# ACUTE MALNUTRITION IN A CHILD SUFFERING FROM CARDIAC PROBLEMS

# **Syed Arif Kamal\***

SF-Growth-and-Imaging Laboratory, the NGDS Pilot Project and Anthromathematics Group, Department of Mathematics, University of Karachi, Karachi 75270, Pakistan; e-mail: sakamal@uok.edu.pk

### ABSTRACT

This paper reports the case of a girl, whose growth-and-obesity pattern was followed up during the age range 6.47-8.53 *years*, who was suffering from Pulmonary Atresia with Ventricular Septal Defect. She underwent 3 cardiac surgeries, when she was 5-day, 5-year and 7-year old. She showed signs of 'Failure-to-Grow', with both height and mass percentiles lying below 3<sup>rd</sup> throughout the period of observation. She presented with a case of acute malnutrition with a small built. There were pseudo gains of height (physical gain with drop in percentile) from 2<sup>nd</sup> to 4<sup>th</sup> checkup, physical loss of mass between 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> checkup. A new index, *Severity of Acute Malnutrition*, is introduced, which is computed by multiplying the difference of unity and one-sixth of sum of percentiles of height and mass with 100 to give a percentage. This index increased from 47.67% to 58.00% (1<sup>st</sup> to 4<sup>th</sup> checkup), with a drop at 2<sup>nd</sup> checkup. Month-wise targets to attain specific heights and masses (on specific dates of a given month) as well as guidelines for lifestyle adjustment accompanied with diet and guarded-graduated exercise plans are provided for the child. Similar month-wise targets to shed off mass for father and put on mass for mother are given with associated lifestyle-adjustment guidelines as well as diet and exercise plans. This work, also, gives a short review of heart-function modeling, nutritional-status classification, classification of built and methods of generating 'Growth-and-Obesity Roadmaps' of a family prior to describing the clinical problem.

**Keywords:** Growth-and-Obesity Roadmap, heart-function modeling, nutritional-status classification, classification of built, extended growth tables

### LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

cm: centimeter(s) • m: meter(s) • ft: foot(feet) • in: inch(es) • lb: pound(s) • oz: ounce(s) • kg: kilogram(s) MP: Mid-Parental AM: Acute Malnutrition **BMI:** Body-Mass Index NGDS: National Growth and Developmental Standards **CDC:** Centers for **D**isease Control and Prevention for the Pakistani Children http://ngds.uok.edu.pk Atlanta, Georgia, USA http://www.cdc.gov ON: Over-Nutrition **Deg: Degree** (of wasting/obesity; stunting/tallness) SF: Syed Firdous SGPP: Sibling Growth Pilot Project **EC I:** Energy-Channelization I **EC II:** Energy-Channelization II UN: Under-Nutrition EC III: Energy-Channelization III VSD: Ventricular Septal Defect

### INTRODUCTION

Acute malnutrition is one of the leading problems in third-world countries, which may be addressed with drugs, medical devices, lifestyle adjustment, medical nutrition and immune-system-targeted nutracauticals to lower costs of care, fewer complications, shorter stays in hospitals and reduction of mortality (Allison *et al.*, 2015). Teivaanmäki *et al.* (2015) mentioned that over 162 million under-5 children suffer from stunting as a result of chronic malnutrition. Tathiah *et al.* (2013) define malnutrition as either under-nutrition (underweight, wasting and stunting) or overnutrition (overweight and obesity). However, in the context of this paper, acute malnutrition is defined as the limiting case of under-nutrition (Kamal and Jamil, 2014).

Acute malnutrition resulting in growth faltering may contribute to physical and cognitive disadvantage, mortality and morbidity. There is a need for anthropometric assessment of growing children employing standard protocols, which could facilitate early identification and subsequent timely intervention for emerging health problems (Maleta *et al.*, 2003).

In this paper, case of a girl is reported, who underwent multiple cardiac surgeries. It was only through anthropometric assessment that it was discovered that she was not picking up height and putting on weight as expected and needed further medical evaluation and subsequent surgery. Detailed Growth-and-Obesity Roadmaps of the child and her parents are given, which include date-wise recommendations to manage mass (weight) for the next 6 *months*. In addition, these roadmaps contain date-wise recommendations to achieve certain height milestones by the child for the next 6 *months*. Suggestions are prepared for lifestyle adjustment, diet and exercise plans to accomplish these

<sup>\*</sup>Correspondence: Prof. Dr. S. A. Kamal (http://ngds-ku.org/kamal), PhD (Mathematical Neuroscience), MA, Johns Hopkins, Baltimore, MD, USA; Head, Anthromathematics Group (http://anthromath.uok.edu.pk); Project Director, the NGDS Pilot Project (http://ngds.uok.edu.pk); Professor of Mathematics (http://math.uok.edu.pk) and Dean, Faculty of Science; University of Karachi (http://www.uok.edu.pk), Karachi 75270, Pakistan; Telephone: +92 21 9926 1077

targets. Modeling of heart function, nutritional-status classification, classification of built and methods of generating Growth-and-Obesity Roadmaps were, briefly, described before presenting the clinical case.

## MODELING OF HEART FUNCTION

A good review of heart models was given by Noble (2002). One could start with the top-down approach or the bottom-up approach for biological simulation of this important organ of the human body. However, most researchers agree that it should be a middle-out approach. One should start modeling at the level(s), where rich biological data exist and then reach down or up to the next level. In the case of human heart, not only, data-rich-cellular-level modeling was done, but also, data-rich modeling of 3D geometry of the whole organ was attempted (Wasim, 1994; 2006; 2007; Kamal and Wasim, 2013).

Hunter et al. (2003) reviewed computational models of the electrical and the mechanical functions of heart, which explained heart function in terms of ventricular anatomy, structure and material properties of myocardial tissue, membrane ion channels, calcium handling and myofilament mechanics of cardiac myocytes. Ottesen and Danielsen (2003) modeled ventricular contraction with heart-rate changes.

The human heart may be visualized as an engine, which performs work. It may be modeled as a bullet, a sphere or as a vibrating system. Our group used the concept of standing waves to calculate frequencies of vibrations of the human heart (Kamal and Siddiqui, 1992; 2002). Acoustic properties of the human heart were modeled by applying ideas from physics and mathematics in order to link frequencies of phonocardiogram to shape of heart.

Mathematics of the Human Heart: In the spirit of the Strong Noether's Theorem — "if one sets up a problem closer to natural symmetries of the system, one is bound to discover additional constants of motion" (Kamal, 2004), the cardiac-coördinate mesh was devised as a generalization of the elliptic-cylindrical-coördinate mesh to set up heart equations. Human-heart shape was approximated as a deformed ellipsoid of revolution, generated by revolving about major axis a deformed ellipse, which is a union of 2 semi-ellipses, both of them having the same minor axes, but differing in the major axes — length of the semi-minor axes of both semi-ellipses was taken as b, whereas length of the semi-major axes of one of the semi-ellipses was a and the other was ka, where, the dimensionless parameter, k < 1. Rotational invariance about the major axis existed in the deformed ellipsoid of revolution. Hence, the corresponding angular momentum (the canonical momentum) was conserved. Because of this symmetry one of the coördinates was dropped (the coördinate becoming cyclic), the three-dimensional problem thus reducing to a twodimensional problem.

Physics of the Human Heart: To relate the phonocardiogram frequencies to the heart shape, one must know the geometrical properties of surface of the human heart. The human heart was visualized as a system of standing waves. Standing waves were set up in a closed string if the path length, was an integral multiple of wavelength. Heart surface being a bound system should admit discrete frequencies.

Ratio of Frequencies of Phonocardiogram: The above concepts were combined to link standing-wave frequencies of phonocardiogram to geometrical parameters of heart through the following equation — detailed calculations presented in Kamal and Siddiqui (2004)

(1) 
$$\frac{\omega_{\text{higher}}}{\omega_{\text{lower}}} = 1 + \frac{A_1}{8} - \frac{3A_2}{128} + \frac{5A_3}{512} - \dots$$

where 
$$A_i = (a^2/b^2 - 1)^i + (k^2a^2/b^2 - 1)^i$$
;  $i = 1, 2, 3, ...$ 

Heart Parameters from PMI: The values of a, b and k may be computed by locating PMI (Point of Maximum Intensity) positions — sounds generated by the pulmonary valve (P), the tricuspid valve (T) and the mitral valve (M). In the triangle *PTM* (Figure 1),  $PM = \beta$ ,  $PT = \alpha$ ,  $TM = \gamma$ ,  $\angle TPM = \theta$ . From the cosine law

(2a) 
$$\cos\theta = \frac{\alpha^2 + \beta^2 - \gamma^2}{2\alpha\beta}$$

(2a) 
$$\cos\theta = \frac{\alpha^2 + \beta^2 - \gamma^2}{2\alpha\beta}$$
(2b) 
$$a = \beta - \alpha\cos\theta = \frac{\beta^2 + \gamma^2 - \alpha^2}{2\beta}$$

(2c) 
$$k = \frac{\alpha \cos \theta}{\beta - \alpha \cos \theta} = \frac{\alpha^2 + \beta^2 - \gamma^2}{\beta^2 + \gamma^2 - \alpha^2}$$




Fig. 1. Geometry of the heart-sound triangle

(2d) 
$$b = \alpha \sin \theta = \alpha \sqrt{1 - \cos^2 \theta} = \frac{\sqrt{(\alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \gamma^2)^2 - (\alpha^4 + \beta^4)}}{\beta \sqrt{2}}$$

The preliminary data suggested that *PMI* locations might estimate shape of human heart (Wasim 2006; Kamal and Wasim, 2013).

# NUTRITIONAL-STATUS CLASSIFICATION

Stunting and tallness, wasting and obesity as well as pseudo gains of height and mass need to be explained before discussing nutritional-status classification

# Stunting and Tallness

In the literature, stunting is defined as height falling below 50<sup>th</sup> percentile. However, in the absence of reliable local charts and tables for the Pakistani population, CDC (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention) Growth Charts and Tables were used, which represented height statistics of the American population. The Americans are, in general, taller as compared to the Pakistanis. Hence, the NGDS Team classified the Pakistani children as stunted, whose heights were lying below 40<sup>th</sup> percentile according to CDC charts. A child was classified as severely stunted, if the individual's height fell below 3<sup>rd</sup> percentile.

