d(u\alpha u) = 2u\alpha d(u)$ , for all  $u \in U$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , then*

- (a)  $d(u\alpha v + v\alpha u) = 2u\alpha d(v) + 2v\alpha d(u)$ . Let  $M$  satisfy (\*), then
  - (b)  $d(u\alpha v\beta u) = u\alpha v\beta d(u) + 3u\alpha v\beta d(u) - v\alpha u\beta d(u)$ ,
  - (c)  $d(u\alpha v\beta w + w\alpha v\beta u) = (u\alpha w + w\alpha u)\beta d(v) + 3u\alpha v\beta d(w) + 3w\alpha v\beta d(u) - v\alpha u\beta d(w) - v\alpha w\beta d(u)$ ,
  - (d)  $[u, v]_\alpha\alpha u\beta d(u) = u\alpha[u, v]_\alpha\beta d(u)$
  - (e)  $[u, v]_\alpha\beta(d(u\alpha v) - u\alpha d(v) - v\alpha d(u)) = 0$ ,
- for all  $u, v, w \in U$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ .

*Proof.* Since  $u\alpha v + v\alpha u = (u + v)\alpha(u + v) - u\alpha u - v\alpha v$ , we have  $u\alpha v + v\alpha u \in U$ , for all  $u, v \in U$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . Then  $d(u\alpha v + v\alpha u) = d((u + v)\alpha(u + v)) - d(u\alpha u) - d(v\alpha v)$  with our hypothesis yields the required result.

Replacing  $v$  by  $u\beta v + v\beta u$  in (a), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(u\alpha(u\beta v + v\beta u) + (u\beta v + v\beta u)\alpha u) &= \\ 2u\alpha d(u\beta v + v\beta u) + 2(u\beta v + v\beta u)\alpha d(u). \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

Since  $u\alpha v + v\alpha u \in U$ , by (\*) we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(u\alpha(u\beta v + v\beta u) + (u\beta v + v\beta u)\alpha u) &= \\ 4u\alpha u\beta d(v) + 6u\alpha v\beta d(u) + 2v\alpha u\beta d(u). \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} d(u\alpha(u\beta v + v\beta u) + (u\beta v + v\beta u)\alpha u) &= \\ d(u\alpha u\beta v + v\beta u\alpha u) + 2d(u\alpha v\beta u) &= \\ 2u\alpha u\beta d(v) + 4v\alpha u\beta d(u) + 2d(u\alpha v\beta u). \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Combining (2.2) and (2.3) and using the condition that  $M$  is 2-torsion free, we obtain (b).

Replacing  $u + w$  for  $u$  in (b) and using (\*), we get

$$\begin{aligned} d((u + w)\alpha v\beta(u + w)) &= \\ u\alpha u\beta d(v) + w\alpha w\beta d(v) + (u\alpha w + w\alpha u)\beta d(v) + \\ 3u\alpha v\beta d(u) + 3u\alpha v\beta d(w) + 3w\alpha v\beta d(u) + w\alpha v\beta d(w) - \\ v\alpha u\beta d(u) - v\alpha u\beta d(w) - v\alpha w\beta d(u) - v\alpha w\beta d(w). \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

On the other hand with (\*), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d((u + w)\alpha v\beta(u + w)) &= \\ d(u\alpha v\beta u) + d(w\alpha v\beta w) + d(u\alpha v\beta w + w\alpha v\beta u) &= \\ u\alpha u\beta d(v) + 3u\alpha v\beta d(u) - v\alpha u\beta d(u) + w\alpha w\beta d(v) \\ + 3w\alpha v\beta d(w) - v\alpha w\beta d(w) + d(u\alpha v\beta w + w\alpha v\beta u). \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Combining (2.4) and (2.5), we obtain (c).

Since  $u\alpha v + v\alpha u$  and  $u\alpha v - v\alpha u$  are in  $U$ , we see that  $2u\alpha v \in U$ , for all  $u, v \in U$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . By hypothesis, we have  $d((u\alpha v)\beta(u\alpha v)) = 2u\alpha v\beta d(u\alpha v)$ .

