# INSECTICIDES RESISTANCE IN THE FIELD SELECTED STRAINS OF BACTROCERA ZONATA (SAUNDERS) (DIPTERA: TEPHRITIDAE)

\*M. K. Nadeem<sup>1</sup>, S. Ahmed<sup>1</sup>, M. Ishfaq<sup>2</sup>, S. Nadeem<sup>3</sup>, A. Hameed<sup>3</sup>, A. Sohail<sup>4</sup> and M. Hasnain<sup>5</sup>

#### **ABSTRACT**

Seven insecticides *i.e.*, trichlorfon, malathion bifenthrin, lambda-cyhalothrin, spinosad, cypermethrin and chlorpyrifos were studied for resistance against three field strains viz.,  $M_1$ ,  $M_2$  and SWL derived from twenty nine field populations of *B. zonata*. Results showed that high resistance ratios were found towards trichlorfon (80.81-, 35.91- and 69.92-fold), while moderate resistance ratios were recorded to malathion and chlorpyrifos in three selected strains (28.15-, 20.96-, 27.22 and 25.52-, 17.79-, 24.81-fold). The selected strains ( $M_1$ ,  $M_2$  and SWL) exhibited low to moderate resistance ratios against pyrethroids (Bifenthrin, lambda-cyhalothrin, cypermethrin) and microbial insecticide (Spinosad). It is concluded that the three field selected strains had developed more resistance against organophospathtes (Trichlorfon, malathion and chlorpyrifos) as compared to pyrethroids (Bifenthrin, lambda-cyhalothrin and cypermethrin) and microbial insecticide (Spinosad).

Key words: Bactrocera zonata, organophosphates, pyrethroids, microbial, resistance.

#### INTRODUCTION

Fruit fly is a serious pest of fruit and vegetables in Pakistan. The losses reported about seven billion Pakistani rupees at the farmer level (Stonehouse *et al.*, 1997). There are about 44 species belong to genus *Bactrocera* (Syed, 1969; Kapoor *et al.*, 1980) among 250 species of family Tephritidae, which are distributed in temperate, tropical and sub-tropical regions of the world (Robinson and Hopper, 1989). About 11 species of fruit flies have been recorded from Pakistan and the most prominent among them are *Bactrocera zonata*, *B. cucrbitae* and *B. dorsalis* (Abdullah and Latif, 2001; Abdullah *et al.*, 2002; Stonehouse *et al.*, 2002). *B. zonata* has been reported as most prevailing species in mango, guava, apricot, peach, plum, melon, pear, jujube, persimmon and cucurbit in Pakistan (Anonymous, 2008).

The cover spray of different insecticides against fruit flies is extensively used in Pakistan and its applications are increasing day by day owing to quick controlling effect against this pest (Stonehouse et al., 1997). The extensive use of insecticides, number of generation and plenty of host plants of this pest leads to develop insecticides resistance towards commonly used insecticides against them all over the world. Hsu and Feng (2000) carried out laboratory tests against Bactrocera dorsalis to determine the toxicity of five insecticides i.e., fenitrothion, malathion, fenthion, naled and trichlorfon. The results showed the significant increase in the level of LD<sub>50</sub> (1.9-4.3 folds) for all the tested insecticides except trichlorfon. Zhang et al. (2007) worked to monitor the resistance ratio in 11 strains of B. dorsalis in South China against three insecticides trichlorfon, abamectin and alphamethrin. Results revealed that moderate resistance ratio was developed in five strains and low resistance ratio in four strains, while two strains were remained susceptible against trichlorfon. The alphamethrin bioassay was recorded as moderate resistant level in three strains and low level of resistance in four strains, while four strains were remained susceptible. Ahmad et al. (2010) conducted a study to evaluate the insecticides resistance level in two strains of B. zonata in Punjab, Pakistan. They found that B. zonata strains from Multan and Faisalabad were observed as low to moderate resistant ratios towards trichlorfon, lambda-cyhalothrin, malathion and bifenthrin. In 2011, Haider et al. studied the resistance level to 10 insecticides viz., Talstar, Confidor, Curacron, deltamethrin, Dipterex, Proclaim, Karate, malathion, Tracer and Steward against field population of B. zonata collected from Multan, Pakistan. They reported that high level of resistance was observed in Dipterex (65.32-fold), while moderate resistance level to Curacron (13.20-fold) against population of B. zonata. Low resistance level was observed to Confidor, Talstar, Karate, malathion and deltamethrin, whereas, Tracer and Steward was remained susceptible against *B. zonata* populations.

