EVALUATION OF BIOEFFICACY OF *GLIRICIDIA SEPIUM* (JACQ.) STEUD. AGAINST RICE FIELD RAT, *BANDICOTA BENGALENSIS* GRAY & HARDWICKE

Syeda Azra Tariq¹, RahilaNazli², Amjad Pervez¹and Hina Zafar¹

¹Vertebrate Pest Control Institute, SARC, PARC, Karachi, Pakistan.

ABSTRACT

Toxicity of ethanolic extract of *Gliricidia sepium* (Jacq.) Steud. leaves was evaluated against rice field rats, *Bandicota bengelensis* Gray and Hardwicke at 0.25%, 0.5%, 1.0% and 2.0% (2.5g a.i, 5.0g a.i, 10.0g a.i and 20.0g a.i, respectively per 1000g wheat flour and broken rice) in the laboratory. The quantity of bait consumed, hemorrhages (external and internal), pathological evidence and mortality were recorded in rats fed on *Gliricidia sepium* leaves extract. Feed consumption tests showed that bait ingestion was inversely proportional to concentration. *Gliricidia sepium* gave 100% mortality in 6.75 ± 1.99 days at 2.0%, 50% in 11.50 ± 2.40 days at 1.0%, 30% in 12.0 ± 3.25 days at 0.5% and 0.25% concentrations. The results demonstrated good acceptability and effectiveness of *Gliricidia sepium* against the rice field, rat *Bandicota bengalensis*.

Key-words:

INTRODUCTION

Rodent pests inflict severe damage to stored grains, buildings, insulations, electric wirings, wood, field crops, such as, wheat, rice, sugarcane etc (Sagar and Bindra, 1976; Robert, 1977; Lathiya, 1978; Beg et al., 1979; Fulk and Lathiya, 1981; Shakunthala and Srikari, 1983; Shafi et al., 1988; Rustamani and Wahab, 2005; Lathiya et al., 2008). Rats eat and contaminate stored grains with their droppings, feces, urine and hair (Husain and Iqbal, 2002; Krasner, 2010). Invasion of rodent pests cause colossal losses amounting to millions of rupees annually to agricultural produce. They harbor a number of pathogens in their urine and feces that can be transmitted to both humans and their pets. Worldwide, rats and mice are known to spread over 35 diseases. Rodent-borne diseases can spread to humans through bite wounds, consuming food or water that has been contaminated with rodent feces and urine, coming in contact with contaminated water or even through breathing in germs that may be present in rodent urine or droppings (stirred in the air).

Many methods are used to overcome these conflicts, between man and rodents. It is impossible to eradicate rodents completely from the habitats; however, an integrated pest management approach can be followed. Most of the rodenticides may be toxic for human, livestock and other non-targeted animals (Brown et al., 1988; Colvin et al., 1988; Gray et al., 1994; Parson et al., 1996; Brakes and Smith, 2005). Plant materials have been used for pest control for centuries under traditional farming system. However, only few plant species are being used for pest control in various countries and that too are used for insects. There is a need to discover natural plant products for rodent management, feasible for traditional farming system. Rats are more sensitive to plant toxins than ruminants; some workers have used rat bioassays to test the presence of toxins in tropical pasture legumes including Gliricidia sepium (Bindon and Lamond, 1966, Strickland et al., 1987). Gliricidia sepium (Fabaceae) (Chadhokar, 1982) is a leguminous, fast-growing, easily propagated, nitrogen-fixing tree used throughout the tropics for many purposes, such as, for fencing, shade, fodder, fuel wood, green manure, animal feed etc (Csurhes and Edwards, 1998; Adejumo and Ademosin, 1985; Gohl, 1981). The leaves of G. sepium have a high feeding value with crude protein comprising 20-30% of the dry matter, a crude fiber content of about 15% and in vitro dry matter digestibility of 60-65%. There are numerous reports of increases in weight and milk production in both large and small ruminants when Gliricidia forage is used as a supplement (Carew, 1983; Nochebuena and O'Donovan, 1986; Ash, 1990). Gliricidia originated from Central America, spread to many parts of the world; however, in Pakistan it was cultivated for the first time at Coastal Agricultural Research Station, SARC, PARC, Karachi (Solangi et al., 2004). Preliminary studies on the major characteristics, agronomic features and nutrient value of Gliricidia sepium were carried out (Solangi et al., 2010). Some studies have been carried out on nematicidal, insecticidal and antibacterial properties of ethanolic leaves extract of Gliricidia; the extract also proved as a good mosquito repellant (Nazli et al., 2008). The toxic properties of the seeds, leaves and bark of G. sepium give rise to the generic epithet of this species (Gliricidia= mouse killer). Poison derived from it can be used for rodent management by mixing with grains. The present study

