# INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT OF VINE DECLINE, WILT AND ROOT ROT DISEASES OF MELON (CUCUMIS MELO L.)

## Nasreen Sultana and Bashir Ahmed Abro

Crop Disease Research Institute, PARC, Karachi University Campus, Karachi-75270, Pakistan

### **ABSTRACT**

Field experiment was conducted during summer season (February –August) and winter season (December-January) to control vine decline, wilt and root rot diseases of melon. The treatments comprising seed application with Carbendazim (2g/kg seeds) before sowing, soil amendment with mustard oil cake (2 tons/ ha.) 15 day prior of seed sowing and soil drenching with either Carbendazim (2%) or mustard cake extract twice after fruit setting to maturity significantly decreased vine decline, wilt and root rot and increased yield as well as vine and root length.

Key Words: Melon, vine decline, wilt, root rot, Carbendazim, mustard oil cake.

#### INTRODUCTION

Melon (Cucumis melo L.) the most important cucurbitaceous fruit crops grown in winter and summer in Pakistan. Winter crop is cultivated on 70 % of the melon growing land which is planted during December-January and gives produce for more than three months. Summer crop mature in 60 days and planted in July-August and (Lashari and Khushk, 2007). The crop is seriously affected in lower Sindh and damage noted under field conditions was 40-50% where plant showed symptoms of wilting and death in patches. Rhizoctonia solani Kuhn and Macrophomina phaseolina (Tassi) Goid. and two species of Fusarium viz., F. solani (Mart.) Appel and Wollenw. Emend. Synd. & Hans., F. oxysporum Schlecht.emend. Snyd. and Hans, are responsible for this economically important disease of melon in Sindh. Fusarium wilt of muskmelon has been rated as one the destructive diseases capable of killing 90% or more of the crop (Leach and Currence, 1938). Fusarium oxysporum f.sp .melonis (Bhaskaran et al., 1971; Waraitch et al., 1976) and F. solani (Sen and Palodhi, 1979) caused serious wilt of muskmelon in India. Fusarium solani and Rhizoctonia solani caused root-rot and considered as the most deleterious diseases associated with great losses in Egypt (Madkour et al., 1983; Abdel-el-Rehim et al., 1987), in Italy (Fantino et al., 1989) and in Slovenia (Celar, 2000). Wilt and root infection is continuously a serious problem of melon crop especially at maturity stage in all melon growing areas of Sindh, Pakistan. Amendments in the form of plant debris, green manures, farmyard manures, compost, oil cakes and fertilizers are known to improve crop productivity by improving nutrient status of soil and might have increase microbial activities in soil to suppress diseases (Sivaprakasam, 1991). Biocontrol are alternative to the chemical pesticides for the control of plant diseases especially the soil-borne diseases (Roberts et al., 2005). Seed treatment and soil drenching using Bavistin (carbedazim 50 WP) provided the highest disease control compared with individual methods (Kumar et al., 2011). According to Ghada et al. (2014) microbial antagonist Trichoderma spp., and fungicides viz., Capritop and Topsin-M were most effective in controlling the sudden wilt of cantaloupe caused by Rhizoctonia solani, Pythium aphanidermatum, Macrophomina phaseolina and Fusarium solani. An integrated management system constitutes best management strategies and tactics for the disease complex (Brodie, 1970 and Khan and Parvatha, 1993). It is considered that integration between bio-agents and essential oil or salt is safe, applicable and cost-effective method for controlling root rot fungi viz., Alternaria solani, Fusarium solani, F. oxysporum, Rhizoctonia solani, Sclerotium rolfsii, Macrophomina phaseolina and Pythium sp., causing soil-borne diseases in different vegetables (El-Mougy et al., 2012). Instead of employing a single control strategy combined control treatments have greater success in combating plant diseases. Asad-uz-Zaman et al. (2015) emphasis on the superiority of integrated approach to control R. solani, the sclerotia forming pathogen as compared to the individual treatment either by an antagonist or fungicide or oil cake. Because of very high economic importance owing to its demand in fruit market, an integrated management practice was carried out with a view to control the disease and enhance yield, of melon in this paper.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Field experiments were conducted in summer season (July –October) and winter season (December-April) in naturally infested experimental field which showed a range of mean population of *F. oxysporum*, *F. solani* (2800-5,700 cfu/g) by soil dilution technique (Nash and Synder, 1962), *Macrophomina phaseolina* (3-5 sclerotia/g) by wet

sieving technique (Sheikh and Ghaffar, 1975) and *Rhizoctonia solani* (5-20%) on sorghum seeds used as baits (Wilhelm, 1955). Seeds of melon local variety Golden were sown in mid December (Winter season) and Ist week of July (Summer season) in seedbed in 4m x 4.5m plot size in Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) having three replications. No chemical fertilizer was used whereas cow dung was applied @5 tons per ha.. There were 8 treatments as follows:

```
T_1 = A+B
T_2 = A+C
T_3 = B+C
T_4 = A+B+C
T_5 = A+D
T_6 = B+D
T_7 = A+B+D
T_8 = Control (Untreated)
```

A = Seed dressing with Carbendazim (2g/kg seeds) before sowing.