Estimated-Adult Height: One of the areas of interest to pediatricians is computation of estimated-adult (final) height (Karlberg, 1996), as it may indicate whether the youngster is meeting desired targets for induction into the armed forces (Karpinos, 1961). It is computed from the percentile of current height of child and does not depend on heights of parents.

Target (Adult-Mid-Parental) Height: Another way to determine status of height is by considering height of biological parents. Target (Adult-mid-parental) height of daughter (son) is computed by subtracting 6.5 cm from (adding 6.5 cm to) average of heights of father and mother (Tanner et al., 1970). Target height depends, only, on heights of parents and is independent of child's own height. Our group defined algebraic status (pertaining-to-height),  $STATUS_{\pm}(h)$ , expressed as percentage, as 100 times the ratio of difference of measured height and current-age-mid-parental height to current-age-mid-parental height — negative (positive) value indicated stunting (tallness). Quantitative status (pertaining-to-height) was determined using Figure 2 (Kamal et al., 2011; 2015b).

Army-Cutoff Height: For induction into the Armed Forces of Pakistan cutoff height for males has been fixed at 5 ft 4 in (162.56 cm), whereas for females the value is 5 ft 2 in (157.48 cm). This height neither depends on child's height nor on parents' heights. The army-cutoff height is based on country-wise standards.

4 <sup>th</sup> -Degree Stunted	4 <sup>th</sup> -Deg Stunted	$STATUS_{\pm}(h) < -30$
3 <sup>rd</sup> -Degree Stunted	3 <sup>rd</sup> -Deg Stunted	$-30\% \le STATUS_{\pm}(h) < -20\%$
2 <sup>nd</sup> -Degree Stunted	2 <sup>nd</sup> -Deg Stunted	$-20\% \le STATUS_{\pm}(h) < -10\%$
1 <sup>st</sup> -Degree Stunted	1 <sup>st</sup> -Deg Stunted	$-10\% \le STATUS_{\pm}(h) < -1\%$
Normal	Normal	$-1\% \leq STATUS_{\pm}(h) < +1\%$
1 <sup>st</sup> -Degree Tall	1 <sup>st</sup> -Deg Tall	$+1\% \le STATUS_{\pm}(h) < +10\%$
2 <sup>nd</sup> -Degree Tall	2 <sup>nd</sup> -Deg Tall	$+10\% \le STATUS_{\pm}(h) < +20\%$
3 <sup>rd</sup> -Degree Tall	3 <sup>rd</sup> -Deg Tall	$+20\% \le STATUS_{\pm}(h) < +30\%$
4 <sup>th</sup> -Degree Tall	4 <sup>th</sup> -Deg Tall	$STATUS_{\pm}(h) \ge +30\%$

Fig. 2. Status (pertaining-to-height), classification, abbreviation for entering in report and value-range along with color coding

Nomenclature	Corresponding Percentile	Depends on Child's Height	Depends on Parents' Heights	Based on Country- Wide Standards
Estimated-Adult Height, $h_{\text{estimated-adult}}$	P(h)	Yes	No	No
Target (Adult-Mid-Parental) Height, $h_{ m MP}$	$P_{ m MP}$	No	Yes	No
Army-Cutoff Height, harmy-cutoff	Parmy-cutoff	No	No	Yes

Table 1. Heights, which are considered to determine reference height to generate Growth-and-Obesity Profile of a child

The heights, which are important in the career of a child, are summarized in Table 1.

# Wasting and Obesity

A child or an adult having lesser (excess) mass-for-height is considered wasted (obese). Both severe wasting and obesity have risks associated with them.

BMI (Body-Mass Index): BMI is considered an indicator to determine obesity or wasting. Introduced in 1832 as 'Quetelet Index' by Adolphe Quetelet and renamed in 1972 as 'Body-Mass Index' (Keys et al., 1972), BMI (reported in  $kg/m^2$ ) is obtained by dividing mass,  $\mu$  (in kg), by square of height, h (in m). BMI range, used for estimating statuses for adults, cannot be employed for children. BMI tables are needed to determine percentiles of BMI, which are used to classify children as obese or wasted.

Estimated-Adult BMI: Computed by replacing net mass and height by estimated-adult mass and estimated-adult height of a child, respectively, this index was first mentioned by Freedman *et al.* (2001); a rigorous definition and interpretation of the term was given by Kamal and Jamil (2012). It provided a snapshot of obesity status of children, when they would be fully grown. The strong point of this formulation was that prevailing adult scales (instead of *BMI* tables) could be used to classify children.

Optimal Mass and Weight: The word 'optimal mass' first appeared in Kamal et al. (2004), with a rigorous definition provided later (Kamal et al., 2011). Defined as the mass corresponding to height percentile for persons aged 30 years or less (for persons above 30 years, optimal mass was computed by multiplying square of height with reference value of BMI,  $24 \, kg/m^2$ — prior to multiplication, height must be converted in m), if the mass of a person was lesser (more) than optimal mass, then the incumbent was wasted (obese). Algebraic status (pertaining-to-mass) was defined as 100 times the ratio of difference of measured mass and optimal mass to optimal mass and was expressed as percentage. A negative (positive) value indicated wasting (obesity). Quantitative status (pertaining-to-mass) was determined using Figure 3 (Kamal et al., 2015b). Optimal weight (in lb) was obtained by multiplying

4 <sup>th</sup> -Degree Wasted	4 <sup>th</sup> -Deg Wasted	$STATUS_{\pm}(\mu) < -30$
3 <sup>rd</sup> -Degree Wasted	3 <sup>rd</sup> -Deg Wasted	$-30\% \le STATUS_{\pm}(\mu) < -20\%$
2 <sup>nd</sup> -Degree Wasted	2 <sup>nd</sup> -Deg Wasted	$-20\% \le STATUS_{\pm}(\mu) < -10\%$
1 <sup>st</sup> -Degree Wasted	1st-Deg Wasted	$-10\% \le STATUS_{\pm}(\mu) < -1\%$
Normal	Normal	$-1\% \leq STATUS_{\pm}(\mu) < +1\%$
1 <sup>st</sup> -Degree Obese	1 <sup>st</sup> -Deg Obese	$+1\% \le STATUS_{\pm}(\mu) < +10\%$
2 <sup>nd</sup> -Degree Obese	2 <sup>nd</sup> -Deg Obese	$+10\% \le STATUS_{\pm}(\mu) < +20\%$
3 <sup>rd</sup> -Degree Obese	3 <sup>rd</sup> -Deg Obese	$+20\% \le STATUS_{\pm}(\mu) < +30\%$
4 <sup>th</sup> -Degree Obese	4 <sup>th</sup> -Deg Obese	$STATUS_{\pm}(\mu) \ge +30\%$

Fig. 3. Status (pertaining-to-mass), classification, abbreviation for entering in report and value-range along with color coding

Table 2. Childhood and adult obesity indicators

Nomenclature	Represented by	Mathematical	References
Body-Mass Index	BMI	$\mu/h^2$	Keys et al. (1972)
Estimated-Adult Body-Mass Index	BMI <sub>estimated</sub> -adult	$\mu_{\rm estimated-adult}^{2}$	Kamal and Jamil (2012)
Optimal Mass	$\mu_{ m opt}$	$P(\mu_{\text{opt}}) = P(h)$	Kamal et al. (2004; 2011)

optimal mass (in kg) by the factor 2.205 (Kamal *et al.*, 2013*e*). The indicators, used in determining status of wasting or obesity, are summarized in Table 2.

# Pseudo Gain of Height/Mass

'Pseudo-gain' was defined as a physical gain in height/mass over a certain period. However, there was a drop in corresponding percentile during the same period (Kamal *et al.*, 2014).

# **Nutritional Status**

In addition to under-nutrition, over-nutrition and acute malnutrition, which exist in literature, our group introduced energy-channelization I-III to classify nutritional status (Figure 4). Numerical examples of each of these are




Fig. 4. Nutritional-status classification

presented in Kamal and Jamil (2014) as well as Additional File of Kamal (2014).

Acute Malnutrition (AM): Our group defined 'acute malnutrition' as the limiting case of under-nutrition, characterized by both height and mass percentiles lying below 3, exhibiting severe stunting and associated wasting (Kamal and Jamil, 2014). In the coördinate-plane representation of nutritional status, AM lies in the bottom-left portion of 3<sup>rd</sup> quadrant (Figure 4). Severity of acute malnutrition may be, mathematically, expressed as a percentage, using the following equation

(3) Severity of Acute Malnutrition = 
$$100\left(1 - \frac{P(h) + P(\mu)}{6}\right)$$

where P(h) and  $P(\mu)$  are percentiles of height and mass, respectively.

*Under-Nutrition (UN)*: 'Under-nutrition' is characterized by coexistence of stunting and wasting — both algebraic status (pertaining-to-height) and algebraic status (pertaining-to-mass) have negative signs. In the coördinate-plane representation of nutritional status, UN lies in 3<sup>rd</sup> quadrant (Figure 4).

Energy-Channelization I (EC I): First mentioned in Kamal et al. (2014), energy-channelization I is characterized by coexistence of tallness and wasting — algebraic status (pertaining-to-height) has a positive sign, whereas algebraic status (pertaining-to-mass) has a negative sign. In EC I, a large amount of micronutrients flow through a single channel of absorption, mostly, contributing to tissue synthesis. In the coördinate-plane representation of nutritional status, EC I lies in 2<sup>nd</sup> quadrant (Figure 4).

Energy-Channelization II (EC II): First introduced in Kamal *et al.* (2014), energy-channelization II is characterized by coexistence of stunting and obesity — algebraic status (pertaining-to-height) has a negative sign, whereas algebraic status (pertaining-to-mass) has a positive sign. In EC II, micronutrients in large quantities, all flowing through one channel of absorption. The phenomenon of obesity with stunting is, generally, caused by storage of most micronutrients. In the coördinate-plane representation of nutritional status, EC II lies in 4<sup>th</sup> quadrant (Figure 4).

Over-Nutrition (ON): 'Over-nutrition' is characterized by coexistence of tallness and obesity — both algebraic status (pertaining-to-height) and algebraic status (pertaining-to-mass) have positive signs. ON amplifies tissue-synthesis rate and storage in the body. In the coördinate-plane representation of nutritional status, ON lies in 1<sup>st</sup> quadrant (Figure 4).

