DIFFERENCE IN SALT TOLERANCE OF FOUR VARIETIES OF GREENGRAM

Shakil Ahmed

Department of Mycology and Plant Pathology, University of the Punjab, Quaid-e-Azam Campus, Lahore-54590 Pakistan

ABSTRACT

Four high yielding *Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek genotypes were tested for their salt tolerance at germination, 35, 55 days after emergence of seedlings, and at maturity (81DAE). The genotypes displayed a substantial variability for salinity tolerance at all growth stages. The salt tolerance limits (EC₅₀ values) of genotypes varying from 2.7 dS m⁻¹ to 4.1 dS m⁻¹. Enhanced salt tolerance was expressed by high germination percentage, reduced tip burning, low chlorosis and necrosis of young leaves, greater number and area of green leaves, high chlorophyll contents, low leaf senescence and high seed yield per plant. A genotype 245/7 exhibited tolerance at germination and 35 DAE while genotype NM-54 was the most tolerant at later growth stages.

Key word: Chlorosis, economic yield, necrosis, salt tolerance, *Vigna radiata*.

INTRODUCTION

Salt tolerance changes considerably during the development of plants (Maas and Hoffman, 1977), most plant species being particularly salt sensitive at early vegetative growth stage. Corn (Maas *et al.*, 1983) and sorghum (Maas *et al.*, 1986) were more sensitive to salinity during the vegetative and reproductive stages and less sensitive during grain filling stage where as the seeding stage of rice proved to be the most sensitive to salinity (Akita, 1986).

Salinity also induces numerous physiological and biochemical changes in cells including reduced growth in sunflower (Wahid *et al.*, 1999b). Visible signs of leaf chlorosis in sugarcane (Wahid *et al.*, 1997), and delayed leaf expansion in bean due to salinity stress (Neumann *et al.*, 1988). Sodium chloride treatment produced greater effect on leaf senescence in *Oryza sativa* (Lutts *et al.*, 1996). Similarly, decrease in barley seed yield was observed due to salt toxicity (Isla *et al.*, 1998). The present study was undertaken to detect any phenotypic flexibility of salt tolerance in locally available genotypes of greengram [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek].

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The seeds of two *V. radiata* genotypes (245/7 and 241/11) obtained from the Department of Botany, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, while two advanced *V. radiata* genotypes NM-89 and NM-54 were obtained from Nuclear Institute for Agriculture and Biology (NIAB), Faisalabad. The genotypes were selected for tests and trails based upon contrasting seed characteristics. The experiments were carried out in the laboratory and Botanical Garden, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad. For germination studies, seeds were surface sterilized with 0.1% (w/v) HgCl₂ for 3 minutes, followed by repeated washing with water, and sown in 180 petridishes lined with double layer of Whatman No.2 filter paper. The experimental design was completely randomized with three replications. Salinity (NaCl) levels, i.e., control, 4, 8 and 12 dS m⁻¹ were added to petridishes before placing 10 seeds in each dish. The seeds were germinated at 30°C under a 12h L / D cycle. The germination of seeds was recorded in terms of germination percentage (total number of seeds germinated / total number of seeds sown x 100).

Salt tolerance was determined at 35 and 55 days after emergence (DAE) of seedlings and at maturity (81DAE). The plants were raised in pots lined with polythene bags, containing 10 kg of sun dried, homogeneously sandy loam soil and three plants of equal size were maintained per pot. The pots (three replicates per treatment) were placed in a wire house in complete randomization, where the ambient temperature ranged between 30°C± 3 to 35°C ±4, relative humidity between 25%±7 to 42%±5 and annual rainfall was 68-88 mm. The physico-chemical characteristics of the soil were: Organic matter 1.51%; pH 7.6; ECe 0.4 dS m⁻¹; Cation exchange capacity (CEC) 13.2 meq per 100 g soil; Sodium absorption ratio (SAR) 0.07 meq L⁻¹; Na⁺ 3.99 meq L⁻¹; Cl⁻ 6.91 meq L⁻¹; SO₄ ²⁻ 1.53 meq L⁻¹ and Ca+Mg 16.2 meq L⁻¹. The original ECe of the soil was accounted while developing salt levels. The plants were harvested 16 days after the onset of salinity treatments at each growth stage. The data collected for various parameters were analyzed statistically by ANOVA to find differences among various parameters and their interactions. Chlorophylls were extracted in 100 percent acetone and optical densities were determined at 663 and 645 nm.