Replacing  $w$  by  $2u\beta v$  in (c) with (\*) and the condition that  $M$  is 2-torsion free, we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(u\alpha v\beta(u\beta v) + (u\beta v)\alpha v\beta u) &= \\ (u\alpha u\beta v + u\alpha v\beta u)\beta d(v) + 3u\alpha v\beta d(u\beta v) + \\ 3u\alpha v\beta v\beta d(u) - v\alpha u\beta d(u\beta v) - v\alpha u\beta v\beta d(u). \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

On the other hand with (\*), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(u\alpha v\beta(u\beta v) + (u\beta v)\alpha v\beta u) &= \\ d((u\beta v)\alpha(u\beta v) + u\alpha v\beta v\beta u) &= \\ 2u\alpha v\beta d(u\beta v) + 2u\alpha u\beta v\beta d(v) + \\ 3u\alpha v\beta v\beta d(u) - v\alpha v\beta u\beta d(u). \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Combining (2.6) and (2.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} [u, v]_{\alpha}\beta d(u\beta v) &= \\ u\alpha[u, v]_{\beta}\beta d(v) + v\alpha[u, v]_{\beta}\beta d(u). \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

Replacing  $u + v$  for  $v$  in (2.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2[u, v]_{\alpha}\beta u\beta d(u) + [u, v]_{\alpha}\beta d(u\beta v) &= \\ 2u\alpha[u, v]_{\beta}\beta d(u) + u\alpha[u, v]_{\beta}\beta d(v) + v\alpha[u, v]_{\beta}\beta d(u). \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

From (2.8) and (2.9), we get (d).

Linearizing (d) on  $u$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} [u, v]_{\alpha}\beta u\beta d(u) + [u, v]_{\alpha}\beta v\beta d(v) + [u, v]_{\alpha}\beta u\beta d(v) + [u, v]_{\alpha}\beta v\beta d(u) = & \quad (2.10) \\ \alpha[u, v]_{\beta}\beta d(u) + u\alpha[u, v]_{\beta}\beta d(v) + v\alpha[u, v]_{\beta}\beta d(u) + v\alpha[u, v]_{\beta}\beta d(v), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $u, v \in U$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ .

Application of (d) and (8) gives  $[u, v]_{\alpha}\beta u\beta d(v) + [u, v]_{\alpha}\beta v\beta d(u) = [u, v]_{\alpha}\beta d(u\beta v)$  and hence  $[u, v]_{\alpha}\beta(d(u\alpha v) - u\alpha d(v) - v\alpha d(u)) = 0$ , for all  $u, v \in U$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $M$  be a 2-torsion free  $\Gamma$ -ring satisfying (\*) and  $U$  a Lie ideal of  $M$  such that  $u\alpha u \in U$ , for all  $u \in U$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . If  $d : M \rightarrow M$  is an additive mapping satisfying  $d(u\alpha u) = 2u\alpha d(u)$ , for all  $u \in U$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , then*

- (a)  $[u, v]_{\alpha}\beta d([u, v]_{\alpha}) = 0$ ,  
 (b)  $(u\alpha u\alpha v - 2u\alpha v\alpha u + v\alpha u\alpha u)\beta d(v) = 0$ ,  
 for all  $u, v \in U$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 3(a) and Lemma 3(e), we get

$$d(u\alpha v + v\alpha u) = 2(u\alpha d(v) + v\alpha d(u)) \quad (2.11)$$

and

$$[u, v]_{\alpha}\beta(d(u\alpha v) - u\alpha d(v) - v\alpha d(u)) = 0. \quad (2.12)$$

Combining (2.11) and (2.12), we have

$$[u, v]_{\alpha}\beta(d(v\alpha u) - u\alpha d(v) - v\alpha d(u)) = 0. \quad (2.13)$$

Using (2.12) - (2.13), we get  $[u, v]_{\alpha}\beta d([u, v]_{\alpha}) = 0$ , for all  $u, v \in U$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ .

For any  $u, v \in U$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ , we have  $d([u, v]_{\alpha}\beta[u, v]_{\alpha}) = 2[u, v]_{\alpha}\beta d([u, v]_{\alpha})$ . By (a), we have

$$d([u, v]_{\alpha}\beta[u, v]_{\alpha}) = 0, \quad (2.14)$$

for all  $u, v \in U$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ .

We have  $2u\alpha v \in U$ , for all  $u, v \in U$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ .

Replacing  $u$  by  $2u\beta v$  in  $u\alpha v + v\alpha u \in U$  and  $u\alpha v - v\alpha u \in U$  and adding the results and then using (\*), we find that  $4v\alpha u\beta v \in U$ , for all  $u, v \in U$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ .

Replacing  $4v\alpha u\beta v$  for  $v$  in Lemma 3(a) and since  $M$  is 2-torsion free, we have

$$d(u\alpha(v\alpha u\beta v) + (v\alpha u\beta v)\alpha u) = 2(u\alpha d(v\alpha u\beta v) + v\alpha u\beta v\alpha d(u)). \quad (2.15)$$

Using (2.15) in (2.14) and then (\*), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = & \\ d(u\alpha(v\alpha u\beta v) + (v\alpha u\beta v)\alpha u) - d(u\alpha(v\alpha v)\beta u) - d(v\alpha(u\alpha u)\beta v) = & \\ 2(u\alpha d(v\alpha u\beta v) + v\alpha u\beta v\alpha d(u)) - u\alpha u\beta d(v\alpha v) & \\ - 3u\alpha v\alpha v\beta d(u) + v\alpha v\alpha u\beta d(u) - v\alpha v\beta d(u\alpha u) & \\ - 3v\alpha u\alpha u\beta d(v) + u\alpha u\alpha v\beta d(v) = & \\ - 3(u\alpha u\alpha v - 2u\alpha v\alpha u + v\alpha u\alpha u)\beta d(v) & \\ - (u\alpha v\alpha v - 2v\alpha u\alpha v + v\alpha v\alpha u)\beta d(u) & \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} & 3(u\alpha u\alpha v - 2u\alpha v\alpha u + v\alpha u\alpha u)\beta d(v) + \\ & (u\alpha v\alpha v - 2v\alpha u\alpha v + v\alpha v\alpha u)\beta d(u) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

for all  $u, v \in U$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ .

Replacing  $u$  by  $u + v$  in Lemma 3(d), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & (u\alpha u\alpha v - 2u\alpha v\alpha u + v\alpha u\alpha u)\beta d(v) - \\ & (u\alpha v\alpha v - 2v\alpha u\alpha v + v\alpha v\alpha u)\beta d(u) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

for all  $u, v \in U$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ .

Combining (2.16) and (2.17), we obtain

$$(u\alpha u\alpha v - 2u\alpha v\alpha u + v\alpha u\alpha u)\beta d(v) = 0. \quad (2.18)$$

By (2.17) and (2.18), we arrive at (b).  $\square$

### 3. MAIN RESULT

The main result of this paper states as follows.