Energy-Channelization III (EC III): First described in Kamal (2014), Our group defined 'energy-channelization III' as the limiting case of over-nutrition, also called 'puberty-induced energy-channelization', which is characterized by leveling off of height gain accompanied by mass and fat gains, in particular, below the waist (Kamal and Jamil, 2014). EC III is manifested in children entering puberty, when the release of sex hormone, depicts a temporary decrease in height velocity. After a short transition period, the child picks up height rapidly, which is termed as 'adolescent growth spurt'. This behavior is consistent with ICP model of child growth (Karlberg, 1967). In the coördinate-plane representation of nutritional status, EC III lies in the top-right portion of 1<sup>st</sup> quadrant (Figure 4).

### **CLASSIFICATION OF BUILT**

According to Fabian Gorodzinsky of University of Western Ontario, pattern of a child is defined by parameters of weight, length and head circumference. A child, who is on the bottom of the growth chart for all three, is 'small child' and one, who is on the top, is a 'big child'. However, both are normal.

Built of a child is significant in making sport teams. It was, recently, suggested to form academic sections of a class, also, on the basis of built to avoid bullying/necessity of seating big-built students on the back seats and allowing exchange of seats once during a session to keep students interactive and attentive (Kamal, 2015c).

A mathematical criterion to classify built was proposed, recently (Kamal and Khan, 2015) and used to assign built in 'Growth-and-Obesity Roadmap' of a child participating in gymnastics (Kamal, 2015a) as well as a marginally obese child (Kamal, 2015b). Built of a child was obtained by summing percentiles of height, P(h), and mass,  $P(\mu)$ . These criteria are described below.

### Small Child

A value of  $P(h) + P(\mu)$  less than 50 represented 'small' built. In such children, brain functions dominate body functions. Such children are, usually, better in intellectual work, innovative tasks, planning and development assignments. Children suffering from acute malnutrition would have 'small' built as  $P(h) + P(\mu) < 3$  for such children — as per definition given above, P(h) < 3 and  $P(\mu) < 3$  in a child indicated acute malnutrition.

Table 3. Classification of a child's built, useful in forming sport teams

Classification	Sum of Percentiles	Dominance	Suitable for
Small	$0 \le P(h) + P(\mu) < 50$	Brain function	Intellectual work, planning and development tasks
Medium	$50 \le P(h) + P(\mu) < 150$	Equal contribution	May adapt to brain- or body-dominating tasks
Big	$150 \le P(h) + P(\mu) < 200$	Body function	Tasks involving strength and speed

### Child of Medium Built

A value of  $P(h) + P(\mu)$  equal to or more than 50 but less than 150 indicated 'medium' built. In such children, brain and body functions are equally dominating. They could be trained to do intellectual work or tasks involving strength and speed.

# Big Child

A value of  $P(h) + P(\mu)$  equal to or more than 150 suggested 'big' built. In such children, body functions dominate brain functions. Such children are suitable for tasks involving strength and speed. Table 3 summarizes these ideas.

#### THE NGDS PILOT PROJECT

### **Project Protocols**

The NGDS (National Growth and Developmental Standards for the Pakistani Children) Pilot Project (http://ngds.uok.edu.pk) was initiated in 1998 after the proposal went through 'Institutional Review Process', which incorporated human-right and ethical standards (opt-in policy) applicable in Pakistan (Kamal *et al.*, 2002). The project was implemented in 4 representative schools (one civilian and one each operated by the Armed Forces of Pakistan — the Pakistan Air Force, the Pakistan Army and the Pakistan Navy). A subproject of the NGDS Pilot Project, named as SGPP (Sibling Growth Pilot Project) served local families, who came to SF-Growth-and-Imaging Laboratory along with their 5-10-year-old children, for detailed checkups. Checkups were conducted giving due regard to comfort, confidentiality, dignity, privacy and safety of participants.

# Anthropometric Techniques and Examinations

Data-collection techniques are described, briefly. Detailed protocols are available in the official document of the NGDS Pilot Project (Kamal, 2006). Children were screened for factors, which may contribute to growth abnormalities, including anemia, trunk deformities, in particular, scoliosis, lordosis and kyphosis (Kamal *et al.*, 2015a) as well as a through examination of heart at *Points of Maximum Intensity* (*PMI*) — aortic (*A*), pulmonary (*P*), tricuspid (*T*) and mitral (*M*), to mathematically determine shape of heart according to the models developed by the author (Kamal and Siddiqui, 1992; 2002). In addition, static and dynamic exams of knees were conducted to rule out knees joining and knees knocking conditions. Gaits were observed both from back and front to look for spastic gait or limp as well as toes inward or outward (Kamal *et al.*, 2013c).

Heights and masses were measured by anthropometrists, with documented reproducibility, to least counts of 0.1 cm (1998-2011, wall-mounted engineering-tape and setsquares); 0.01 cm (2012 onward, wall-mounted engineering-tape and setsquares, with Vernier scale pasted on edge) and 0.5 kg (1998-2011, bathroom scale); 0.01 kg (2012 onward, improvised beam scale and set-squares, with Vernier scale pasted on edge), respectively, before noon, as children were 1-1.5 cm taller in the morning as compared to the afternoon. Parents were measured wearing minimal indoor clothing and children were required to strip totally except briefs or panties. Everyone took off accessories, shoes and socks for measurements. A suitable clothing correction was subtracted from 'gross mass' (mass recorded in indoor clothing) to compute 'net mass' (mass with zero clothing on),  $\mu$ , for mother and father. Children were measured wearing only short underpants, all clothing above the waist removed, barefoot. Their recorded masses were used without any clothing correction as they were very close to net masses.

For measuring height (stature), h, subject was told to stand touching the engineering tape (mounted on wall, vertical alignment checked through plumb line) and instructed to align hands with body, palms touching thighs and heels together. Height was measured, when the incumbent fully inhaled so that the incumbent's chest was expanded and tummy was in (attention position). The anthropometrist held a pencil at eye level to make sure that chin of the subject was parallel to floor. For measuring mass (weight), the subject stood in center of beam scale, palms on thighs and feet separated, looking straight and breathed in to trap maximum air (stand-at-ease position). A standard

100-cm ruler and a standard 2-kg mass were used to calibrate height- and mass-measurement instruments at the beginning of each daily session along with noting down of zero errors.

Disrobing of children helped anthropometrists to ascertain standard posture during measurements, making sure that knees and elbows were not flexed. Also, care was exercised to ascertain that toes or heels were not lifted. The measurers were able to verify that the measurements were performed while the child properly inhaled before recording, as height and mass values differed slightly between complete inhalation and complete exhalation. Further, the examiners were able to better determine malnutrition, poor posture, crooked gait and spinal deformities in the undressed children.

### Growth-and-Obesity Roadmaps

Anthropometric data were fed using software based on Growth-and-Obesity Model published this year (Kamal *et al.*, 2015*b*), which is a generalization of earlier models (Kamal *et al.*, 2011; Kamal and Jamil, 2012). The following explains methods of generating entries in the Growth-and-Obesity Roadmaps presented later in this paper:

*Dress Code*: Measurements, examinations and observations were effected by the nature and the amount of clothing present on a child or an adult. Dress code was entered with the findings (descriptive or quantitative) as a fraction, numerator (denominator) representing clothing superior (inferior) to transverse plane passing through the naval. '0' is indicative of absence of clothing and '3' describes maximum amount of clothing. Dress code '1.5/2' (father), mentioned in 'Growth-and-Obesity Roadmap' (included in this paper), meant he was measured wearing T-shirt and trousers, barefoot, '2/2' (mother) meant she was barefoot and wearing 'shalwar/kameez' ('shalwar' is a garment resembling athletic trousers and 'kameez' is a piece of clothing like a long shirt) without 'dupatta' (a sheet put on shoulders on top of 'kameez') at the time of measurement. (Female) child's dress code '0/0.5' meant she was barefoot and examined completely undressed wearing only panties (Kamal et al., 2002; Kamal, 2006).

Behavior Code: Behavior code was '0', when the child was relaxed and coöperative, '1' when the youngster was timid and shy, but coöperative, and '2', when the incumbent was resistant and nagging. The optimum value for all the measurements was '0' (Kamal et al., 2002; Kamal, 2006).

Cumulative-Scoliosis-Risk Weightage (CSRW): A numerical value, which was assigned on the basis of family history, age and results of different tests conducted — statuses of being tall and/or wasted, forward-bending tests (child facing the examiner and with back towards the examiner), non-alignment of plumb-line, shoulder drooping, uneven scapulae, shape of midline of back (C or S), unequal body triangles, uneven spinal dimples, positive moiré (back and front), with the weightage of each factor increasing if the condition persisted during more than one examination. Child was considered to be at risk for scoliosis if CSRW exceeded '5.5' (after 1st checkup), '6.5' (after 2nd checkup) and '7.5' (after 3rd checkup). Details are given elsewhere (Kamal et al., 2013d; 2015a).

Extended-Gender-Specific-Height and -Mass Tables: These tables (Kamal and Jamil, 2014) contained heights and masses corresponding to 0.01<sup>th</sup>, 0.1<sup>th</sup>, 1<sup>st</sup>, 99<sup>th</sup>, 99.9<sup>th</sup> and 99.99<sup>th</sup> percentiles, in addition to values given in CDC Growth Tables (http://www.ngds-ku.org/Papers/J34/Additional\_File\_3.pdf).

Dates of Birth and Checkups: The first step was to convert dates of birth and dates of measurement in fractional form and finding difference to compute age. Dates of birth and checkup were recorded as YYYY-MM-DD — year in four digits-month in two digits-day in two digits; ages as YY-MM-DD (year in two digits). Detailed procedure is available in Appendix A of (Kamal et al., 2011).

Children's Growth-and-Obesity Profiles: 'Linear interpolation' was used to determine percentiles of target height for son or daughter from age-20-height values. Percentiles of army-cutoff-height values were computed using 'linear interpolation' (extended table was needed to compute percentile for males, as the value fell below 3<sup>rd</sup> percentile). Child's height (mass) percentile was evaluated by the technique of 'box interpolation' (Kamal *et al.*, 2011). Estimated-adult height (mass) was computed through 'linear interpolation' using age-20 values (Table 1) and estimated-adult BMI obtained. Constant-age route was followed to compute optimal mass and, subsequently, determine obesity profile. A similar procedure was used to evaluate current-age-mid-parental height. Algebraic and status (pertaining-to-height),  $STATUS_{\pm}(h)$ , as well as algebraic status (pertaining-to-mass),  $STATUS_{\pm}(\mu)$ , computed and quantitative statuses (pertaining-to-height) and (pertaining-to-mass) were determined (Figures 2 and 3), nutritional status classified (Figure 4) and built assigned (Table 3). For children suffering from acute malnutrition, severity was computed using equation (3).