484 SHAKIL AHMED

RESULTS

All the genotypes indicated significant (P<0.05) differences with respect to germination (Table 1). Under salinity, 245/7 had the highest germination percentage over the control in lab conditions, and was thus regarded as highly salt tolerant at this stage, followed by NM-54, NM-89 and 241/11. The accessions / genotypes exhibited increased symptoms of salt injury in the form of tip burning and chlorosis of leaves, stem chlorosis, necrosis of leaves and stem with a concomitant rise in salinity (Table 2). The degree of salt damage was greatly variable in the young and old leaves along with stem. Symptomatic determination of salt damage at seedlings stage (35DAE) revealed that NM-54 genotype at highest salinity level indicated a low degree of salt damage in the form of tip burning, chlorosis and necrosis on young leaves but these injuries were severe on older leaves and stem (Table 2). In contrast, 241/11 at 35DAE indicated the highest effect of salt damage on young leaves while it was lowest on the older leaves and stem. However, rest of the genotypes did not indicate any definite pattern of these injuries.

Table 1. Effect of NaCl salinity on seed germination % of greengram.

| Genotype | Expt. Site | | Germi | nation % | |
|----------|------------|---------|-------|--------------------|----|
| | | | | Salt levels (ds/m) |) |
| | | control | 4 | 8 | 12 |
| 245/7 | Lab | 100 | 99 | 83 | 80 |
| | Field | 94 | 88 | 67 | 64 |
| 241/11 | Lab | 95 | 90 | 78 | 68 |
| | Field | 82 | 70 | 65 | 54 |
| NM-89 | Lab | 98 | 95 | 80 | 72 |
| | Field | 93 | 83 | 66 | 58 |
| NM-54 | Lab | 100 | 95 | 82 | 75 |
| | Field | 93 | 85 | 68 | 60 |

Table 2. Symptoms and degree of salt injury in leaves and stem of four genotypes of greengram under 12 dS/m NaCl salinity at seedlings stage.

| Sign of salt | | Plant tissue | | | | | |
|--------------|--------------|--------------|------------|--------|--|--|--|
| injury | Degree | Young leaves | Old leaves | Stem | | | |
| Tip burning | Low | NM-54 | 241/11 | | | | |
| | | 245/7 | 245/7 | | | | |
| | \downarrow | NM-89 | NM-89 | | | | |
| | High | 241/11 | NM-54 | | | | |
| Chlorosis | Low | NM-54 | 241/11 | 245/7 | | | |
| | | NM-89 | 245/7 | 241/11 | | | |
| | \downarrow | 245/7 | NM-89 | NM-89 | | | |
| | High | 241/11 | NM-54 | NM-54 | | | |
| Necrosis | Low | NM-54 | 241/11 | 241/11 | | | |
| | | NM-89 | NM-89 | NM-89 | | | |
| | \downarrow | 241/11 | 245/7 | 245/7 | | | |
| | High | 245/7 | NM-54 | NM-54 | | | |

Qualitative symptoms of salt injury held relevance to the loss of chlorophyll. It was, therefore, imperative to determine chlorophyll content of the material under investigation. The statistical analysis for chlorophyll contents (Chl a, Chl b, total Chl and Chl a:b ratio) indicated highly significant (P<0.01) difference among the genotypes and growth stages with increased salinity levels (Table 3). The total chlorophyll contents were greater in NM-54 at higher level of salinity, while it was lowest in 245/7. In contrast to other chlorophyll parameters, the chl a:b ratio was greatly increased or variable in all the genotypes at all the growth stage with increasing salinity.