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $M$  be a 2-torsion free prime  $\Gamma$ -ring satisfying (\*) and  $U$  a Lie ideal of  $M$  such that  $u\alpha u \in U$ , for all  $u, v \in U$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . If  $d : M \rightarrow M$  is an additive mapping such that  $d(u\alpha u) = 2u\alpha d(u)$ , for all  $u \in U$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , then  $d(u\alpha v) = u\alpha d(v) + v\alpha d(u)$ , for all  $u, v \in U$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $U$  is a commutative Lie ideal of  $M$ . Let  $a \in U$  and  $x \in M$ . Then  $[a, x]_\alpha \in U$  and so commutes with  $a$ . Now, for  $x, y \in M$ , we have  $a\beta[a, x\alpha y]_\alpha = [a, x\alpha y]_\alpha\beta a$ , for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ . Expanding  $[a, x\alpha y]_\alpha$  as  $[a, x]_\alpha\alpha y + x\alpha[a, y]_\alpha$  and using that  $a$  commutes with this, with  $[a, x]_\alpha$  and with  $[a, y]_\alpha$ , we have  $2[a, x]_\alpha\alpha[a, y]_\alpha = 0$  and so  $[a, x]_\alpha\alpha[a, y]_\alpha = 0$ , since  $M$  is 2-torsion free. Replacing  $y$  by  $a\beta x$  in  $[a, x]_\alpha\alpha[a, y]_\alpha = 0$  and then using (\*), we have  $[a, x]_\alpha\alpha M\beta[a, x]_\alpha = 0$ , for all  $x \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ . Since  $M$  is prime,  $[a, x]_\alpha = 0$  and so  $U \subset Z(M)$ . Hence by Lemma 3(a), we have  $2d(u\alpha v) = 2(u\alpha d(v) + v\alpha d(u))$ . Since  $M$  is 2-torsion free,  $d(u\alpha v) = u\alpha d(v) + v\alpha d(u)$ .

We assume that  $U$  is a noncommutative Lie ideal of  $M$ .

Now, replacing  $u$  by  $[u_1, w]_\alpha$  in Lemma 3(d), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & ([u_1, w]_\alpha\alpha[u_1, w]_\alpha\alpha v - 2[u_1, w]_\alpha\alpha v\alpha[u_1, w]_\alpha \\ & + v\alpha[u_1, w]_\alpha\alpha[u_1, w]_\alpha)\beta d([u_1, w]_\alpha) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

for all  $u, v, u_1, w \in U$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ .

Using Lemma 4(a) in (3.1), we get  $[u_1, w]_\alpha\alpha[u_1, w]_\alpha\alpha v\beta d([u_1, w]_\alpha) = 0$

and so  $[u_1, w]_\alpha\alpha[u_1, w]_\alpha\alpha U\beta d([u_1, w]_\alpha) = 0$ .

Hence by Lemma 2, either  $[u_1, w]_\alpha\alpha[u_1, w]_\alpha = 0$  or  $d([u_1, w]_\alpha) = 0$ .

If  $d([u_1, w]_\alpha) = 0$  i.e.,  $d(u_1\alpha w) = d(w\alpha u_1)$ , for all  $u_1, w \in U$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , then by

Lemma 3(a) and the fact that  $M$  is 2-torsion free, we get  $d(u_1\alpha w) = u_1\alpha d(w) + w\alpha d(u_1)$ .

On the other hand let  $[u_1, w]_\alpha\alpha[u_1, w]_\alpha = 0$ , for some  $u_1, w \in U$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ .

Replacing  $v$  by  $[u_1, w]_\alpha$  in Lemma 4(b), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & (u\alpha u\alpha[u_1, w]_\alpha)\beta d([u_1, w]_\alpha) \\ & - 2(u\alpha[u_1, w]_\alpha\alpha u)\beta d([u_1, w]_\alpha) + ([u_1, w]_\alpha\alpha u\alpha u)\beta d([u_1, w]_\alpha) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Applying Lemma 4(a) in (3.2), we have

$$([u_1, w]_\alpha \alpha u \alpha u) \beta d([u_1, w]_\alpha) - 2(u \alpha [u_1, w]_\alpha \alpha u) \beta d([u_1, w]_\alpha) = 0, \quad (3.3)$$

for all  $u \in U$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ .

Linearizing (3.3) on  $u$  and using Lemma 4(b), we have

$$([u_1, w]_\alpha \alpha u \alpha v) \beta d([u_1, w]_\alpha) + ([u_1, w]_\alpha \alpha v \alpha u) \beta d([u_1, w]_\alpha) - 2((u \alpha [u_1, w]_\alpha \alpha v) + (v \alpha [u_1, w]_\alpha \alpha u)) \beta d([u_1, w]_\alpha) = 0, \quad (3.4)$$

for all  $u, v, w \in U$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ .

Replacing  $u$  by  $2u\beta v_1$  in (3.4) and then using the fact the  $M$  is 2-torsion free and (\*), we have

$$[u_1, w]_\alpha \alpha u \beta v_1 \alpha v \beta d([u_1, w]_\alpha) + [u_1, w]_\alpha \alpha v \beta u \alpha v_1 \beta d([u_1, w]_\alpha) - 2(u \alpha v_1 \beta [u_1, w]_\alpha \alpha v + v \alpha [u_1, w]_\alpha \alpha u \beta v_1) \beta d([u_1, w]_\alpha) = 0. \quad (3.5)$$

Further, replacing  $v_1$  by  $[u_1, w]_\alpha$  in (3.5) and then using Lemma 4(b),  $[u_1, w]_\alpha \alpha [u_1, w]_\alpha = 0$  and (\*),

we get  $[u_1, w]_\alpha \alpha u \beta [u_1, w]_\alpha \alpha v \beta d([u_1, w]_\alpha) = 0$

i.e.,  $[u_1, w]_\alpha \alpha u \beta [u_1, w]_\alpha \alpha U \beta d([u_1, w]_\alpha) = 0$ , for all  $u \in U$  and

$\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ . By Lemma 2, either  $d([u_1, w]_\alpha) = 0$  or  $[u_1, w]_\alpha \alpha u \beta [u_1, w]_\alpha = 0$ .

If  $d([u_1, w]_\alpha) = 0$ , then by the same argument as above we get the required result. On the other hand, if  $[u_1, w]_\alpha \alpha u \beta [u_1, w]_\alpha = 0$ , for all  $u \in U$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ , then by Lemma 2, we have  $[u_1, w]_\alpha = 0$ . Further, by Lemma 3(a) and the fact that  $M$  is 2-torsion free, we have  $d(u_1 \alpha w) = u_1 \alpha d(w) + w \alpha d(u_1)$ . Hence in both cases, we find that  $d(u \alpha v) = u \alpha d(v) + v \alpha d(u)$ , for all  $u, v \in U$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . The proof is thus complete.  $\square$

**Corollary 6.** *Let  $M$  be a 2-torsion free prime  $\Gamma$ -rings and  $d : M \rightarrow M$  a Jordan left derivation. Then  $d$  is a left derivation on  $M$ .*

*Proof.* If  $M$  is commutative, then  $u \alpha v = v \alpha u$ , for all  $u, v \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , and so by Lemma 3(a), we have  $d(u \alpha v) = u \alpha d(v) + v \alpha d(u)$ , for all  $u, v \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . If  $M$  is noncommutative, then by Theorem 5, we have  $d(u \alpha v) = u \alpha d(v) + v \alpha d(u)$ , for all  $u, v \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ .  $\square$

**Acknowledgement.** The authors are grateful to the referees for their valuable instructions.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Mohammad Ashraf and Nadeem-Ur-Rehman, *On Lie ideals and Jordan left derivations of prime rings*, Arch. Math. (Brno), **36**(2000), 201-206.
- [2] M. Bresar and J. Vukman, *Jordan derivations on prime rings*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., **37**(1988), 321-322.
- [3] Y. Ceven, *Jordan left derivations on completely prime gamma rings*, C. U. Fen-Edebiyat Fakultesi, Fen Bilimleri Dergisi (2002) Cilt 23 Sayı 2.
- [4] Qing Deng, *On Jordan left derivations*, Math. J. Okayama Univ., **34**(1992), 145-147.
- [5] I. N. Herstein, *Topics in ring theory*, Univ. of Chicago Press, Chicago (1969).
- [6] Mustafa Asci and Sahin Ceran, *The commutativity in prime gamma rings with left derivation*, International Mathematical Forum, **2**(3)(2007), 103-108.
- [7] M. Sapanci and A. Nakajima, *Jordan derivations on completely prime gamma rings*, Math. Japonica, **46**(1)(1997), 47-51.