Children's Growth-and-Obesity Roadmaps: To construct 'Growth-and-Obesity Roadmaps' of boys or girls, one needed more than one profile — each profile represented a checkup. To determine percentile of reference height,  $P_{\text{ref.}}$  select the larger value from the pair of values consisting of percentile of current height, P(h), and maximum of gender-specific-army-cutoff-height percentile,  $P_{\text{army-cutoff}}$  (for Pakistani males its value comes out to 2.718014592103645... and for Pakistani females 19.35609323536863...), as well as gender-specific-mid-parental-height percentile,  $P_{\text{MP}}$  (Table 1). Optimal mass, after 6 months, was computed based on estimated-reference height

(6 month ahead in time scale). Recommendations to pick up height and gain or reduce mass (weight) were generated from the most-recent profile. Difference of measured height (current value) and reference height (after 6 months) was considered as guideline to set short-term goals to gain height within the next 6 months. Similarly, difference of measured mass (current value) and optimal mass (after 6 months) was considered as guideline to set short-term goals to gain (lose) mass within the next 6 months, if the value was negative (positive). Monthly recommendations to gain height or acquire (reduce) mass were prepared, taking care of the principle that a child should not be required to lose more than 10 kg within the next 6 months, in order to avoid any adverse health effects from a rapid loss of mass. 6 recommendations (on date of checkup of each successive month) to achieve specific values of height were obtained by adding monthly recommendation (to pick up height) multiplied by a factor (1 to 6, representing the number of successive month) to measured height (in cm) and converted into ft and in. In a similar manner, 6 recommendations (on date of checkup of each successive month) to achieve specific values of mass (weight) were obtained by adding monthly recommendation (to put on or shed off mass) multiplied by a factor (1 to 6, representing the number of successive month) to measured mass (current value in kg) and converted into weight (in lb and oz).

Parents' Obesity Profiles: To compute Parents' Obesity Profiles, percentiles of heights and masses of father and mother were determined by 'linear interpolation' from lesser and greater age-20 values of heights and masses read from extended-gender-specific tables.

Parents' Obesity Roadmaps: Father (Mother) was advised to put on mass (weight) corresponding to the difference obtained by subtracting net mass from optimal mass, if the value of former was lesser than that of later (weight in lb and oz was obtained using the relations: 1 kg = 2.205 lb; 1 lb = 16 oz). In case, value of net mass was greater than optimal mass, father was suggested to lose mass corresponding to that difference, provided the value was under-10 kg, otherwise he should shed off 10 kg within the next 6 months. For mothers (married at the time of check up or divorced/widowed in the near past), the recommendation to lose mass was computed by adding 5 kg to gross mass, to take care of possible pregnancy and the associated fetal mass. Monthly as well as date-wise recommendations to manage mass (weight) were generated following procedures given for children's roadmaps.

# Lifestyle Adjustment, Diet and Exercise Plans

To achieve recommended targets (mass targets for the entire family; additional height targets for each child), customized lifestyle adjustment as well as diet plans for increasing height (Kamal *et al.*, 2013*b*) and maintaining optimal weight (Kamal *et al.*, 2013*e*) were included in the report handed out to parents. Guarded-graduated exercises were recommended to accompany diet plans (Kamal and Khan, 2014). Since diet plans are not effective in children suffering from vitamin-D deficiency, measures were suggested to remedy this problem (Kamal *et al.*, 2013*a*).

#### CASE PRESENTATION

G. R., female, is the second of 3 children (an older sister and a younger brother) living with biological parents. Father, born December 21, 1971 (blood group B+), mother born November 17, 1975 (blood group O+). Maternal grandfather had cardiac problems. She was observed in SF-Growth-and-Imaging Laboratory during the period 2011-2013 (age range 6.47-8.53 *years*). Figures 5*a-f* show posture and moiré photographs of G. R.

*History*: After a normal pregnancy of 9 *months*, she was born (normal delivery) on November 2, 2004 (birth weight 2.5 kg; blood group O+). Breastfeeding discontinued after 6 *months* due to problems in breathing. She was




Fig. 5*a-f.* Posture and moiré photographs of G. R. taken on May 13, 2012; surgical scar visible in *a*—Posture photographs first appeared in Kamal and Jamil (2014), published in the same journal




Fig. 6. Height- and mass-percentile trajectories of G. R. in the age range 6.47-8.53 years.

good both academically and in social interactions. However, she lacked independence and got tired, easily. Her daily routine consisted of 9-hour sleep, 3 meals (relaxed) and 1 snack (relaxed). She did not participate in co-curricular activities and sports. She had cardiac surgery when 5-day old. When 5-year old, she was diagnosed to have Pulmonary Atresia with Ventricular Septal Defect (VSD). She had corrective surgery for closure of VSD and formation of right ventricle to pulmonary artery continuity by using a valved conduit. At the age of 7 years, she had another surgery.

*Examinations*: All of her checkups were conducted with the child barefoot and completely undressed except underwear. She was relaxed and coöperative during every checkup. She was right-handed, hair rough, nails white and teeth yellow. Her lips were black at 2<sup>nd</sup> checkup and blue at 3<sup>rd</sup> checkup (indicative of cyanosis). She had normal heart sounds at four locations at 1<sup>st</sup> checkup, heart beat not OK at 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> checkups, thumping heart but normal sounds at 4<sup>th</sup> checkup. Surgical scar was seen at 1<sup>st</sup> checkup, which showed healing at 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> checkups, a new surgical scar on chest observed at 4<sup>th</sup> checkup.

Growth-and-Obesity Roadmap: Growth-and-Obesity Roadmap shows that G. R. was suffering from acute malnutrition during the entire period of observation (6.47-8.53 years). Mid-parental percentile was 7.77, which made her 1<sup>st</sup>-degree stunted for all her 4 checkups. Her height and mass percentiles both were below 3<sup>rd</sup> for all her 4 checkups. Figure 6 displays height- and mass-percentile trajectories of G. R. There were pseudo gains of height from 2<sup>nd</sup> to 4<sup>th</sup> checkup (height gain from 113.46 cm to 117.46 cm; percentile of height dropping from 2.69 to 2.01). Both height and mass percentiles have maximum values just after her 7<sup>th</sup> birthday (not exactly at the same age, mass percentile hitting maximum a little later than height percentile; mass-percentile curve never crosses or touches height- percentile curve, suggesting that optimal mass was never achieved — mass-percentile curve below height-percentile curve throughout the period of observation, indicative of persistent wasting, physical loss of mass between 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> checkup), after which both show a drop in values. Mass percentile reaching lowest just before her 8<sup>th</sup> birthday, after which there was a slight upward trend. Severity of Acute Malnutrition was computed using equation (3), which increased from 47.67% to 58.00% (1<sup>st</sup> to 4<sup>th</sup> checkup), with a drop at 2<sup>nd</sup> checkup. Table 4 lists target and army-cutoff heights as well as the corresponding percentiles. Growth-and-Obesity Roadmaps of G. R. are

Table 4. Adult-mid-parental (Target) and army-cutoff heights for R. Family

Father's Height: ∮ 167.90 cm • Mother's Height: ∮ 153.02 cm Adult-Mid-Parental (Target) Girl # and Army-Cutoff Heights Army-Cutoff Target Target Army-Cutoff **Case Number** SGPP-KHI-20110412-02 Height (cm) 166.96 162.56 153.96 157.48 Height (ft-in) 5 ft 5.73 in 5 ft 4.00 in 5 ft 0.61 in 5 ft 2.00 in Percentile 8.87 2.72 7.77 19.36

Table 5a. Growth-and-Obesity Roadmap of G. R. (1st to 4th checkups)

Gender: Female † • Date of Birth (year-month-date): 2005-06-16 • School: Withheld • GR Number: Withheld