Table 3. Changes in some chlorophyll contents of four greengram genotypes at 55 days after emergence of seedling under NaCl salinity.

| Genotype | Salt levels (dS/m) | Chlorophyll contents (mg/g of fresh green tissue) | | | | | | |
|-----------------|--------------------|---|--------|------------|---------------|--|--|--|
| | | Chl. a | Chl. b | Total Chl. | Chl.a:b ratio | | | |
| 245/7 | Control | 0.538 | 0.448 | 0.994 | 1.202 | | | |
| | 4 | 0.413 | 0.387 | 0.794 | 1.079 | | | |
| | 8 | 0.052 | 0.042 | 0.125 | 1.244 | | | |
| | 12 | 0.038 | 0.032 | 0.016 | 0.865 | | | |
| 241/11 | Control | 0.600 | 0.592 | 1.195 | 1.015 | | | |
| | 4 | 0.486 | 0.413 | 0.934 | 1.133 | | | |
| | 8 | 0.072 | 0.034 | 0.165 | 2.151 | | | |
| | 12 | 0.060 | 0.019 | 0.069 | 3.157 | | | |
| NM-89 | Control | 0.631 | 0.581 | 1.208 | 1.086 | | | |
| | 4 | 0.428 | 0.399 | 0.827 | 1.073 | | | |
| | 8 | 0.101 | 0.071 | 0.171 | 1.423 | | | |
| | 12 | 0.083 | 0.037 | 0.096 | 2.243 | | | |
| NM-54 | Control | 0.828 | 0.441 | 1.369 | 1.870 | | | |
| | 4 | 0.759 | 0.419 | 1.005 | 1.812 | | | |
| | 8 | 0.144 | 0.079 | 0.251 | 1.819 | | | |
| | 12 | 0.107 | 0.058 | 0.139 | 1.840 | | | |
| Summary of sign | ificance of variar | nce sources | | | | | | |
| Genotypes(G) | 3 | ** | ** | ** | ** | | | |
| Salinity(S) | 3 | ** | ** | ** | ** | | | |
| G x S | 9 | ** | ** | ** | ** | | | |

Significant at P=0.01

The number of green and senescent leaves and leaf area per plant were markedly reduced with increasing levels of applied salinity in all the genotypes at all growth stages (Table 4). Although under control condition, all the genotypes displayed higher number and area of green leaves and low senescent leaves per plant at 35, 55 and 81 DAE. The number of senescent leaves per plant increased with rising of salinity levels and with the passage of time i.e., at 35, 55 and 81 DAE while the number and area of green leaves decreased with high salt treatments, but increased at 35 and 55 DAE and markedly decreased at 81 DAE. The genotype NM-54, however, indicated differential behaviour for number of green and senescent leaves and leaf area per plant were much better at highest level of salinity (12 dS m⁻¹) while these parameters were lowest in 241/11.

At maturity (81DAE), applied salinity highly significantly reduced number of pod per plant, pod length, number of seed per pod and per plant, and seed yield per plant. With a significant interactions of genotypes and salt levels (Table 5). The effect of sodium chloride on the pattern of dry matter accumulation in seed of these beans were generally similar to the pod formation patterns, although pod formation stage was more sensitive to NaCl concentrations in all genotypes investigated here. The genotypes NM-54, however, indicated high and distinct behaviour for seed yield per plant while there was low in 241/11.

The salt tolerance limits (EC_{50}) based on germination percentage, chlorophyll contents, number and area of green leaves and seed yield per plant, revealed that the genotypes had relatively greater EC_{50} at germination and 35DAE, but it was reduced and variable at the later growth stages. On average, NM-54 with EC_{50} at 12 dS m⁻¹ NaCl salinity was ranked as highly salt tolerant followed by NM-89, 245/7 and 241/11 (Table 6).

486 SHAKIL AHMED

Table 4. Changes in number of green & senescent leaves and leaf area of four greengram genotypes at 35, 55 and 81 DAE under NaCl salinity.