The development of different insecticides resistance against *B. zonata* field populations in Pakistan was reported from above narrated discussion. To understand the mechanism of insecticides resistance and its

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Department of Agricultural Entomology, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Pest Warning and Quality Control of Pesticides, Punjab, Pakistan.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Nuclear Institute for Agriculture and Biology (NIAB), Faisalabad, Pakistan.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Department of Computer Science, COMSAT Institute of Information Technology, Defense Road, Off Raiwand Road, Lahore, Pakistan.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Entomological Research Sub-Station Multan.

<sup>\*</sup>Correspondence author Email: kashifbhutta@gmail.com

M.K. NADEEM ET AL.

management against *B. zonata* populations, it is necessary to study the level of resistance to different commonly used insecticides against the field selected strains of *B. zonata*.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

## Susceptible strain

Susceptible strain of B. zonata was used in the study as mentioned by Nadeem et al., (2012).

# Specimen collection of B. zonata

The specimens of full grown larvae of fruit fly, *Bactrocera zonata*, were collected from infested mangoes and guava fruits from fifteen sites of mango orchards of tehsil Multan and fourteen locations of guava orchards areas of tehsil Chichawatni, District Sahiwal (Nadeem *et al.*, 2012 and 2014). After insecticides bioassay among the twenty nine field populations of Multan and Sahiwal areas, the populations which showed resistance to different insecticides with similar trend of LC<sub>50</sub> and over lapping fiducial limits were pooled together and permitted them to interbreed freely in rearing cages. Three categories were made from the twenty nine field populations and selected two from Multan area and one from Sahiwal area and named as M<sub>1</sub>, M<sub>2</sub> and SWL strains, respectively, reared, bioassay of insecticides, their configuration of LC<sub>50</sub> and over lapping fiducial limits (95%) were taken according to standard procedures as described by Nadeem *et al.*, (2012.

# M<sub>1</sub> strain

Populations of the sites of Alam Pur, Bosan, Nawab Pur, Mohammad Pur, Multani wala, Kotla Maharan and Qadir Pur Ran that showed resistance from moderate to high category towards insecticides viz., bifenthrin, lambda-cyhalothrin, spinosad, malathion and trichlorfon with overlapping fiducial limits and almost similar trend in  $LC_{50}$  were selected. These selected populations were pooled together in a separate rearing cage and allowed them to breed freely further and named as  $M_1$  strain and reared as referred above.

## M<sub>2</sub> strain

Out of fifteen populations, the four populations of sites *i.e.*, Sher Shah, Tara Garh, Khokhran and 5-Faiz who had showed resistant to five insecticides (Bifenthrin, lambda-cyhalothrin, spinosad, malathion and trichlorfon) with low to moderate resistance. Then, these similar behavioral populations were pooled together and permitted them to breed into a separate rearing cage and named as M<sub>2</sub> strain and reared as referred above.

#### **SWL** strain

The populations of 105/7R, 111/7R, 113/12L, 6/14L, 168/9L, 31/11L, 174/9L, 15/11L and 184/9L from Sahiwal area which showed moderate to high resistance after assayed to bifenthrin, lambda-cyhalothrin, spinosad, malathion and trichlorfon. These populations were then pooled together in a separate cage in rearing laboratory and named as SWL strain and reared as referred above.

#### Insecticides

The technical grade of five insecticides were selected for present study which included trichlorfon, bifenthrin lambda-cyhalothrin, malathion and spinosad the purity specification and source as described in Nadeem *et al.*, (2012) to estimate the LC<sub>50</sub> of selected resistant strains (M<sub>1</sub>, M<sub>2</sub> and SWL). To check the stability of resistance in selected strains, two more technical grade insecticides organophosphate and pyrethorid *i.e.*, chlorpyrifos (97% Purity, Jiangsu Huangma, CHINA) and cypermethrin (94% Purity, Jiangsu Huangma, CHINA) were also included in the bioassay process. The technical grade of above mentioned insecticides were used in different concentration dissolved in acetone (98%, Riedel -de Haën<sup>®</sup>, Germany).