Checkup         Ist         2°°d         3°°d         4°°d         4°°d         4°°d         4°°d         4°°d         4°°d         4°°d         Cand         Cand         GPR         GPR         GPR         GPR         CI1010412-02/02           Photograph           Scanned Signatures         GPR         GPR         GPR         GPR         GPR         CR         Class         KG         I         II         Age         Cear-month-date         06-07         07-05-09         07-06-01         09         07-05-09         07-06-01         0         0         0         0         0         0         0 <th>aph Signatures</th>	aph Signatures
Photograph	aph Signatures
Class         KG         I         I         II           Date of Checkup (year-month-date)         2011-04-21         2012-04-11         2012-05-13         2013-05-16           Age (year-month-date)         06-05-19         07-05-09         07-06-11         08-06-14           Age (decimal year)         6.47         7.44         7.53         8.53           Dress Code         0/0.5 </td <td></td>	
Class Date of Checkup (year-month-date)         KG         I         I         II           Age (year-month-date)         2011-04-21         2012-04-11         2012-05-13         2013-05-14           Age (year-month-date)         06-05-19         07-05-00         07-06-11         08-06-14           Age (decimal year)         6.47         7.44         7.53         8.53           Dress Code         0/0.5         0/0.5         0/0.5         0/0.5         0/0.5           Behavior Code         0         0         0         0         0         0           Cumulative-Scoliosis-Risk Weightage (CSRW)         0.50         5.00         6.50         7.50           Height, h (cm)         107.6         113.48         113.72         117.46           Height, h (cm)         107.6         113.48         113.72         117.46           Height (ft-in)         3 ft 6.36 in 3 ft 8.68 in 3 ft 8.68 in 3 ft 8.77 in 3 ft 10.24 in 10.24	
Date of Checkup (year-month-date)	The almost (a. a. a
Age (year-month-date)         06-05-19         07-05-09         07-06-11         08-06-14           Age (decimal year)         6.47         7.44         7.53         8.53           Dress Code         0/0.5	Checkup ( <i>vear-month-aate</i> )
Age (decimal year)         6.47         7.44         7.53         8.53           Dress Code         0/0.5         0/0.5         0/0.5         0/0.5           Behavior Code         0         0         0         0           Cumulative-Scoliosis-Risk Weightage (CSRW)         0.50         5.00         6.50         7.50           Height, h (cm)         107.6         113.48         113.72         117.46           Height (fr-in)         3 ft 6.36 in         3 ft 8.68 in         3 ft 8.77 in         3 ft 10.24 in           Percentile-for-Height, P(h)         2.58         2.69         2.58         2.01           Estimated-Adult Height (cm)         150.20         150.44         150.19         148.94           Estimated-Adult Height (fr-in)         4 ft 11.13 in         4 ft 11.23 in         4 ft 11.13 in         4 ft 11.08         116.88         122.07           A Height w. r. t. Current-Age-MP (cm)         -2.94         -2.90         -3.16         -4.61         -4.61           Algebraic Status (pertaining-to-height), STATUS <sub>±</sub> (h)         -2.66%         -2.49%         -2.70%         -3.77%           Qualitative Status (pertaining-to-height)         1st-Deg Stunted         1st-Deg Stunted         1st-Deg Stunted         1st-Deg Stunted         1st-Deg Stunted	
Dress Code         0/0.5         0/0.5         0/0.5         0/0.5           Behavior Code         0         0         0         0           Cumulative-Scoliosis-Risk Weightage (CSRW)         0.50         5.00         6.50         7.50           Height, h (cm)         107.6         113.48         113.72         117.46           Height (ft-in)         3 ft 6.36 in         3 ft 8.68 in         3 ft 8.77 in         3 ft 10.24 in           Percentile-for-Height, P(h)         2.58         2.69         2.58         2.01           Estimated-Adult Height (ft-in)         150.20         150.44         150.19         148.94           Estimated-Adult Height (ff-in)         4 ft 11.13 in         4 ft 11.23 in         4 ft 11.13 in         4 ft 11.688         122.07           Δ Height w. r. t. Current-Age-MP (cm)         110.54         116.38         116.88         122.07           Δ Height w. r. t. Current-Age-MP (cm)         -2.94         -2.90         -3.16         -4.61           Algebraic Status (pertaining-to-height), STATUS <sub>±</sub> (h)         -2.66%         -2.49%         -2.70%         -3.77%           Qualitative Status (pertaining-to-height)         113.25         119.27         119.79         125.17           A Height w. r. t. Army-Cutoff (cm)	
Behavior Code         0         0         0         0           Cumulative-Scoliosis-Risk Weightage (CSRW)         0.50         5.00         6.50         7.50           Height, h (cm)         107.6         113.48         113.72         117.46           Height (ft-in)         3 ft 6.36 in         3 ft 8.68 in         3 ft 8.77 in         3 ft 10.24 in           Percentile-for-Height, P(h)         2.58         2.69         2.58         2.01           Estimated-Adult Height (ft-in)         150.20         150.44         150.19         148.94           Estimated-Adult Height (ft-in)         4 ft 11.13 in         4 ft 11.13 in         4 ft 11.03 in         4 ft 11.13 in         4 ft 11.04 in           Current-Age-MP Height (cm)         110.54         116.38         116.88         122.07           Δ Height w. r. t. Current-Age-MP (cm)         -2.94         -2.90         -3.16         -4.61           Algebraic Status (pertaining-to-height), STATUS <sub>±</sub> (h)         -2.66%         -2.49%         -2.70%         -3.77%           Qualitative Status (pertaining-to-height)         1st-Deg Stunted         1st-Deg	• '
Cumulative-Scoliosis-Risk Weightage (CSRW)         0.50         5.00         6.50         7.50           Height, $h(cm)$ 107.6         113.48         113.72         117.46           Height $(fr)$ 3 ft 6.36 in         3 ft 8.68 in         3 ft 8.77 in         3 ft 10.24 in           Percentile-for-Height, $P(h)$ 2.58         2.69         2.58         2.01           Estimated-Adult Height $(cm)$ 150.20         150.44         150.19         148.94           Estimated-Adult Height $(fr-in)$ 4 ft 11.13 in         4 ft 11.23 in         4 ft 11.13 in         4 ft 11.13 in         4 ft 11.13 in         4 ft 11.13 in         4 ft 10.64 in           Current-Age-MP Height $(cm)$ 110.54         116.38         116.88         122.07 $\Delta$ Height $w. r. t.$ Current-Age-MP $(cm)$ -2.94         -2.90         -3.16         -4.61           Algebraic Status (pertaining-to-height), STATUS_2(h)         -2.66%         -2.49%         -2.70%         -3.77%           Qualitative Status (pertaining-to-height)         1st-Deg Stunted	
Height, $h(cm)$ 107.6       113.48       113.72       117.46         Height $(ft-in)$ 3 ft 6.36 in       3 ft 8.68 in       3 ft 8.77 in       3 ft 10.24 in         Percentile-for-Height, $P(h)$ 2.58       2.69       2.58       2.01         Estimated-Adult Height $(cm)$ 150.20       150.44       150.19       148.94         Estimated-Adult Height $(ft-in)$ 4 ft 11.13 in       4 ft 11.123 in       4 ft 11.13 in       116.	
Height ( $ft$ -in)         3 $ft$ 6.36 in         3 $ft$ 8.68 in         3 $ft$ 8.77 in         3 $ft$ 10.24 in           Percentile-for-Height, $P(h)$ 2.58         2.69         2.58         2.01           Estimated-Adult Height ( $ft$ -in)         150.20         150.44         150.19         148.94           Estimated-Adult Height ( $ft$ -in)         4 $ft$ 11.13 in         4 $ft$ 11.23 in         4 $ft$ 11.13 in         4 $ft$ 11.688         122.07           Δ Height $w$ . $r$ . $t$ . Current-Age-MP ( $cm$ )         -2.94         -2.90         -3.16         -4.61         -4.61           Algebraic Status (pertaining-to-height), $STATUS_{\pm}(h)$ 15 Deg Stunted	
Percentile-for-Height, $P(h)$ 2.58         2.69         2.58         2.01           Estimated-Adult Height ( $cm$ )         150.20         150.44         150.19         148.94           Estimated-Adult Height ( $ft$ -in)         4 ft 11.13 in         4 ft 11.23 in         4 ft 11.13 in         4 ft 11.064 it           Current-Age-MP Height ( $cm$ )         110.54         116.38         116.88         122.07           Δ Height $w. r. t.$ Current-Age-MP ( $cm$ )         -2.94         -2.90         -3.16         -4.61           Algebraic Status (pertaining-to-height), STATUS <sub>±</sub> (h)         -2.66%         -2.49%         -2.70%         -3.77%           Qualitative Status (pertaining-to-height)         1st-Deg Stunted         1st-De	
Estimated-Adult Height ( $cm$ )         150.20         150.44         150.19         148.94           Estimated-Adult Height ( $ft$ - $in$ )         4 $ft$ 11.13 $in$ 4 $ft$ 11.23 $in$ 4 $ft$ 11.13 $in$ 4 $ft$ 10.64 $it$ Current-Age-MP Height ( $cm$ )         110.54         116.38         116.88         122.07           Δ Height $w. r. t.$ Current-Age-MP ( $cm$ )         -2.94         -2.90         -3.16         -4.61           Algebraic Status (pertaining-to-height), $STATUS_±(h)$ -2.66%         -2.49%         -2.70%         -3.77%           Qualitative Status (pertaining-to-height)         1st-Deg Stunted	