²Foods and Marine Resources Research Center, PCSIR Labs Complex, Karachi, Pakistan.

400 S.A. TARIQ ETAL,

was under taken to evaluate toxicological effects of *Gliricidia sepium* against rice field rat. These preliminary findings may be useful in further studies on role of *Gliricidia sepium* in rodent pest management.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Collection and processing of plant

Gliricidia sepium (Jacq.) Steud. leaves were collected from Coastal Agricultural Research Station, SARC, PARC, Karachi. The leaves were preserved in wax-quoted paper bags and brought to the laboratory for biological assays.

Plant extraction

The fresh dried leaves of *Gliricidia sepium* (5kg) were ground and soaked in ethanol (10 L.). The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure at 40°C to a gum. This crude gum was used for activity purpose.

Collection of rats

Rice field rats, *Bandicota bangalensis* Gray and Hardwicke were live-trapped from rice fields, Thatta district, lower Sindh (24° 45° N; 67° 55° E) Pakistan. The rats were trapped by single catch traps, baited with pieces of guava and melon. The trapping was carried in night and trapped rats were collected early in the morning.

Acclimation of rats

The rats of approximate same size were sexed, weighed and caged individually in laboratory for 15 days. Sub-adults, pregnant and lactating females were discarded from the trials. The rats were fed on mixed grain diet, containing rice, millet, wheat and maize during acclimation period and between the trials. Water was provided *ad libitum*.

Preparation of bait

Gliricidia bait was formulated by mixing Gliricidia leaves extract 2.5g a.i (0.25 %), 5.0g a.i. (0.25%), 10.0g a.i. (1.00%) and 20.0g a.i. (2.00%) per 1000g wheat flour and broken rice (equal quantity). For comparative evaluation, bait without Gliricidiawas prepared with a mixture of wheat flour and broken rice. The bait material was blended in an electric mixing machine by adding water. The stiff dough formed was rolled on board by roller and was cut in small pieces by a sharp knife. Bait was fan-dried and stored in plastic bags.

Experimental Design

Ten rats (five male and five female) were used in all trials beside control (one male and one female). The rats were weighed and caged singly, starved for four hours (before the start of each test) and offered 20g bait for each concentration for five days. Bait eaten (g), active ingredient ingested (mg/kg body weight) and mortalities were recorded. The behaviour and health of the rats was monitored continuously. Autopsy examination of dead rats was carried out to know the death cause.