| Genotype Salt | | No.of Green leaves / plant | | No.of Senescent leaves/plant | | | Leaf area / plant (cm ²) | | | |
|---|---------|----------------------------|-------|------------------------------|---|-------|--------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| | levels | 35DAE | 55DAE | 81DAE | 35DAE | 55DAE | 81DAE | 35DAE | 55DAE | 81DA |
| | (dS/m) | | | | | | | | | E |
| 245/7 | Control | 11 | 16 | 9 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 9.93 | 15.92 | 16.31 |
| | 4 | 7 | 9 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 8.07 | 12.60 | 13.13 |
| | 8 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 2.98 | 5.41 | 5.82 |
| | 12 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 8 | 11 | 2.19 | 3.64 | 3.97 |
| 241/11 | Control | 10 | 14 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 9.27 | 15.78 | 16.22 |
| | 4 | 6 | 8 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 8 | 7.82 | 12.16 | 13.02 |
| | 8 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 10 | 2.75 | 5.32 | 5.67 |
| | 12 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 9 | 12 | 2.11 | 3.41 | 3.89 |
| NM-89 | Control | 14 | 21 | 13 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 10.99 | 17.75 | 18.11 |
| | 4 | 10 | 13 | 7 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 9.51 | 14.91 | 15.12 |
| | 8 | 6 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 8 | 3.98 | 6.44 | 6.99 |
| | 12 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 2.99 | 4.82 | 5.35 |
| NM-54 | Control | 17 | 24 | 18 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 12.53 | 18.89 | 19.21 |
| | 4 | 13 | 16 | 9 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 10.65 | 15.14 | 15.59 |
| | 8 | 7 | 10 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 5.11 | 7.13 | 7.91 |
| | 12 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 3.19 | 5.51 | 6.11 |
| Summary of significance of variance sources | | | | | | | | | | |
| Genotypes (G |) 3 | ** | ** | ** | * | * | ** | ** | ** | ** |
| Salinity (S) | 3 | ** | ** | ** | n.s | * | * | ** | ** | ** |
| GxS | 9 | ** | *** | * | * | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** |

DAE: Days After Emergence of Seedling

**Significant at P=0.01 *Significant at P=0.05

n.s Non-significant

Table 5. Changes in some yield characteristics of greengram genotypes at maturity.

Genotype Salt Yield Characteristics

| Genotype | Salt | Yield Characteristics | | | | | | | |
|----------------|---------------|-----------------------|------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|
| | Levels (dS/m) | No. of pod/plant | Av. Pod length (cm) | Av. No. of seed/pod | No. of seed/plant | Seed yield/plant | | | |
| 245/7 | Control | 6 | 5.2 | 7 | 41 | 3.12 | | | |
| | 4 | 5 | 4.1 | 6 | 29 | 2.45 | | | |
| | 8 | 3 | 3.1 | 4 | 11 | 1.25 | | | |
| | 12 | 2 | 2.0 | 2 | 5 | 0.98 | | | |
| 241/11 | Control | 5 | 4.2 | 6 | 34 | 2.61 | | | |
| | 4 | 4 | 3.7 | 5 | 22 | 2.11 | | | |
| | 8 | 2 | 2.9 | 3 | 8 | 0.99 | | | |
| | 12 | 1 | 1.2 | 2 | 2 | 0.38 | | | |
| NM-89 | Control | 8 | 5.8 | 9 | 73 | 4.87 | | | |
| | 4 | 6 | 4.7 | 7 | 45 | 3.34 | | | |
| | 8 | 3 | 3.6 | 4 | 16 | 1.98 | | | |
| | 12 | 2 | 2.7 | 3 | 8 | 1.12 | | | |
| NM-54 | Control | 9 | 6.1 | 10 | 88 | 5.37 | | | |
| | 4 | 7 | 4.9 | 8 | 59 | 4.25 | | | |
| | 8 | 4 | 3.9 | 5 | 23 | 2.81 | | | |
| | 12 | 2 | 3.2 | 4 | 11 | 1.79 | | | |
| Summary of sig | gnificance of | variance sources | | | | | | | |
| Genotypes (G) | 3 | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | | | |
| Salinity (S) | 3 | ** | ** | * | ** | ** | | | |
| G x S | 9 | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | | | |

**Significant at P=0.01

*Significant at P=0.05

| ruere or surr ter | erance minus (20) | raraes) or gree | mgram gemetj | P 6 8 4 6 1 2 4 5 7 11 | I I (W C) SWIIIII | ٠,٠ |
|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------|------------------------|-------------------|------|
| | | | Growth St | ages | | |
| Genotype | Germination | 35DAE | 55DAE | 81DAE | Mean | Rank |
| 245/7 | 3.4 | 3.6 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 2.9 | S |
| 241/11 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 3.1 | 2.2 | 2.7 | hs |
| NM-89 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 4.4 | 4.0 | 3.4 | t |
| NM-54 | 3.1 | 3.3 | 5.3 | 4.7 | 4.1 | ht |