Estimated-Adult Height $(ft-in)$ 4 ft 11.13 in         4 ft 11.23 in         4 ft 11.13 in         4 ft 11.13 in         4 ft 10.64 it           Current-Age-MP Height $(cm)$ 110.54         116.38         116.88         122.07 $\Delta$ Height $w. r. t.$ Current-Age-MP $(cm)$ -2.94         -2.90         -3.16         -4.61           Algebraic Status (pertaining-to-height), STATUS $_{\pm}$ (h)         -2.66%         -2.49%         -2.70%         -3.77%           Qualitative Status (pertaining-to-height)         1st-Deg Stunted	
Current-Age-MP Height $(cm)$ 110.54       116.38       116.88       122.07 $\Delta$ Height $w. r. t.$ Current-Age-MP $(cm)$ $-2.94$ $-2.90$ $-3.16$ $-4.61$ Algebraic Status (pertaining-to-height), $STATUS_{\pm}(h)$ $-2.66\%$ $-2.49\%$ $-2.70\%$ $-3.77\%$ Qualitative Status (pertaining-to-height) $1st$ -Deg Stunted       <	
$\Delta$ Height w. r. t. Current-Age-MP (cm)	
Algebraic Status (pertaining-to-height), $STATUS_{\pm}(h)$ -2.66%         -2.49%         -2.70%         -3.77%           Qualitative Status (pertaining-to-height)         1st-Deg Stunted	
Qualitative Status (pertaining-to-height)         1st_Deg Stunted         1st_Deg Stunted<	
Current-Age-Army-Cutoff Height $(cm)$ $113.25$ $119.27$ $119.79$ $125.17$ $\Delta$ Height $w. r. t.$ Army-Cutoff $(cm)$ $-5.65$ $-5.79$ $-6.07$ $-7.71$ Reference Height $(cm)$ $113.25$ $119.27$ $119.79$ $125.17$ Percentile-for-Reference-Height $19.36$ $19.36$ $19.36$ $19.36$ Age of Prediction, $A + (years)$ $6.97$ $7.94$ $8.03$ $9.04$ Reference Height at $A + (cm)$ $116.43$ $122.09$ $122.58$ $127.59$ 6-Month-Height Management $(cm)$ $+8.83$ $+6.61$ $+8.86$ $+10.13$ Month-Wise-Height Management $(cm/month)$ $+1.47$ $+1.44$ $+1.48$ $+1.69$ Month-Wise-Height Management $(in/month)$ $+0.58$ $+0.43$ $+0.58$ $+0.66$ Gross Mass $(kg)$ $13.3$ $17.65$ $14.51$ $15.57$ Clothing Correction $(kg)$ $0$ $0$ $0$	
$       \Delta \text{ Height } w. r. t. \text{ Army-Cutoff } (cm)     $ Reference Height $(cm)$ 113.25  119.27  119.79  125.17  Percentile-for-Reference-Height  19.36  19.36  19.36  19.36  19.36  19.36  Age of Prediction, $A + (years)$ 6.97  7.94  8.03  9.04  Reference Height at $A + (cm)$ 116.43  122.09  122.58  127.59  6-Month-Height Management $(cm)$ +8.83  +6.61  +8.86  +10.13  Month-Wise-Height Management $(cm/month)$ +1.47  +1.44  +1.48  +1.69  Month-Wise-Height Management $(in/month)$ +0.58  Gross Mass $(kg)$ 13.3  17.65  14.51  15.57  Clothing Correction $(kg)$ 0  0	
Reference Height $(cm)$ 113.25       119.27       119.79       125.17         Percentile-for-Reference-Height       19.36       19.36       19.36       19.36         Age of Prediction, $A + (years)$ 6.97       7.94       8.03       9.04         Reference Height at $A + (cm)$ 116.43       122.09       122.58       127.59         6-Month-Height Management $(cm)$ +8.83       +6.61       +8.86       +10.13         Month-Wise-Height Management $(cm/month)$ +1.47       +1.44       +1.48       +1.69         Month-Wise-Height Management $(in/month)$ +0.58       +0.43       +0.58       +0.66         Gross Mass $(kg)$ 13.3       17.65       14.51       15.57         Clothing Correction $(kg)$ 0       0       0       0	
Percentile-for-Reference-Height       19.36       19.36       19.36       19.36         Age of Prediction, $A + (years)$ 6.97       7.94       8.03       9.04         Reference Height at $A + (cm)$ 116.43       122.09       122.58       127.59         6-Month-Height Management $(cm)$ +8.83       +6.61       +8.86       +10.13         Month-Wise-Height Management $(cm/month)$ +1.47       +1.44       +1.48       +1.69         Month-Wise-Height Management $(in/month)$ +0.58       +0.43       +0.58       +0.66         Gross Mass $(kg)$ 13.3       17.65       14.51       15.57         Clothing Correction $(kg)$ 0       0       0       0	
Age of Prediction, $A + (years)$ 6.97       7.94       8.03       9.04         Reference Height at $A + (cm)$ 116.43       122.09       122.58       127.59         6-Month-Height Management $(cm)$ +8.83       +6.61       +8.86       +10.13         Month-Wise-Height Management $(cm/month)$ +1.47       +1.44       +1.48       +1.69         Month-Wise-Height Management $(in/month)$ +0.58       +0.43       +0.58       +0.66         Gross Mass $(kg)$ 13.3       17.65       14.51       15.57         Clothing Correction $(kg)$ 0       0       0       0	
Reference Height at $A + (cm)$ 116.43       122.09       122.58       127.59         6-Month-Height Management $(cm)$ +8.83       +6.61       +8.86       +10.13         Month-Wise-Height Management $(cm/month)$ +1.47       +1.44       +1.48       +1.69         Month-Wise-Height Management $(in/month)$ +0.58       +0.43       +0.58       +0.66         Gross Mass $(kg)$ 13.3       17.65       14.51       15.57         Clothing Correction $(kg)$ 0       0       0       0	
6-Month-Height Management (cm) +8.83 +6.61 +8.86 +10.13    Month-Wise-Height Management (cm/month) +1.47 +1.44 +1.48 +1.69   Month-Wise-Height Management (in/month) +0.58 +0.43 +0.58 +0.66   Gross Mass (kg) 13.3 17.65 14.51 15.57   Clothing Correction (kg) 0 0 0 0	
Month-Wise-Height Management $(cm/month)$ +1.47         +1.44         +1.48         +1.69           Month-Wise-Height Management $(in/month)$ +0.58         +0.43         +0.58         +0.66           Gross Mass $(kg)$ 13.3         17.65         14.51         15.57           Clothing Correction $(kg)$ 0         0         0         0	
Month-Wise-Height Management (in/month) $+0.58$ $+0.43$ $+0.58$ $+0.66$ Gross Mass (kg)       13.3       17.65       14.51       15.57         Clothing Correction (kg)       0       0       0       0	
Gross Mass $(kg)$ 13.3 17.65 14.51 15.57 Clothing Correction $(kg)$ 0 0 0	
Clothing Correction $(kg)$ 0 0 0	
C (0)	
Net Mass, $\mu(kg)$ 13.3 17.65 14.51 15.57 Net Weight ( $lb$ - $oz$ ) 29 $lb$ 5.22 $oz$ 38 $lb$ 14.69 $oz$ 31 $lb$ 15.91 $oz$ 34 $lb$ 5.31	
	gii ( <i>iD-02</i> )
v /	
` 0'	le-for-Net-Mass $P(\mu)$
	le-for-Net-Mass $P(\mu)$ d-Adult Mass $(kg)$
	le-for-Net-Mass $P(\mu)$ d-Adult Mass $(kg)$ d-Adult Weight $(lb-oz)$
Optimal Mass (kg) 16.30 18.06 18.07 19.07	le-for-Net-Mass $P(\mu)$ d-Adult Mass $(kg)$ d-Adult Weight $(lb-oz)$ dy-Mass Index $(kg/m^2)$
	le-for-Net-Mass $P(\mu)$ dd-Adult Mass $(kg)$ dd-Adult Weight $(lb-oz)$ ddy-Mass Index $(kg/m^2)$ dd-Adult $BMI$ $(kg/m^2)$
	le-for-Net-Mass $P(\mu)$ dd-Adult Mass $(kg)$ dd-Adult Weight $(lb-oz)$ ddy-Mass Index $(kg/m^2)$ dd-Adult BMI $(kg/m^2)$ Mass $(kg)$
	le-for-Net-Mass $P(\mu)$ d-Adult Mass $(kg)$ d-Adult Weight $(lb-oz)$ dy-Mass Index $(kg/m^2)$ draw $(kg/m^2)$ Mass $(kg)$ for-Height $(kg)$
	le-for-Net-Mass $P(\mu)$ d-Adult Mass $(kg)$ d-Adult Weight $(lb-oz)$ bdy-Mass Index $(kg/m^2)$ d-Adult $BMI$ $(kg/m^2)$ Mass $(kg)$ for-Height $(kg)$ $c$ Status (pertaining-to-mass), $STATUS_{\pm}(\mu)$
	le-for-Net-Mass $P(\mu)$ de-Adult Mass $(kg)$ de-Adult Weight $(lb-oz)$ de-Adult Weight $(lb-oz)$ de-Adult BMI $(kg/m^2)$ de-Adult BMI $(kg/m^2)$ Mass $(kg)$ for-Height $(kg)$ ce Status (pertaining-to-mass), $STATUS_{\pm}(\mu)$ tive Status (pertaining-to-mass)
	le-for-Net-Mass $P(\mu)$ de-Adult Mass $(kg)$ de-Adult Weight $(lb-oz)$ de-Adult Weight $(lb-oz)$ dey-Mass Index $(kg/m^2)$ de-Adult BMI $(kg/m^2)$ Mass $(kg)$ for-Height $(kg)$ c Status (pertaining-to-mass), $STATUS_{\pm}(\mu)$ tive Status (pertaining-to-mass)  Mass for Reference Height at $A + (kg)$
	le-for-Net-Mass $P(\mu)$ dd-Adult Mass $(kg)$ dd-Adult Weight $(lb-oz)$ ddy-Mass Index $(kg/m^2)$ dd-Adult BMI $(kg/m^2)$ Mass $(kg)$ for-Height $(kg)$ c Status (pertaining-to-mass), $STATUS_{\pm}(\mu)$ tive Status (pertaining-to-mass)  Mass for Reference Height at $A+(kg)$ lands Management $(kg)$
	le-for-Net-Mass $P(\mu)$ de-Adult Mass $(kg)$ de-Adult Weight $(lb-oz)$ dey-Mass Index $(kg/m^2)$ dey-Adult BMI $(kg/m^2)$ Mass $(kg)$ for-Height $(kg)$ de Status (pertaining-to-mass), $STATUS_{\pm}(\mu)$ detwe Status (pertaining-to-mass) Mass for Reference Height at $A+(kg)$ degree Mass Management $(kg)$ Wise-Mass Management $(kg/month)$
Nutritional Status AM AM AM AM	le-for-Net-Mass $P(\mu)$ d-Adult Mass $(kg)$ d-Adult Weight $(lb-oz)$ dy-Mass Index $(kg/m^2)$ dy-Adult BMI $(kg/m^2)$ Mass $(kg)$ for-Height $(kg)$ c Status (pertaining-to-mass), $STATUS_{\pm}(\mu)$ tive Status (pertaining-to-mass) Mass for Reference Height at $A+(kg)$ l-Mass Management $(kg)$ Wise-Mass Management $(kg/month)$ Wise-Weight Management $(lb-oz/month)$
$P(h) + P(\mu)$ 3.14 5.05 3.13 2.52	le-for-Net-Mass $P(\mu)$ d-Adult Mass $(kg)$ d-Adult Weight $(lb-oz)$ dy-Mass Index $(kg/m^2)$ dy-Adult $BMI$ $(kg/m^2)$ Mass $(kg)$ for-Height $(kg)$ c Status (pertaining-to-mass), $STATUS_{\pm}(\mu)$ tive Status (pertaining-to-mass) Mass for Reference Height at $A+(kg)$ d-Mass Management $(kg)$ Wise-Mass Management $(kg)$ Wise-Weight Management $(lb-oz/month)$ nal Status
Severity of Acute Malnutrition         47.67%         15.83%         47.83%         58.00%	le-for-Net-Mass $P(\mu)$ dd-Adult Mass $(kg)$ dd-Adult Weight $(lb-oz)$ ddy-Mass Index $(kg/m^2)$ dd-Adult BMI $(kg/m^2)$ Mass $(kg)$ for-Height $(kg)$ dc Status (pertaining-to-mass), $STATUS_{\pm}(\mu)$ dive Status (pertaining-to-mass) Mass for Reference Height at $A+(kg)$ de Mass Management $(kg)$ Wise-Mass Management $(kg/month)$ Wise-Weight Management $(lb-oz/month)$ mal Status $(\mu)$
Built Small Small Small Small	le-for-Net-Mass $P(\mu)$ dd-Adult Mass $(kg)$ dd-Adult Weight $(lb-oz)$ ddy-Mass Index $(kg/m^2)$ dd-Adult BMI $(kg/m^2)$ Mass $(kg)$ for-Height $(kg)$ dc Status (pertaining-to-mass), $STATUS_{\pm}(\mu)$ dive Status (pertaining-to-mass) Mass for Reference Height at $A+(kg)$ de Mass Management $(kg)$ Wise-Mass Management $(kg/month)$ Wise-Weight Management $(lb-oz/month)$ mal Status $(\mu)$