Statistical analysis

The results were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA), followed by Duncan's multiple range test and Fisher's least significant difference (LSD) test at P=0.05 (Zar, 2009).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Gliricidia sepium, ethanolic leaves extract was tested in five doses 2.5g a.i. (0.25%), 5.0g a.i. (0.50%), 10.0g a.i. (1.00%) and 20.0g a.i. (0.20%) against field rats, Bandicota bengalensis. Gliricidia was given in bait form. The bait proved to be palatable; however the bait acceptance was inversely proportional to the dose, offered. By the increase of dose intake of the bait was reduced, however the intake of female rats was more than the male rats, except the dose 0.25% (Fig. 1). All the doses proved very effective against the rats. Rats became sick and sluggish after the Gliricidia bait intake (Fig. 1 and 2). Autopsy examination revealed internal bleeding (Fig. 5, 6, 7 and 8), which may confirm the presence of anticoagulant compounds in Gliricidia. These findings are in line with (Ahn, 1990) and Ahn et al. (1989) findings, in which they found depressed intakes, weight loss and fetal deaths in rats by offering diet containing 20% dried Gliricidia leaf. Sotelo et al. (1986) reported Gliricidia bark, leaves and seeds as rat poison in some countries; he reported a thermo stable toxin in seeds which killed mice within one week of feeding. Similarly in these trials, Gliricidia sepium leaves extract proved it as a very significant (P<0.05) anti-

coagulant by giving 100% and 50% mortality at 2.0% (in 5-8 days) and 1.0% (in 7-15 days) respectively (Table-1 and Fig. 3 and 4). Hochman (1966) observed the rodenticidal properties of *Gliricidia* by reporting the presence and conversion of coumarin (a constituent of phenolic fraction) into the hemorrhagic agent dicoumerol in rats fed leaves the plant. Similarly in our studies *Gliricidia* leaves extract served as a rodenticide by causing hemorrhages and pathological symptoms in rats (Table2). It appears that there is no relation in bait intake and time to death; however, the time to death was inversely proportional to the concentration used. *Gliricidia sepium* at 2.0% concentration gave 100% mortality with hemorrhage (bleeding from mouth and nose) and pulmonary distress, sluggishness at all doses. These findings are in accordance with the findings of Saxena and Sharma (1984), Mathur and Prakash (1980 a, b) and Soni (1981), who worked on the efficacy of brodifacoum against *F. pennanti, M. hurrianae* and *R. rattus.* They noticed poisoning symptoms such as pulmonary distress, sluggishness and hemorrhage (bleeding from mouth, nose and anal region) two days after feeding.