B = Soil amendment with mustard oil cake (2 tons/ hec.) 15 days prior of seed sowing.

C = Soil drenching with Carbendazim (2%) twice after fruit setting to maturity.

D = Soil drenching with mustard cake extract twice after fruit setting to maturity.

Seed germination, growth parameter (root and vine length), disease incidence (vine decline, root rot and wilt) and fruit yield were recorded after fruit setting to maturity of the crop. Samples of vine and root were collected periodically to confirm root infection by pathogens calculated as follows:

```
Infection % = Number of plants (vine or root) infected by pathogens x 100
Total number of plants
```

Statistical analysis was done to compare different treatments combinations using Duncan multiple range test and compared means by SPSS version 17.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In a field study during summer and winter seasons, the effect of different combinations of treatments for controlling vine decline, wilt and root rot diseases of melon as well as populations of Fusarium oxysporum, F. solani, Macrophomina phaseolina, and Rhizoctinia solani was determined. The treatment T<sub>7</sub> comprising of seed dressing with Carbendazim (2g/kg seeds) before sowing and soil amendment with mustard oil cake (2 tons/ hec.) 15 days prior of seed sowing and soil drenching with mustard cake extract twice after fruit setting to maturity was found most effective in reducing the disease and in increasing fruit yield during summer (8111.5kg/ha) (Table 1) and winter (22111.9 kg/ha) (Table 2). This is followed by treatment T<sub>4</sub> comprising of seed dressing with Carbendazim (2g/kg seeds) before sowing and soil amendment with mustard oil cake (2 tons/ ha.) 15 days prior of seed sowing and soil drenching with Carbendazim (2%) twice after fruit setting to maturity was found most effective in reducing the disease and in increasing fruit yield during summer (8093.4kg/ha) and winter (21879.5kg /ha). Plant-growth parameters such as root and vine length were increased. Amendment improved the moisture retention capacity of soil (Lodha, 1995) and thereby increased activities of antagonist microorganisms resulting in reduction in M. phaseolina population (Dhingra and Sincliar, 1975). Some of the volatiles released during decomposition also increased germination of fungi and bacteria and increased the microbial activity in soil (Mitchell and Alexander, 1963). Field evaluations of fungicides by several workers have shown that carbendazim as soil drench was effective against Fusarium spp., and significantly managed wilt disease complex on many crops (Mishra and Ghosh, 1978; Tarabeih and Attarackehi, 1979; Pal and Chowdhary, 1983).

The population of pathogenic fungi viz., *Macrophomina phaseolina*, *Fusarium oxysporum*, *F.solani*, *Macrophomina phaseolina* and *Rhizoctonia solani* were significantly reduced by the amendment of mustard oil cakes whereas significant increase in population of saprophytic fungi viz., *Aspergillus niger*, *A. terreus*, *Trichoderma* spp., *Penicillium atramentosum*. Sharma *et al.* (1955) reported 100% reduction in populations of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *cumini* and *Macrophomina phaseolina* in the mustard-cake-amended soil within a period of 30 days. This is because of substantial increase in the population of antagonistic actinomycetes. A several-fold improvement has been reported in plant-growth parameters viz. plant weight, percent pollen fertility, pod numbers of lentil and mungbean (Tiyagi *et al.*, 2001). The management of root rot wilt and vine decline diseases of melon

could be based on integration of seed treatment with Carbendazim before sowing and amendment of soil with mustard oil cake 15 days prior of seed sowing together with soil drenching with either mustard cake extract or Carbendazim twice after fruit setting to maturity.

Table 1. Effect of different treatments on incidence, growth and yield of melon Cultivar Golden during summer.