listed in Table 5a. Date-wise recommendations for G. R. to pick up height and put on mass (for the next 6 months)

Table 5b. Month-wise-height and -mass management for G. R. based on her last checkup

Targets	Height	Management	Mass (V	Veight) Management
(on specific dates of each month)	cm	ft-in	kg	lb-oz
June 16, 2013	119.15	3 ft 10.91 in	17.14	37 lb 12.82 oz
July 16, 2013	120.84	3 ft 11.57 in	18.72	41 lb 4.32 oz
August 16, 2013	122.53	4 ft 0.24 in	20.29	44 lb 11.83 oz
September 16, 2013	124.21	4 ft 0.90 in	21.86	48 lb 3.34 oz
October 16, 2013	125.90	4 ft 1.57 in	23.44	51 lb 10.85 oz
November 16, 2013	127.59	4 ft 2.23 in	25.01	55 lb 2.35 oz

are given in Table 5b. Table 5c suggests lifestyle adjustment, diet and exercise plans for G. R. Parents' obesity

Table 5c. Lifestyle adjustment, diet and exercise plans for G. R. to achieve month-wise targets

	Height Management	Mass (Weight) Management
Lifestyle Adjustment	Recommended daily dose of vitamin D (600 IU) through 10-15 minute guarded graduated sun-exposure (early morning or late afternoon) with the child minimally dressed, 10-hour night-time sound sleep	
Diet Plans	3 relaxed and balanced meals, 10-12 glasses. To gain height diet plan should include calcium-, protein- and fiber-rich diet (milk, fresh fruit, chicken and fish)	s of water daily  To put on mass (weight) diet plan should include milk, potato items and protein-rich diet
Exercise Plans	Guarded-graduated exercises preceded by v To pick up height child should perform light-stretching exercises (bar hanging, mild-stretching, summersault, cartwheel)	varm-up and followed by cool-down routines To increase mass (weight) heavy exercises performed for shorter duration, consistently

statuses were, also, determined, which revealed that father was obese, whereas mother was wasted (Table 6a). Datewise recommendations for parents to manage weight (for the next 6 months) have been prepared and listed in Table

Table 6a. Obesity Roadmaps of parents of G. R.

Father's Date of Birth (year-month-date): † 1971-12-21 • Mother's Date of Birth (year-month-date): † 1975-11-17

	Father 🛉	Mother 🛊
Case Number	SGPP-KHI-20110412-02	
Date of Checkup (year-month-date)	2012-05-13	2012-05-13
Age (year-month-date)	40-04-22	36-05-26
Age (decimal year)	40.39	36.49
Dress Code	1.5/2	2/2
Height, $h(cm)$	167.90	153.02
Height (ft-in)	5 ft 6.10 in	5 ft 0.24 in
Gross Mass (kg)	82.80	44.64
Clothing Correction (kg)	0.30	0.30
Net Mass, $\mu(kg)$	82.50	44.34
Net Weight ( <i>lb-oz</i> )	181 <i>lb</i> 14.60 <i>oz</i>	97 lb 12.32 oz
<i>BMI</i> : Body-Mass Index $(kg/m^2)$	29.27	18.94
Optimal Mass (kg)	67.66	56.20
Δ Mass-for-Height	-14.84	-11.86
Algebraic Status (pertaining-to-mass), $STATUS_{\pm}(\mu)$	+21.94%	-21.10%
Qualitative Status (pertaining-to-mass)	3 <sup>rd</sup> -Deg Obese	3rd-Deg Wasted
6-Month-Mass Management (kg)	-10.00	+11.86
Month-Wise-Mass Management (kg/month)	-1.67	+1.98
Month-Wise-Weight Management (lb-oz/month)	−3 <i>lb</i> 10.80 <i>oz</i>	+4 lb 5.71 oz

Targets		Father 🛉		Mother 🕴
(on specific dates of each month)	kg	lb-oz	kg	lb-oz
June 13, 2012	80.83	178 lb 3.80 oz	46.32	102 lb 2.05 oz
July 13, 2012	79.17	174 lb 9.00 oz	48.29	106 lb 7.79 oz
August 13, 2012	77.50	170 lb 14.20 oz	50.27	110 lb 13.50 oz
September 13, 2012	75.83	167 lb 3.40 oz	52.25	115 lb 3.26 oz
October 13, 2012	74.17	163 lb 8.60 oz	54.22	119 lb 9.00 oz
November 13, 2012	72.50	159 lb 13.80 oz	56.20	123 lb 14.74 oz

Table 6b. Month-wise-mass (weight) management for parents of G. R.

6b. Table 6c suggests lifestyle adjustment, diet and exercise plans for parents to help achieve goals listed in Table 6b. To process data of this patient, Growth Charts and Tables were extended to include height and mass percentiles below 3<sup>rd</sup> percentile (http://www.ngds-ku.org/Papers/J34/Additional\_File\_3.pdf), generated by mathematical modeling and data of CDC (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention) Growth Charts and Tables (Kamal and Jamil, 2014).

### DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

This work described case of a female child, whose was monitored in SF-Growth-and-Imaging Laboratory. She was suffering from Pulmonary Atresia with Ventricular Septal Defect, for which she had to undergo 3 cardiac surgeries, which resulted in her 'Failing-to-Grow' (both height and mass percentiles lying below 3<sup>rd</sup> for all her 4 checkups). She presented with acute malnutrition resulting in small built. Constant medical supervision combined with recommended lifestyle adjustment, diet and guarded-graduated exercise plans should improve quality of life for this child. A much more sophisticated mathematical-computer modeling of heart function beyond the electrical, the mechanical and the acoustic approaches may bring out better treatment options for such children. While the existing models have established framework for linking the structure and the function of cardiac cells and tissue to the integrated behavior of the intact heart, a lot of other aspects of physiological functioning, which include metabolic and signal-transudation pathways, should be considered before significant progress can be made in understanding cardiac problems.

Additional File (http://www.ngds-ku.org/Papers/J40/Additional\_File.pdf) contains color-combination keys for labeling qualitative statuses and nutritional statuses as well as pictures showing auscultation of heart and measurement of height and mass.

Informed Consent and Confidentiality Standards: G. R. was participated in our growth-and-obesity monitoring program through the NGDS Pilot Project. 'The Informed Consent Form' was received duly signed by both parents and the participating child (http://www.ngds-ku.org/ngds\_folder/Protocols/NGDS\_form.pdf), allowing measurements to be performed on the school premises. R. Family was invited to come to SF-Growth-and-Imaging Laboratory after the Project Director received 'The SGPP Participation Form' (http://www.ngds-ku.org/SGPP/SGPP\_Form.pdf). To safeguard R. Family's privacy, the photographs, included in G. R.'s Growth-and-Obesity Roadmap, do not show the actual child, whose profile is presented. These photographs are selected from the set of children, enrolled in Growth-and-Obesity-Obesity-Monitoring Program conducted at SF-Growth-and-Imaging Laboratory. In addition, family label (R.) and initials of child (G. R.) are

Table 6c. Lifestyle adjustment, diet and exercise plans to achieve month-wise targets for parents of G. R.

	Father 🛉	Mother 🕴	
Lifestyle Adjustment	Active and carefree lifestyle, lesser screen time, outdoor activities combined with light reading and social interactions, 6-hour night-time sound sleep		
Diet Plans	3 relaxed and balanced meals, 10-12 glasse To shed off mass (weight), diet plan should include salad, yogurt and skimmed milk	s of water daily  To put on mass (weight), diet plan should include milk, potato items and protein-rich diet	
Exercise Plans	Guarded-graduated exercises preceded by v To shed off mass (weight), mother should perform light exercises longer duration, consistently	warm-up and followed by cool-down routines To put on mass (weight), father should perform heavy exercises for shorter duration, consistently	

different from first letters in actual names (according to our group's confidentiality standards). Same holds for the case number appearing in this report and the main document. Further, in place of scanned signatures, initials are given, again, to protect privacy.

### **ACNOWLKEDGEMENTS**

The author would like to express his heartfelt thanks to authorities of Army Public School, 'O' Levels, Bahira, College, NORE I, Beacon Light Academy and Fazaia (Pakistan Air Force) Degree College, all of them located in Karachi, for arranging data-collection on their premises as well as our associates Urooj A. Razzaq and Samira Sahar Jamil, for helping out in measurements. Design of study of growth patterns of pediatric cardiac patients was, initially, discussed with Dr. Mehnaz Atiq, Pediatric Cardiologist, the Aga Khan University Hospital. The case of child reported in this paper is, also, under the care of Dr. Atiq. The author is indebted to the child and her parents for granting written permission to use photographs and data, which appear in this work. Shakeel Ahmed Ansari developed software to analyze anthropometric data. Last, but not the least, the author would like to express his deepest appreciation to Prof. David Kahan of School of Exercise and Nutritional Science, San Diego Sate University, USA, for meticulously going through sample Growth-and-Obesity Roadmaps (http://www.ngds-ku.org/Articles/A28/Report.pdf) and giving his valuable suggestions. No potential conflict of interest is identified for this work.