Table 6. Salt tolerance limits (EC₅₀ values) of greengram genotypes at 12 dS/m NaCl salinity.

ht: highly tolerant; t: tolerant; s: sensitive; hs: highly sensitive; DAE: Days After Emergence of Seedlings

DISCUSSION

This study revealed that *V. radiata* L. genotypes, used here, have a high variability in phenotypic flexibility for salt tolerance in term of (a) germination percentage, (b) signs of slat damage, (c) chlorophyll contents, (d) number and area of green leaves, and (e) seed yield per plant.

Among the genotypes, 245/7 at 35 DAE while NM-54 at 55 & 81 DAE were rated as high salt-tolerant species ones in present work. A critical examination of the data revealed that salinity tolerance was based on the qualitative and quantitative characteristics such as high germination percentage, reduced chlorosis, necrosis and tip burning of young leaves, but increased stem chlorosis and necrosis, greater chlorosis and necrosis of older leaves, greater number and area of green leaves, higher chlorophyll contents, less number of senescent leaves, and high seed yield of tolerant genotypes found in all growth stages. Although the plants were not analyzed for ionic contents, a decreased chlorosis, necrosis and tip burning of young emerging leaves, enhanced chlorosis and necrosis of stem, greater number of senescent leaves allude to the exclusion of toxic ions from aerial parts of the tolerant genotype, so avoiding toxicity to the growing tissues. A similar mechanism has been suggested in sunflower genotypes (Ashraf and O'Leary, 1995; Francois, 1996; Wahid *et al.*, 1999b) and in barley and maize crops (Rawson *et al.*, 1988; Shannon, 1997; Shabala *et al.*, 1998).

The physiological potential of genotypes expressed in terms of photosynthetic efficiency of green foliage i.e., chlorophyll contents, revealed that a declining trend of these parameters with increasing levels of applied NaCl salinity in all the genotypes was observed at all the growth stages. The results of chlorophyll analyses (Table 3) showed that all the genotypes were rich in chlorophyll a, but relatively low in chlorophyll b. It was further founded that, NaCl salinity had no appreciable effect on chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b and total chlorophyll contents of green foliage of tolerant genotypes but a significant decrease was observed in case of sensitive genotypes in these chlorophyll parameters (Strogonov *et al.*, 1970). Furthermore, chlorophyll a:b ratio was decreased or greatly variable in all the genotypes at all the growth stages with increasing salinity levels. But, it is noteworthy that in present study the decrease in chlorophyll a:b ratio coincided with a decrease in chlorophyll b concentration, although, only chlorophyll a is involved in fluorescence (Lutts *et al.*, 1996).

The economic yield of crops is adversely affected under salt stress (Sarin *et al.*, 1975). One of the attributes for reduced yield may be a reduction in pod and seed production by the plant. Gill (1979) in barley and Wahid *et al.* (1999b) in sunflower reported similar result of effect of soil salinity on seed yield. Furthermore, genotypes of greengram under present investigation differed widely in yield attributes under normal as well as under saline conditions. This may ultimately lead to reduced seed yield per plant under salinity and consequent lower the economic yield. Thus, it may be concluded that decline in yield under salt stress may be due to reduced number, area and efficiency in term of chlorophyll content of green foliage to fill the developing seeds during reproductive phase of crop.

In conclusion, although greengram elicited sensitive response to salinity, but there existed a significant phenotypic flexibility for salt tolerance, as reflected by EC_{50} values (Table 6). The author proposed that sustained photosynthetic area, greater number and efficiency of green foliage, and high seed yield per plant are useful criteria of selection for salinity tolerance in greengram. The genotype NM-54 can be successfully grown in moderately saline areas. The problem of relatively reduced germination can be overcome by achieving optimum plant density with the use of high seed rate.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Director, NIAB, Faisalabad for supplying greengram material.

488 SHAKIL AHMED

REFERENCES

Akita, S. (1986). *Physiological basis of differential responses to salinity in rice cultivars*. Project Design Workshop 24-28 Nov. 1986. IRRI, Philippines.