		Incidence		Growth		
Treatments	Emergence %	Root infected %	Vine decline %	Vine length (cm)	Root length (cm)	Yield (Kg/ ha)
$T_1$	94 <sub>a</sub>	22.5 <sub>b</sub>	27.1 <sub>c</sub>	120.2 <sub>b</sub>	20.4 <sub>b</sub>	5858.4 <sub>e</sub>
$T_2$	90 <sub>b</sub>	26.7 <sub>c</sub>	15.1 <sub>b</sub>	114.1 <sub>c</sub>	23.6 <sub>a</sub>	6888.2 c
$T_3$	87 <sub>c</sub>	19.6 <sub>b</sub>	$12.7_{\rm b}$	118.6 <sub>b</sub>	$24.0_{a}$	7243.6 <sub>b</sub>
$T_4$	96 <sub>a</sub>	14.1 <sub>a</sub>	5.1 <sub>a</sub>	123.7 <sub>a</sub>	22.9 <sub>a</sub>	8093.4 <sub>a</sub>
$T_5$	91 <sub>b</sub>	20.2 <sub>b</sub>	14.4 <sub>b</sub>	111.1 <sub>c</sub>	22.4 <sub>a</sub>	6555.6 <sub>d</sub>
$T_6$	89 <sub>c</sub>	18.8 <sub>b</sub>	13.2 <sub>b</sub>	119.8 <sub>b</sub>	22.8 <sub>a</sub>	6828.9 c
T <sub>7</sub>	95 <sub>a</sub>	13.4 <sub>a</sub>	4.8 <sub>a</sub>	126.6 a	23.9 <sub>a</sub>	8111.5 a
$T_8$	81 <sub>d</sub>	68.4 <sub>d</sub>	38.4 <sub>d</sub>	83.30 <sub>d</sub>	17.2 <sub>c</sub>	4815.6 <sub>f</sub>

Mean followed by the same letter within a column are not significantly different at (P=0.05) according to Duncan's multiple range test.

Table 2. Effect of different treatments on incidence, growth and yield of melon cultivar Golden during winter.

		Incidence		Growth		
Treatments	Emergence %	Root infected %	Vine decline %	Vine length (cm)	Root length (cm)	Yield (Kg/ ha)
$T_1$	95 <sub>a</sub>	19.3 <sub>c</sub>	7.4 <sub>c</sub>	122.9 c	27.4 <sub>d</sub>	16553.8 <sub>d</sub>
$T_2$	92 <sub>b</sub>	17.8 <sub>c</sub>	5.9 <sub>c</sub>	119.1 <sub>c</sub>	33.9 <sub>b</sub>	18782.2 c
T <sub>3</sub>	90 <sub>c</sub>	13.1 <sub>b</sub>	7.6 <sub>c</sub>	129.9 <sub>b</sub>	34.1 <sub>b</sub>	19243.5 <sub>b</sub>
$T_4$	95 <sub>a</sub>	8.7 <sub>a</sub>	2.1 <sub>a</sub>	143.7 <sub>a</sub>	41.2 <sub>a</sub>	21879.5 <sub>a</sub>
$T_5$	91 <sub>b</sub>	12.6 <sub>b</sub>	6.5 <sub>c</sub>	121.7 <sub>c</sub>	31.4 <sub>c</sub>	19585.6 <sub>b</sub>
$T_6$	90 <sub>c</sub>	11.9 <sub>b</sub>	3.1 <sub>b</sub>	131.8 <sub>b</sub>	$30.9_{c}$	18428.9 c
T <sub>7</sub>	94 <sub>a</sub>	9.2 <sub>a</sub>	1.2 <sub>a</sub>	149.6 <sub>a</sub>	40.7 <sub>a</sub>	22111.9 <sub>a</sub>
T <sub>8</sub>	90 <sub>c</sub>	48.5 <sub>d</sub>	17.6 <sub>d</sub>	97.80 <sub>d</sub>	20.2 <sub>e</sub>	13440.8 <sub>e</sub>

Mean followed by the same letter within a column are not significantly different at (P=0.05) according to Duncan's multiple range test.

## REFERENCES

Abdel-Rehim, M. A., E.M. Abou-Taleb, O.A. Al-Mounofe, F. M. Raffat and A.Tohamy (1987). The efficacy of seed treatment with calcium compounds in controlling damping-off disease of certain vegetable crops. *Alex. J. Agric. Res.* 32: 333-344.

Asad-uz-Zaman, M., M.R. Bhuiyan, M.A.I. Khan, M.K.A. Bhuiyan and M.A. Latif (2015). Integrated options for the management of black root rot of strawberry caused by *Rhizoctonia solani* Kuhn. *Comptes Rendus Biologies*, 338: 112-120.

Bhaskaran, R., N. N. Prasad and R. Kothandaraman (1971). Occurrence of *Fusarium* wilt on muskmelon. *Curr. Sci.* 40: 171.

Brodie, B. B. (1970). Use of non-selective and mixture of selective pesticides for multi pest control. *Phytopathol.*, 60: 12-15.