### REFERENCES

- Allison, D. B., J. Bassnya-Riera, B. Burlingane, et al. (2015). Goals in nutrition science 2015-2020. Frontiers in Nutrition, 2: 26 (article number), doi: 10.3389/FRNUT.2015.00026
- Freedman, D. S., L. K. Khan, W. H. Dietz, S. R. Srinivansan and G. S. Barenson (2001). Relationship of Childhood Obesity to Coronary Heart Disease Risk Factors in Adulthood: The Bogalusa Heart Study. Pediatrics, 108: 712-718
- Hunter, P. J., A. J. Pullan and B. H. Smaill (2003). Modeling of total heart function. Annual Review of Biomedical Engineering, 5: 147-177
- Kamal S. A. (2004, October 4-6). Strong Noether's Theorem: Applications in astrodynamics. *The Second Symposium on Cosmology, Astrophysics and Relativity*, Center for Advanced Mathematics and Physics, NUST, Rawalpindi, Pakistan, p. 2, abstract: http://www.ngds-ku.org/pub/confabst.htm#C61:
- Kamal, S. A. (2006, May 23). *Manual for Obtaining Anthropometric Measurements*. University of Karachi, Karachi, Pakistan, full text: http://www.ngds-ku.org/ngds\_folder/M02.pdf
- Kamal S. A. (2014, May 17). Sports and anthromathematics. *The Fifty-Fifth Annual Prize Distribution Function*, Government College, Hyderabad, Pakistan (seminar), abstract: http://www.ngds-ku.org/Presentations/Sport\_Mathematics.pdf Additional File: http://www.ngds-ku.org/Presentations/Sport\_Mathematics/Additional\_File.pdf
- Kamal S. A. (2015*a*, March 7). Growth-and-Obesity Roadmaps of G Family. *Technical Report*, the NGDS Pilot Project, University of Karachi, Karachi, Pakistan (unpublished), doi: 10.13140/RG.2.1.3073.3281 (Research Gate), full text: http://www.ngds-ku.org/Articles/A28/Report.pdf
- Kamal, S. A. (2015b). Optimal-mass management in obese children. *International Journal of Biology and Biotechnology*, 12: 381-391, full text: http://www.ngds-ku.org/Papers/J38.pdf
- Kamal S. A. (2015c, September 2). Research empowering teaching for community development: An example from sport-mathematics research *The Second Karachi University Educational Conference (Educational Challenges of the Pakistani Children in the Twenty-First Century)*, Faculty of Education, University of Karachi, Karachi, Pakistan, p. 1 (keynote lecture), abstract: http://www.ngds-ku.org/Presentations/Research-Teaching-ComDev.pdf
- Kamal S. A., C. M. S. Manzoor and S. A. Khan (2013a, September 4, 5). Diet-based interventions and vitamin-D deficiency. *The First Conference on Anthromathematics in the Memory of (Late) Syed Firdous (ANTHROMATHEMA-TICS 2013)*, Department of Mathematics, University of Karachi, Karachi, Pakistan and Government College, Hyderabad, Pakistan, p. 14, abstract#Anthro13-08: http://www.ngds-ku.org/Presentations/VitaminD.pdf
- Kamal S. A., C. M. S. Manzoor and S. A. Khan (2013b, September 4, 5). Increasing height through diet, exercise and life-style adjustment. *The First Conference on Anthromathematics in the Memory of (Late) Syed Firdous (ANTHRO-MATHEMATICS 2013)*, Department of Mathematics, University of Karachi, Karachi, Pakistan and Government College, Hyderabad, Pakistan, p. 18, abstract#Anthro13-12:
  - http://www.ngds-ku.org/Presentations/Height\_Management.pdf
- Kamal, S. A. and K. A. Siddiqui (1992) Modeling of heart function, *Proceedings of the Symposium on Trends in Physics*, edited by K. A. Siddiqui and M. Rafi), Department of Physics, University of Karachi, Karachi, Pakistan, pp. 107-112, full text: http://www.ngds-ku.org/Papers/C39.pdf
- Kamal, S. A., and K. A. Siddiqui (2002). The human heart as a system of standing waves. *Karachi University Journal of Science*, 30: 55-63, full text: http://www.ngds-ku.org/Papers/J25.pdf
- Kamal, S. A., M. K. Rajput and S. S. Jamil (2013c, September 4, 5). Gait as indicator of CNS problems as well as trunk and lower-limb deformities. The First Conference on Anthromathematics in the Memory of (Late) Syed Firdous

- (ANTHROMATHEMATICS 2013), Department of Mathematics, University of Karachi, Karachi, Pakistan and Government College, Hyderabad, Pakistan, p. 21, abstract#Anthro13-15: http://www.ngds-ku.org/Presentations/Gait.pdf
- Kamal, S. A., M. Sarwar and U. A. Razzaq (2013d, September 4, 5). Cumulative-Scoliosis-Risk Weightage (CSRW) designing preventive strategies. *The First Conference on Anthromathematics in the Memory of (Late) Syed Firdous (ANTHROMATHEMATICS 2013)*, Department of Mathematics, University of Karachi, Karachi, Pakistan and Government College, Hyderabad, Pakistan, p. 11, abstract#Anthro13-05: http://www.ngds-ku.org/Presentations/CSRW.pdf
- Kamal, S. A., M. Sarwar and U. A. Razzaq (2015a). Effective decision making for presence of scoliosis. *International Journal of Biology and Biotechnology*, 12: 317-328, full text: http://www.ngds-ku.org/Papers/J36.pdf
- Kamal, S. A. and M. Wasim (2013). Study of relative variation of area of heart-sound triangle. *The First Conference on Anthromathematics in the Memory of (Late) Syed Firdous (ANTHROMATHEMATICS 2013)*, Department of Mathematics, University of Karachi, Karachi, Pakistan and Government College, Hyderabad, Pakistan, p. 23, abstract# Anthro13-17: http://www.ngds-ku.org/Presentations/Heart.pdf
- Kamal, S. A., N. Jamil and S. A. Khan (2011). Growth-and-Obesity Profiles of children of Karachi using box-interpolation method. *International Journal of Biology and Biotechnology*, 8: 87-96, full text:

http://www.ngds-ku.org/Papers/J29.pdf

Kamal, S. A., S. A. Ansari and S. S. Jamil (2015b). Generating and validating Growth-and-Obesity Roadmaps for the Pakistani children. *International Journal of Biology and Biotechnology*, 12: 47-61, full text:

http://www.ngds-ku.org/Papers/J35.pdf

Kamal, S. A. and S. A. Khan (2014). Primary-physical-education practices in Pakistan and England: Health and safety perspectives. *International Journal of Biology and Biotechnology*, 11: 401-419, full text:

http://www.ngds-ku.org/Papers/J33.pdf

- Kamal, S. A. and S. A. Khan (2015). Hairstyle, footwear and clothing for gymnastic activities in the primary-school setting. *Pumukkale Journal of Sport Sciences*, 6: 29-45, full text: http://www.ngds-ku.org/Papers/J37.pdf
- Kamal S. A., S. Burki and S. S. Jamil (2013e, September 4, 5). Optimal-weight management through diet, exercise and lifestyle adjustment. *The First Conference on Anthromathematics in the Memory of (Late) Syed Firdous (ANTHRO-MATHEMATICS 2013)*, Department of Mathematics, University of Karachi, Karachi, Pakistan and Government College, Hyderabad, Pakistan, p. 9, abstract#Anthro13-03: http://www.ngds-ku.org/Presentations/Optimal Weight.pdf
- Kamal, S. A., S. Firdous and S. J. Alam (2004). An investigation of growth profiles of the Pakistani children. *International Journal of Biology and Biotechnology*, 1: 707-717, full text: http://www.ngds-ku.org/Papers/J26.pdf
- Kamal, S. A., S. J. Alam and S. Firdous (2002, June 22). The NGDS Pilot Project: Software to analyze growth of a child (a telemedicine perspective). *National Telemedicine Conference Pakistan 2002*, Technology Resource Mobilization Unit (TreMU), Ministry of Science and Technology, Government of Pakistan, Islamabad, Pakistan, p. 2, full text: http://www.ngds-ku.org/Papers/C52.pdf
- Kamal, S. A. and S. S. Jamil (2012). A method to generate Growth-and-Obesity Profiles of children of still-growing parents. *International Journal of Biology and Biotechnology*, 9: 233-255, full text:

http://www.ngds-ku.org/Papers/J30.pdf

- Kamal, S. A. and S. S. Jamil (2014). KJ-regression model to evaluate optimal masses of extreme cases. *International Journal of Biology and Biotechnology*, 11: 623-648, full text: http://www.ngds-ku.org/Papers/J34.pdf
- Kamal, S. A., S. S. Jamil and U. A. Razzaq (2014). Stunting induced by wasting Wasting induced by stunting: A case study. *International Journal of Biology and Biotechnology*, 11: 147-153, full text:

http://www.ngds-ku.org/Papers/J32.pdf

- Karlberg, J. (1987). Modeling of human growth. PhD Dissertation, University of Göteborg, Göteborg, Sweden
- Karlberg, J. (1996). Computer simulation of final height after growth-promoting therapy. *Acta Paediatrica Supplement*, 85: 61-63
- Karpinos, B. D. (1961). Current height and weight of youths of military age. Human Biology, 33: 335-354
- Keys, A. F. Fidanza, M. J. Karvonen, N. Kimura, H. L. Taylor (1972). Indices of relative weight and adiposity. *Journal of Chronic Diseases*, **25**: 329-343
- Maleta, K., S. Virtanen, M. Espo, T. Kulmala and P. Ashorn (2003). Timing of growth faltering in rural Malawi. Archives of Diseases in Children, 88: 574-578
- Noble, D. (2002). Modeling the heart from genes to cells to the whole organ. *Science*, 295: 1678-1682 (March 1, 2002), *full text*: http://www.ngds-ku.org/Papers/J25/ModelingTheHeart.pdf
- Ottesen, J. T. and M. Danielsen (2003). Modeling ventricular contraction with heart rate changes. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, 222: 337-346
- Wasim, M. (1994, January 3). Study of relative variation of area of heart-sound triangle. *MSc Thesis*, Department of Physics, University of Karachi, Karachi, Pakistan (unpublished)
- Wasim, M. (2006, September 4). Physics and geometry of heart-sound quadrilateral. *MPhil Thesis*, Department of Physics, University of Karachi, Karachi, Pakistan (unpublished)
- Wasim, M. (2007). Physics and geometry of heart-sound quadrilateral. *Journal of Information and Communication Technology*, 1: 74-82

Tanner, J. M., H. Goldstein and R. H. Whitehouse (1970). Standards for children's height at ages 2-9 years allowing for height of parents. *Archives of Diseases of Children*, 45: 755-762

- Tathiah, N., I. Moodley, V. Mubaiwa, L. Denny and M. Taylor (2013). South Africa's nutritional transition: overweight, obesity, underweight and stunting in female primary school learners in rural KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa. *South African Medical Journal*, 103: 718-723
- Teivaanmäki, T., Y. B. Cheung, E. Kortekangas, K. Maleta and P. Ashom (2015). Transition between stunted and non-stunted status: Both occur from birth to 15 years of age in Malawi children. *Acta Paeditrica*, doi: 10.1111/apa.13060

(Accepted for Publication: September 2015)