a.i*/1000g	No. of	Mean body weight	ly weight	Mean bai	Mean bait intake**	Mean a.i consumed/ kg body weight	med/ kg body ght	No. of	Days to death	eath
					1	1	i	death	Mean	Range
(conc. %)	rats (M/F)	Z	77	ĸ	H	M) 			
2.5 (0.25)	10 (5/5)	202.30 ± 5.53	219.24 ± 10.20	11.30 ± 1.19	9.26± 0.96	139.64 ± 3.46	105.59± 3.61	0/10	I	
50.70.50)	10 (5/5)	738 45 + 8 73	285.40 ±9.97	9.61 ± 1.16	10.42 ± 1.04	201.50 ± 5.78	182.55± 3.62	3/10	12.00± 3.24	5-17
0.0 (0.00)							777 00 - 11 72	7/10 1	11 50+ 2 40	7-15
10.0 (1.00)	10 (5/5)	292.20 ± 6.00	225.15 ± 7.30	7.50 ± 0.70	8.40 ± 0.82	256.70 :: 4.94	373.08± 11.23	0176	04.7 ±0C.11	3
20.0 (2.00)	10 (5/5)	317.41 ± 6.85	287.60 ± 11.98	3.70 ± 0.39	5.30 ± 0.85	233.14 ± 8.96	368.60± 8.85	10/10	6.75 ±1.99	5-8
Control (0)	10 (5/5)			12 12 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1,000 + 1,50	U		0/10	1	11
*Active in	gredient; **I	275.92 ± 4.00	294.62 ± 12.90	13.10 ± 1.10	14.00 ± 1.00					
Takia 1		275.92 ± 4.00 Bait offcred=20 g:	ontrol (0) 10 (5/5) 275.92 ± 4.00 294.62 ± 12.90 13. *Active ingredient; **Bant offered=20 g: M = male; F = Female	emale.]4.0J ± 1.5v			5		
1 9010 2 . 1	Pathological	275.92 ± 4.00 Bait offered=20 g: and Hemorrhag	ontrol (0) 10 (5/5) 275.92 ± 4.00 294.62 ± 12.90 13.15 ± 1.15 14.85 ± 1.50 *Active ingredient; **Bait offered=20 g: M = male; F = Female. Table 2. Pathological and Hemorrhagic effect of Gliricidia sepium on Bandicota bangalensis	emale.	1 Bandicota ba	ngalensis.		3		
Weight of	Pathological	275.92 ± 4.00 Sait offered=20 g: and Hemorrhag No. of rats	294.62 ± 12.90 13.15 M = male: F = Female ic effect of Gliricidia sep No. of sick rats*	emale. cidia sepium o	on Bandicota bangalensi	ngalensis.		3	MARKET SHARE KEEL ON LAKE COLLEGE	
Weight of	Pathological a.i/ 1000g	275.92 ± 4.00 Bait offered=20 g: and Hemorrhag No. of rats (MJF)	294.62 ± 12.90 M = male; $\hat{\mathbf{f}} = \hat{\mathbf{R}}$ ic effect of <i>Glivi</i> No. of sic	emalc. cidia sepium o	on Bandicota ban	ngalensis. gic rats	**			
Weight of (% cone.) 2.5 (0.25)	a.i/ 1000g	275.92 ± 4.00 Bait offered=20 g: and Hemorrhag No. of rats (M/F)	294.62 ± 12.90 $M = male; F = Fe$ ic effect of <i>Glivic</i> $No. \text{ of sic}$ $1/10$	emale. cidia sepium o	1 Bandicota ban	ngalensis. gic rats Internal*	**	S		
Weight of (% conc.) 2.5 (0.25) 5.0 (0.50)	a.i/ 1000g	275.92 ± 4.00 Bait offered=20 g: and Hemorrhag No. of rats (MJF) 10 (5/5)	294.62 ± 12.90 $M = male; f = fe$ ic effect of <i>Gliric</i> No. of sic $1/10$ $4/10$	emale. cidia sepium o	on Bandicota ban No. of hemorrha External	ngalensis. gic rats Internal**	** **	S	100 K WA 600 FORWARD DOOR SEE ON WE COME TO	
Weight of (% cone.) 2.5 (0.25) 5.0 (0.50) 10.0 (1.00)	a.l/ 1000g	275.92 ± 4.00 Bait offered=20 g: and Hemorrhag No. of rats (MJF) 10 (5/5) 10 (5/5)	294.62 ± 12.90 M = male; $f = R$ ic effect of <i>Gliric</i> No. of sic 1/10 4/10 7/10	emale. eidia sepium oi k rats* N E	on Bandicota ban No. of hemorrha External 0/10	ngalensis. gic rats Internal** 4/10	*	S		
Weight of (% conc.) 2.5 (0.25) 5.0 (0.50) 10.0 (1.00) 20.0 (2.00)	a.i/ 1000g	275.92 ± 4.00 Bait offered=20 g: and Hemorrhag No. of rats (MJF) 10 (5/5) 10 (5/5) 10 (5/5)	294.62 ± 12.90 M = male; F = R No. of sic 1/10 4/10 7/10 10/10	emalc. emalc. ek rats* N Ek rats* N	No. of hemorrha External 0/10 1/10*	ngalensis. gic rats Internal** 4/10 8/10	** 	\$		

S.A. TARIQ ETAL.,

Two factor ANOVA was performed for the data set pertaining to mean body weight, mean bait intake and mean a.i. consumed per kg body weight (Table 3, 4, 5). In case of mean body weight concentration was found highly significant (p < 0.001) while sex was found to be non-significant but interaction of concentration and sex was also significant (p < 0.001). The data of mean bait intake demonstrated that concentration was significant (p < 0.001) but sex and interaction of concentration and sex were found non-significant, while data set of mean a.i consumed per kg of the body was revealed that concentration, sex and interaction of concentration and sex were highly significant (p < 0.001).