## Bioassay of insecticides

The procedure of bioassay of insecticides against selected resistant strains of *B. zonata i.e.*, M<sub>1</sub>, M<sub>2</sub> and SWL carried to determine the level of resistance as referred above.

## Statistical analysis

The data was analyzed statistically. LC<sub>50</sub> was determined through probit analysis (Finney, 1971) and resistance ratios (RRs) were fallowed as described by Torres-Vila *et al.* (2002).

#### **RESULTS**

#### Susceptible baseline values:

LC<sub>50</sub> values of trichlorfon, bifenthrin, malathion, methomyl, lambda-cyhalothrin and spinosad in the susceptible strain of were used to determine the resistance level in field selected strains of *B. zonata* (Table 1).

Table 1. Baseline  $LC_{50}$  for the susceptible strain of *B. zonata*.

| Insecticides       | LC <sub>50</sub> (µgmL <sup>-1</sup> ) | Reference  |  |  |
|--------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Trichlorfon        | 2.38                                   | Nadeem <i>et al.</i> (2012)                          |  |  |
| Malathion          | 4.96                                   |  |  |  |
| Bifenthrin         | 2.58                                   |  |  |  |
| Lambda-cyhalothrin | 2.42                                   |  |  |  |
| Spinosad           | 3.69                                   |  |  |  |
| Cypermethrin       | 2.35                                   | Determined by bioassay against susceptible strain in |  |  |
| Chlorpyrifos       | 3.86                                   | laboratory which were obtained from NIA, Tandojam.   |  |  |

#### Insecticides resistance in the selected strains of *B. zonata*

Selected resistant strains (M<sub>1</sub>, M<sub>2</sub> and SWL) of *B. zonata* showed variation in their resistance ratios when treated with seven insecticides *i.e.*, trichlorfon, malathion, bifenthrin, lambda-cyhalothrin, spinosad, cypermethrin and chlorpyrifos (Table 2).

 $M_1$ ,  $M_2$  and SWL strains showed high level of resistance to trichlorfon (80.81-, 35.91- and 69.92-fold), while other tested organophosphates insecticides *i.e.*, malathion and chlorpyrifos were observed as moderate level of resistance (28.15-, 20.96-, 27.22-fold and 25.52-, 17.79- and 24.81-fold).

In case of pyrethorids (Bifenthrin, lambda-cyhalothrin and cypermethrin) assay to  $M_1$  and SWL strains showed no variation in their resistance ratios. Bifenthrin, lambda-cyhalothrin and cypermethrin were proved moderately resistant having resistance ratios as 19.67-, 17.52- and 19.33-fold against  $M_1$  strain, while 17.84-, 13.45- and 18.07-fold in SWL strain, respectively. In  $M_2$  strain, bifenthrin, lambda-cyhalothrin and cypermethrin showed low resistance level (8.73-, 9.01- and 9.79-fold). Effect of spinosad was observed in low resistance category with resistance ratios of 14.59-, 12.12- and 10.57-fold in  $M_1$ ,  $M_2$  and SWL strains correspondingly.

# DISCUSSION

Results obtained from selected field resistant strains ( $M_1$ ,  $M_2$  and SWL strains) of *B. zonata* showed high resistance level toward trichlorfon to 80.81-, 35.91- and 69.92-fold. These findings are consistent with the work of Jin *et al.* (2010), who investigated insecticide resistance to three insecticides *viz.*, trichlorfon,  $\beta$ -cypermethrin and avermectin against 25 strains of *B. dorsalis* and found high resistance level (70.4-fold) to trichlorfon. Results of present study are in the line to the results reported by Hsu *et al.* (2004) who found Malathion as moderate resistance (14.7-fold) against *B. dorsalis* to Malathion. Our results are at par with the findings of Haider *et al.* (2011), who reported that trichlorfon gave high resistance ratio (65.32-fold) against field populations of *B. zonata* tested at Multan, Pakistan.