- Ashraf, M. (1994). Genetic variation for salinity tolerance in spring wheat. *Hereditas*, 120:99-104.
- Ashraf, M. and J.W. O'Leary (1995). Distribution of cations in leaves of salt tolerant and salt sensitive lines of sunflower under saline conditions. *J. Plant Nutr.*, 18:2379-2388.
- Curtis, P.S. and A. Lauchli (1986). Role of leaf area development and photosynthetic capacity in determining growth of Kenaf under moderate salt stress. *Aust. J. Plant Physiol.*, 13:553-565.
- Francois, L.E. (1996). Salinity effect on four sunflower hybrids. Agron. J., 88:215-219.
- Gill.K.S. (1979). Effect of soil salinity on grain filling and grain development in barley. Bilogial Plant., 21:241-244.
- Isla, R., Aragues, R. and A.Royo (1998). Validity of various physiological traits as screening criteria for salt tolerance in barley. *Field Crop. Res.*, 58:603-674.
- Lutts, S., Kinet, J.M. and J. Bouharmont (1996). NaCl induced senescence in leaves of rice (*Oryza sativa L.*) cultivars differing in salinity resistance. *Ann. Bot.*, 78:389-398.
- Maas, E.V. and G.J. Hoffman (1977). Crop salt tolerance current assessment. *J. Irrig. Drainage Div. Amer. Soc. Civil Engg.*, 103:115-134.
- Maas, E.V., Poss, J.A. and G.J. Hoffman (1986). Salinity sensitivity of sorghum at three growth stages. *Irrig. Sci.*, 7:1-11.
- Mass E.V., Hoffman, G.J., Chaba, G.D., Poss, J.A. and M.C. Shannon (1983). Salt sensitivity of corn at various growth stages. *Irrig. Sci.*, 4: 45-57.
- Neumann, P.M., Volkenburgh, E.V. and R. E. Cleland (1988). Salinity stress inhibits bean beaf expansion by reducing turgor, not wall extensibility. *Plant Physiol.*, 88:233-237.
- Rawson, H.M., Richards, R.A. and R. Munns (1988). An examination of selection criteria for salt tolerance in wheat, barley and triticale genotypes. *Aust. J. Agric. Res.*, 39:759-772.
- Sarin, M.N., Joshi, Y.C. and K.S. Gill (1975). Salt tolerance of wheat and barley varieties. Proc. Symposium. New Developments in the field of Salt Affected Soils. I.S.S.S. Carro. Pp 647-652.
- Seemann, J.R. and C. Critchley (1985). Effects of salt stress on the growth, ion contents, stomatal behaviour and photosynthetic capacity of a salt sensitive species. *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. *Planta*, 164: 66-69.
- Shabala, N.S., Shabala, I., Martynenko, A.I. Babourima, O. and I.A. Newman (1998). Salinity effect on the bioelectric activity, growth, Na+ accumulation and chlorophyll fluorescence of maize leaves: A comparative survey and prospects for screening. *Aust. J. Plant Physiol.*, 25:609-616.
- Shannon, M.C. (1997). Adaptation of plants to salinity. Adv. Agron., 60:76-199.
- Strogonov, B.P., Kabanov, V.V., Shevajakova, N.I., Lapine, L.P., Kamizerk, E.I., Dostonova, B.A. Pov. P. Kh. and L.S. Prykhod's Ko. (1970). Structure and function of plant cells in saline habitats. Nauka Koscow. Johan Wiley and Sons. New York.
- Wahid, A., Rasul, E. and A.R. Rao (1999a). Germineration of seeds and propagules under salt stress. In: *Handbook of Plant and Crop Stress*, 2 (Pessarakli, M. ed.). Marcel Dekker, New York, pp.153-167.
- Wahid, A., Masood, I., Javed, I-ul-H. and E. Rasul (1999b). Phenotypic flexibility as marker of sodium chloride tolerance in sunflower genotypes. *Envir. Exp. Bot.*, 42:85-94.
- Wahid, A., Rao, A.R. and E. Rasul (1997). Identification of salt tolerance traits in sugarcane lines. *Field Crop. Res.*, 54:9-17.

(Accepted for publication March 2005)