The findings may be a step towards confirmation of *Gliricidia sepium* as a toxic plant for development of safe, economical and eco-friendly substitute of conventional rodenticides and could be used for effective management of rodent pests in urban and field situations. Further study is recommended to identify the most toxic part of *G. sepium* used as bio-pesticide.

Table 3.Two factor ANOVA for the results of Mean body weight(factor 1 concentration, factor 2 Sex).

Source	SS	df	MS	F	P
Main Effect					
Concentration	48979.3	4	12244.8	0.8625	0.0000***
Sex	192.47	1	192.47	0.492	0.4867
Interaction					
Concentration \times Sex	20764.9	4	5191.23	13.2914	0.0000***
Error	15622.7	40	390.5696		
Total	85559.5	49			

 $LSD_{0.05}(Conc) = 17.862$ $LSD_{0.05}(Sex) = 11.297$

Table 3a.(Cont.).Results of Duncan's multiple range tests. Means followed by different letters are significantly different (P<0.05) for factor concentration.

Rank	Treatment	Mean	n	Non- significant range
1	4	302.64	10	a
2	5	286.05	10	a
3	2	262.2	10	b
4	3	258.76	10	b
5	1	210.2	10	c

Table 3b.(Cont.).Results of Duncan's multiple range tests. Means followed by different letters are significantly different (P<0.05) for factor concentration.

Rank	Treatment	Mean	n	Non- significant range
1	1	265.944	25	a
2	2	262.02	25	a

Table 4.Two factor ANOVA for the results of Mean bait intake(factor 1 concentration, factor 2 Sex).

Source	SS	df	MS	F	P
Main Effect					
Concentration	493.71	4	123.428	26.517	0.0000***
Sex	6.53	1	6.5301	1.4029	0.243
Interaction					
Concentration \times Sex	33.822	4	8.455	1.8166	0.1446
Error	186.181	40	4.654		
Total	720.248	49			

 $LSD_{0.05} = 1.9500$ $LSD_{0.05} = 1.2332$

Table 4a. (Cont.). Results of Duncan's multiple range tests. Means followed by different letters are significantly different (P < 0.05) for factor concentration.

Rank	Treatment	Mean	n	Non- significant range
1	5	14.33	10	a
2	1	10.965	10	b
3	2	10.3901	10	b
4	3	8.256	10	c
5	4	4.82	10	d

Table 4b. (Cont.). Results of Duncan's multiple range tests. Means followed by different letters are significantly different (P < 0.05) for factor concentration.

Rank	Treatment	Mean	n	Non- significant range
1	2	10.1156	25	a
2	1	9.39	25	a

Table 5.Two factor ANOVA for the results of Mean a.i consumed/ kg body weight(factor 1 concentration, factor 2 Sex).

Source	SS	df	MS	F	P
Main Effect					
Concentration	684693.8	4	171173.4	897.93	0.0000***
Sex	19802.7	1	19802.7	103.88	0.0000***
Interaction					
$Concentration \times Sex$	62948.34	4	8.455	82.553	0.0000***
Error	7625.186	40	4.654		
Total	775070	49			
100	T 0D ()	= 000			

LSD $_{0.05}$ (conc) = 12.479 LSD $_{0.05}$ (sex) = 7.8926

Table 5a. (Cont.). Results of Duncan's multiple range tests. Means followed by different letters are significantly different (P < 0.05) for factor concentration.

Rank	Treatment	Mean	n	Non- significant range
1	3	314.998	10	a
2	4	300.689	10	b
3	2	192.46	10	c
4	1	122.46	10	d
5	5	0	10	e

Table 5b. (Cont.). Results of Duncan's multiple range tests. Means followed by different letters are significantly different (P < 0.05) for factor Sex.

Rank	Treatment	Mean	n	Non- significant range
1	2	206.03	25	a
_ 2	1	166.228	25	a

404 S.A. TARIQ *ET AL.*,





Fig.1and 2. Sick and sluggish rats after Gliricidia bait intake.