Results about malathion against  $M_1$ ,  $M_2$  and SWL strains were contradictory to the findings of Haider *et al.* (2011) who reported low level of resistant (5.54-fold) to malathion, whereas, we recorded moderate level of resistance (28.15-, 20.96-, 27.22-fold) towards malathion against *B. zonata*. This difference may be due to high exposure of malathion against *B. zonata* in the specimen collection area.

Virtually there is no comparable reference about chlorpyrifos resistance in the literature about fruit flies in Pakistan. Present findings revealed that  $M_1$ ,  $M_2$  and SWL strains had moderate resistance level (25.52-, 17.79- and 24.81-fold) to chlorpyrifos. These results may be attained due to the behavior of adult fruit flies who visited to the other sprayed crops for nectars as their food and that crop might be sprayed with chlorpyrifos. Dong *et al.* (2002) assayed the toxicity of ten different insecticides against melon fruit fly and reported that malathion, dimethoate,

360 M.K. NADEEM ET AL.

diazinon, chlorpyrifos, fenitrothion, fenthion and deltamethrin gave 100% mortality of fruit flies four hours post treatment and findings about chlorpyrifos are contradictory to our results, which may be due to area difference.

Bifenthrin, lambda-cyhalothrin and cypermethrin showed low to moderate level of resistance with resistance ratio ranged from 8.73- to 19.87-fold in  $M_1 M_2$  and SWL strains. In case of bifenthrin and lambda-cyhalothrin, our results are partially agreed to the work reported by Ahmad *et al.* (2010), who observed that bifenthrin and lambda-cyhalothrin showed low resistance level against *B. zonata* but we found that resistance ratios extended low to moderate in  $M_1$ ,  $M_2$  and SWL strains of *B. zonata*. In case of cypermethrin, there is no direct study available in literature to compare resistance in fruit flies in Pakistan, whereas, results of cypermethrin showed similar trend as that of bifenthrin in  $M_1$ ,  $M_2$  and SWL strains. Results about cypermethrin are conflicting to the results reported by Kalia (1995) who found tested four insecticides *viz.*, endosulfan, cypermethrin, methyl parathion and monocrotophos against *B. dorsalis* and found cypermethrin and methyl parathion as the most effective followed by monocrotophos and endosulfan on the basis of mortality after 24 hours treatments.

Findings about assay of spinosad against  $M_1$ ,  $M_2$  and SWL strains showed moderate level of resistant with 14.59-, 12.12- and 10.57-fold resistance ratios, respectively, are agreed to the work reported by Moustafa *et al.* (2009) who studied the efficacy of malathion, lefenuron and spinosad against *B. oleae* under field condition were found that lufenuron was the most effective followed by spinosad and malathion against *B. oleae*. Ahmad *et al.* (2010) reported that insecticides resistance level in two strains of *B. zonata* from Multan and Faisalabad remained susceptible to spinosad are inconsistent to our results.

Table 2. Effect of insecticides on the adult populations of selected strains of B. zonata.