- Celar. F. (2000). Cucurbit diseases. Sodobno Kmetijstvo. 33: 162-165. (C.F. Rev. Plant Path., 79: 1037.
- Dhingra, O.D. and J. B. Sinclair (1975). Survival of *Macrophomina phaseolina* in soil: Effect of soil moisture, carbon, nitrogen, ratios, carbon sources and nitrogen concentration. *Phytopathol.*, 65: 236-240.
- El-Mougy, N.S., M.M. Abdel-Kader, M.M. Abdel-Kareem, M.D.E. Aly and S.M. Lashin (2012). Application of fungicides alternatives as seed treatment for controlling root rot of some Vegetables in pot experiments. *Advances in Life Sciences* 2: 57-64.
- Fantino, M.G., F. Fantuz and S. Gennari (1989). Study of squash rot caused by *F. solani* f. sp. cucurbitae. *Difesadellepiante*, 12: 147-153.
- Ghada, A., A. El-Kolaly and M. A. Abdel-Sattar (2013). Biological and chemical control of the sudden wilt disease of Cantaloupe in Egypt. *J. Am. Sci* ;9:100-108.
- Khan, R. M. and R. P. Parvatha (1993). Management of disease complex. pp. 345-365. In: *Nematode Interactions*, (Ed. Khan, M. W.). Chapman and Hall, London.
- Kumar, S., S. Sharma, D.V. Pathak and J. Beniwal (2011). Integrated management of *Jatropha* root rot caused by *Rhizoctonia bataticola*. *Journal of Tropical Forest Science* 23: 35–41.
- Lashari, M. I. and A. M. Khushk (2007). Issues and options in the production of high value crops in coastal region of Pakistan. *Sarhad J. Agric*. 23: 593-599.
- Leach, J. G. and T. M. Currence (1938). Fusarium wilt of muskmelon in Minnesota. Minn. Agr. Exp.Sta. Tech. Bull. 129.
- Lodha, S. (1995). Soil solarization, summer irrigation and amendment for the control of *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *cumini* and *Macrophomina phaseolina* in arid soils. *Crop Prot*.14:215-219.
- Madkour, M. A., E. M. Abou-Taleb and M.Okasha (1983). Aceton inhibition of *R. solani* growth. *Phytopathol. Z.* 107: 111-116.
- Mishra, C. B. P. and T. Ghosh (1978). Evaluation of systemic fungicides in controlling hoogly wilt of jute. *Pesticides*, 12: 41-42.
- Mitchell, R. and M. Alexander (1963). Lysis of soil fungi and bacteria. Canad. J. Microbiology. 9: 169-171.
- Nash, S.M. and W.C. Snyder (1962). Quantitative estimation by plate count of propagules of the bean root rot fungus *Fusarium* in field soil. *Phytopathology*, 52: 567-572.
- Pal, A.K. and K.C.B. Chowdhury (1983). Control of some root diseases of sun hemp. *Pesticides*, 17: 9-10.
- Roberts, D.P., S.M. Lohrke, S.L.F. Meyer, J.S. Buyer, J.H. Bowers, C.J. Baker, W. Li, W. J.T. Souza, J.A. Lewis and S. Chung (2005). Biocontrol agents applied individually and in combination for suppression of soil-borne disease of cucumber. *Crop Prot.*, 24: 141-155.
- Sen, B. and P. R. Palodhi (1979). A disease of melon caused by *Fusarium solani* (Mart.) Sacc. *Curr. Sci.* 48: 166-167.
- Sivaprakasam (1991). Soil amendments for crop disease management. pp. 382-404. In: *Basic Research for Crop Disease Management*, (Ed. Vidyasekaran, P., Daya). Publishing House, New Delhi.
- Sharma, S. K., R. K. Aggarwal and S. Lodha (1995). Population changes of *Macrophomina phaseolina* and *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *cumini* in oil-cake and crop residue-amended sandy soils. *Applied Soil Ecology*. 2: 281-284.
- Sheikh, A.H. and A. Ghaffar (1975). Population study of sclerotia of *Macrophomina phaseolina* in cotton fields. *Pak. J. Bot.*, 7: 13-17.
- Tarabeih, A. M. and A. A. Attarackeh (1979). Root rot of oak in oraw and its control. *Acta Phytopathologica Academiae Scientiarium Hungaricae*, 14: 37-40.
- Tiyagi, S. A., A. V. Khan and M. M.Alam (2001). Role of oil-seed cakes for the management of plant parasitic nematodes and soil-inhabiting fungi on lentil and mungbean. *Archives of Phytopathology And Plant Protection.*, 33: 453-472.
- Waraitch, K. S., G. D. Munshi and J. S. Chihan (1976). A new wilt disease of muskmelon in India. *Curr. Sci.*, 45: 628-629.
- Wilhelm, S. (1955). Longevity of the *Verticillium* wilt fungus in the laboratory and field. *Phytophathology*, 45: 180-181.

(Accepted for publication September 2015)