Fig. 3and 4. Dead rats after Gliricidia bait intake.





F ig. 5 and 6. Autopsy examination of dead rats after Gliricidia bait intake.





Fig. 7 and 8. Bleeding from liver and spleen of dead rats after Gliricidia bait intake.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are indebted to Director General, SARC for encouragement. Field and laboratory team of VPCI / SARC are acknowledged to conduct field collection and laboratory studies. Prof. Dr. S. Shahid Shaukat is acknowledged for statistical analysis of the data.

REFERENCES

- Adejumo, J.O. and A.A. Ademosun (1985). Effect of plant age at harvest and of cutting time frequency and height on the dry matter yield and nutritive value of *Gliricidia sepium* and *Cajanus cajan. Journal of Animal production Research*, 5: 1-12.
- Ahn, J.H. (1990). *Quality assessment of tropical browse legumes: Tannin content and nitrogen degradability*. PhD Thesis.The University of Queensland.
- Ahn, J.H., B.M. Robertson, R. Elliott, R.C. Gutteridge, and C.W. Ford (1989). Quality assessment of tropical browse legumes: Tannin content and protein degradation. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 27: 147-156.
- Ash, A.J. (1990). The effect of supplementation with leaves from the leguminous trees *Sesbania grandiflora*, *Albizia chinensis* and *Gliricidia* and digestibility of Guinea grass hay by goats. *Animal Feed Science* and *Technology*, 28: 225-232.
- Beg, M.A., A.A. Khan and F. Begum (1979). Rodent problem in sugarcane fields of central Punjab. *Pak. J. Agri. Sci.*, 16: 123-129.
- Bindon, B.M. and D.R. Lamond (1966). Examination of tropical legumes for deleterious effects on animal reproduction. *Proceedings of the Australian Society of Animal Production*,6: 109-116.
- Brakes, C.R. and R.H. Smith (2005). Exposure of non-target small mammals to rodenticides: Short-term effects, recovery and implications for secondary poisoning. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 42: 118-128.
- Brown, R.A., A.R. Hardy, P.W. Smith, and P.J. Edwards (1988). Assessing the impact of rodenticides on the environment. *EPPO Bulletin*, 18: 283–292.
- Carew, B.A.R. (1983). Gliricidia sepium as a sole feed for small ruminants. Tropical Grasslands, 17: 181-184.
- Chadhokar, P.A. (1982). Gliricidia maculata: A promising legume fodder plant. World Animal Review, 44: 36-43.
- Colvin, B.A., P.L. Hegdal, and W.B.Jackson (1988). Review of non-target hazards associated with rodenticide use in the USA. *EPPO Bulletin*, 18: 301-308.
- Csurhes, S. and R. Edwards (1998). *Potential environmental weeds in Australia: Candidate species for preventative control.* Queens Land Department of Natural Resources, 164.
- Fulk, G. W. and S.B. Lathiya (1981). Rice field rats of Lower Sindh: Abundance, reproduction and diet. *J. Zool. London*, 193: 371-390.
- Gohl, B. (1981). Tropical feeds: Feed information summaries and nutritive values. *FAQ Animal Production and Health Series*, No.12. FAO, Rome, Italy, 529 pp.
- Gray, A., C.V. Eadsforth, A.J. Dutton, and J.A.Vaughan (1994). The toxicity of three second-generation rodenticides to Barn Owls. *Pestic. Sci.*, 42: 179-184.
- Hochman, H. (1966). Mechanism of rodenticidal activity of *Gliricidia sepium. Final Technical Rept.*, Naval Civil Engineering Lab Port Hueneme Calif.
- Hussain, I. and M.A. Iqbal (2002). Occurrence of rodent filth in grain commodities sampled from ration shops, Rawalpindi. *Pakistan Journal of Zoology*, 34: 239-242.
- Krasner, R.I. (2010). The microbial challenge: Science, disease, and public health, 2/e. Jones & Bartlett Publishers, LLC. 460pp.
- Lathiya, S.B. (1978). Food habit of rodents in rice fields of southern Sindh, Pakistan. *M. Phil. Thesis*, University of Karachi, Pakistan. 78pp.
- Lathiya, S. B., A. Pervez, S.M. Ahmed, S.W.A. Rizvi (2008). Food habits of rodents in grain godowns of Karachi, Pakistan. *Journal of Stored Products Research*, 44 (1): 41-46.
- Mathur, R. P. and I. Prakash (1980a). Laboratory evaluation of anticoagulant treated baits for a control of the northern palm squirrel, *Funambulus ennanti*, Wroughton. *J. Hyg. Cam.* 85:421-426.
- Mathur, R. P. and I. Prakash(1980b). Evaluation of brodifacoum (WBA 8119) against *Tatera indica, Merione shurrianae* and *Rattus rufescens. J. Hyg. Camb.*, 87: 179-184.
- Nazli, R., M. Akhter, S. Ambreen, A.H. Solangi, N. Sultana (2008). Insecticidal, nematicidal and antibacterial activities of *gliricidiasepium*, *Pak. J. Bot.*, 40(6): 2625-2629.
- Nochebuena, G. and P.B. Ó Donovan (1986). The nutritional value of high-protein forages from *Gliricidia sepium* . World Animal Review, 57: 48-49.