| Strain | Insecticides       | LC <sub>50</sub> (µgmL <sup>-1</sup> ) | FL (95%)      | Fit of Probit Line |          |      | RR*   |
|--------|--------------------|--|---------------|--------------------|----------|------|-------|
|        |                    | (µgiiiL )                              | (5570)        | Slope ± SE         | $\chi^2$ | Н    |       |
| $M_1$  | Trichlorfon        | 192.34                                 | 162.20-221.26 | $2.78 \pm 0.36$    | 3.39     | 1.13 | 80.81 |
|        | Malathion          | 139.67                                 | 119.84-158.53 | $3.41 \pm 0.39$    | 2.32     | 0.77 | 28.15 |
|        | Bifenthrin         | 51.29                                  | 31.88-72.80   | $2.06 \pm 0.25$    | 3.50     | 1.16 | 19.67 |
|        | Lambda-cyhalothrin | 42.40                                  | 24.94-60.10   | $2.26 \pm 0.28$    | 4.24     | 1.41 | 17.52 |
|        | Spinosad           | 53.84                                  | 42.78-65.65   | $2.06 \pm 0.25$    | 1.90     | 0.63 | 14.59 |
|        | Cypermethrin       | 45.43                                  | 37.40-54.45   | $2.28 \pm 0.25$    | 2.46     | 0.82 | 19.33 |
|        | Chlorpyrifos       | 98.54                                  | 73.49-125.28  | $1.63 \pm 0.23$    | 0.86     | 0.28 | 25.52 |
| $M_2$  | Trichlorfon        | 85.48                                  | 72.34-98.42   | $2.79 \pm 0.37$    | 2.86     | 0.95 | 35.91 |
|        | Malathion          | 104.01                                 | 88.06-119.51  | $286 \pm 0.37$     | 2.98     | 0.99 | 20.96 |
|        | Bifenthrin         | 22.54                                  | 17.60-28.08   | $1.82 \pm 0.22$    | 0.13     | 0.04 | 8.73  |
|        | Lambda-cyhalothrin | 24.81                                  | 18.32-31.85   | $1.57 \pm 0.22$    | 2.40     | 0.80 | 9.01  |
|        | Spinosad           | 44.75                                  | 25.96-64.93   | $2.02 \pm 0.26$    | 3.92     | 1.30 | 12.12 |
|        | Cypermethrin       | 23.10                                  | 17.96-28.59   | $1.93 \pm 0.24$    | 2.30     | 0.76 | 9.79  |
|        | Chlorpyrifos       | 68.68                                  | 58.85-78.67   | $2.89 \pm 0.37$    | 1.88     | 0.62 | 17.79 |
| SWL    | Trichlorfon        | 166.41                                 | 140.89-191.22 | $2.86 \pm 0.37$    | 2.98     | 0.99 | 69.92 |
|        | Malathion          | 135.02                                 | 77.77-185.02  | $2.87 \pm 0.37$    | 5.72     | 1.90 | 27.22 |
|        | Bifenthrin         | 46.05                                  | 34.32-58.23   | $2.06 \pm 0.38$    | 2.58     | 1.19 | 17.84 |
|        | Lambda-cyhalothrin | 32.57                                  | 27.71-37.38   | $2.86 \pm 0.37$    | 2.03     | 0.67 | 13.45 |
|        | Spinosad           | 39.03                                  | 33.14-44.85   | $2.83 \pm 0.37$    | 2.07     | 0.69 | 10.57 |
|        | Cypermethrin       | 42.47                                  | 36.04-49.20   | $2.76 \pm 0.37$    | 2.47     | 0.82 | 18.07 |
|        | Chlorpyrifos       | 95.79                                  | 66.41-124.15  | $2.71 \pm 0.37$    | 5.77     | 1.92 | 24.81 |

 $LC_{50}$  (Lethal concentration); FL (Fiducial limit);  $\pm$  SE (Standard Error); H: Heterogeneity, RR\* (Resistance Ratio)  $LC_{50}$  of field selected strain/ $LC_{50}$  of susceptible strain.

# **CONCLUSIONS**

From the above results, it is concluded that trichlorfon had adopted high resistance in  $M_1$ ,  $M_2$  and SWL strains, while malathion and chlorpyrifos showed moderate resistance. The selected strains  $M_1$ ,  $M_2$  and SWL were found low to moderate resistance when treated to bifenthrin, lambda-cyhalothrin, cypermethrin and spinosad.

#### REFERENCES

- Abdullah, K. and A. Latif (2001). Studies on baits and dust formulations of insecticides against fruit fly (Diptera: Tephritidae) on melon (*Cucumis melo*) under semi arid conditions of Dera Ismail Khan. *Pak. J. Biol. Sci.*, 4(4): 334-335.
- Abdullah, K., M. Akram and A.A. Alizai (2002). Non-traditional control of fruit flies in guava orchards in D. I. Khan. *Pak. J. Agric. Res.*, 17(2): 195-96.
- Ahmad, S.F., S. Ahmed, R.R. Khan and M.K. Nadeem (2010). Evaluation of insecticide resistance in two strains of fruit fly, *Bactrocera zonata* (Saunders) (Tephritidae: Diptera), with fruit dip method. *Pak. Entomol.*, 32(2): 163-167.
- Anonymous (2008). Integrated pest management model for fruit flies. Annual Report PARC, Islamabad, pp. 40-41.
- Dong, Y.J., L.L. Cheng and C.C. Chen (2002). Laboratory bioassay of 10 insecticides against the melon flies (*Bactrocera cucurbitae*). *J. Agric. Res. China*, 51(4): 66-72.
- Finney, D.J. (1971). Probit Analysis.3<sup>rd</sup> Edition, Cambridge Univ. Press London. 333pp.
- Haider, H., S. Ahmed and R. R. Khan (2011). Determination of level of insecticide resistance in fruit fly, *Bactrocera zonata* (Saunders) (Diptera: Tephritidae) by bait bioassay. International *J. Agric. Boil.*, 13: 815-818.
- Hsu, J.C. and H.T. Feng (2000). Insecticide susceptibility of oriental fruit fly, *Bactrocera dorsalis* (Hendel) (Diptera: Tephritidae) in Taiwan. *Chinese. J. Ent.*, 20: 109-118.
- Hsu, J.C., H.T. Feng and W.J. Wu, (2004). Resistance and synergistic effects of insecticides in *Bactrocera dorsalis* (Diptera: Tephritidae) in Taiwan. *J. Econ. Entomol.* 97(5): 1682-1688.
- Jin T., L, Zeng, Y. Lin, Y. Lu, and G. Liang (2010). Insecticides resistance of oriental fruit fly, *Bactrocera dorsalis* (Hendel) (Diptera: Tephritidae), in mainland China. *Pest Manag. Sci.*, 67: 370-376.
- Kalia, V. (1995). Chemical control of oriental fruit fly, Dacus dorsalis (Hendel). Indian J. Entomol., 57(1): 68-70.
- Kapoor, V.C., D.E. Hardy, M.L. Agarwal. and J.S. Grewal (1980). Fruit flies (Diptera: Tephritidae) systematic of the Indian subcontinent. Exprt India Pupl., Jullnudur, India.
- Moustafa, S. A., A. Abd El-Mageed, M. El-Metwally, and N. Ghanim (2009). Efficacy of spinosad, lufenuron and Malathion against olive fruit fly, *Bactrocera oleae* (Gmelin) (Diptera: Tephritidae). *Acad. J. Biol. Sci.*, 2: 171-178.
- Nadeem, M. K., S. Ahmed, S. Nadeem, M. Ishfaq and M. Fiaz (2014). Assessment of insecticides resistance in field population of *Bactrocera zonata* (Saunders) (Diptera: Tephritidae). *J. Anim. Plant Sci.* 24(1): 172-178.
- Nadeem, M.K., S. Ahmed, M. Ashfaq and S.T. Sahi (2012). Evaluation of resistance to different insecticides against field population of *Bactrocera zonata* (Saunders) (Diptera: Tephritidae) in Multan, Pakistan. *Pakiatan J. Zool.*, 44(2): 495-501.
- Robinson, A. and G. Hooper (1989). Fruit flies, their biology, natural enemies and control. World Crop Pests. 3-Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- Stonehouse J.M., R. Mahmood, A. Poswal, J.K. Mumforda, N. Baloch, Z.M. Chaudhary, A.H. Makhdum, G. Mustafa and D. Huggett (2002). Farm field assessments of fruit flies (Diptera: Tephritidae) in Pakistan: distribution, damage and control. *Crop Protect*. 21(1): 661-669.
- Stonehouse, J.M., J.D. Mumford and Mustafa G. (1997). Economic losses to tephritid fruit flies (Diptera: Tephritidae) in Pakistan. *Crop Protect*. 17(2) 159-164.
- Syed, R.A. (1969). Studies on ecology of some important species of fruit flies and their natural enemies in West Pakistan. CIBC, Commonwealth Agriculture, Bereaue, Farnhm Royal, Slough, UK. 12, pp.
- Torres-Vila, L.M., M.C. Rodriguez-Molina, A. Lacasa-Plasencia and P. Bielza-Lino (2002). Insecticide resistance of *Helicoverpa armigera* to endosulfan, carbamates and organophosphates: the Spanish case, Crop Protect. 21: 1003–1013.
- Zhang Y.P., L. Zeng, Y.Y. Lu and G.W. Liang (2007). Monitoring of insecticide resistance of *Bactrocera dorsalis* adults in South China. *J. South China Agric. Univ.*, 28: 21-23.

(Accepted for publication March 2014)