406 S.A. TARIQ ETAL.,

Parsons, B.J., L.M. Day, J. Ozanne-Smith and M. Dobbin(1996). Rodenticide poisoning among children. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health*, 20: 488-492.

- Roberts, T.J. (1977). The Mammals of Pakistan. Oxford University Press, Karachi, 525pp.
- Rustamani, M.Aand A.Wahab(2005). Grain losses caused by house rat *Rattus rattus* (L.) and its control. *Pakistan J. Zool.*, 37: 33-38.
- Sagar, P. and O.S. Bindra(1976). Food and feeding habit of the bandicoot rat. Pest Control, 44: 28-32.
- Saxena, Y. and R.K. Sharma (1984). Efficacy of brodifacoum (talon) bait against three rodent species. *Proceedings* of the Eleventh Vertebrate Pest Conference. Paper 34.
- Shafi, M.M., A. Pervez, S.M. Ahmed, A.R. Khokar (1988). Food and feeding behavior of short tailed mole rat (*Nesokia indica*) in captivity. *Pakistan J. Zool.*, 20 (2): 105-119.
- Shakunthala, S. and K. Sirikhari (1983). Food preference studies of the large bandicoot rat, *Bandicota indica* (Beshtein). *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci.*, (Anim. Sci.), 92: 43-48.
- Solangi, A., H.B. Mal, A.R.Kazmi and M.Z.Iqbal (2010). Preliminary studies on the major characteristic, agronomic feature and nutrient valueof *Gliricidia sepium* in coconut plantations of Pakistan. *Pak. J. Bot.*, 42: 825-832.
- Solangi, A., H.M.Moizuddin and A.A. Quraishy (2004). A tree with purpose, *The Review, Dawn*, June 3: 26-27.
- Soni, B.K. (1981). Efficacy of WBA 8119 (brodifacoum) to Indian rodents. Rodent Newsletter (ICAR)5: 28-29.
- Strickland, R.W., L.J. Lambourne, D. Ratcliff (1987). A rat bioassay for screening tropical legume forages and seeds for palatability and toxicity. *Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture*, 27: 45-53.
- Sotelo, A., B. Lucas, F. Blanc and F. Giral (1986). Chemical composition of seeds of *Gliricidia sepium. Nutrition Reports International*, 34: 315-322.
- Zar, J.H. (2009). Biostatistical Analysis. 5th ed. Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey.

(Accepted for publication May 2016)