

## RECOVERY OF FURFURAL AND ACTIVE CARBON FROM SAWDUST

Zill-i-Huma Nazli\* Wajid Ali and Fiyyaz Ahmad Chughtai

\*Department of Chemistry, Govt. College for Women, Madina Town Faisalabad.

Department of Chemistry, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad

Sawdust, an abundantly available waste material was utilized for the production of furfural and active carbon. The raw material was hydrolyzed with two different concentrations of (14% and 16%) HCl and H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> for different time periods (100 and 140 minutes) with and without added salt catalysts (NaCl, CaCl<sub>2</sub>, ZnCl<sub>2</sub>, AlCl<sub>3</sub>). The furfural was extracted by simple distillation and estimated calorimetrically. The residue left after the extraction of furfural was directly activated at 700°C to get active carbon. The adsorption efficiency of the resulting product was measured by using iodine and methylene blue.

**Keywords:** Saw dust, Furfural, Active carbon, Adsorption efficiency, Hydrolyzing agents, Salt catalysts, Pakistan.

### INTRODUCTION

The level of progress and prosperity is associated with profitable specialization in agriculture and industry. Alarming increase in the industrial pollution and agro-wastes has challenged the experts to solve these problems through proper utilization of agro/industrial wastes to decrease pollution level and to get several economically important substances.

Pakistan is an agricultural country. A variety of agro-wastes are available which can be converted to several important chemicals like furfural and active carbon.

Furfural, belonging to furan family, is a five membered aromatic heterocyclic aldehyde having oxygen as hetero atom serving as ethereal linkage. The most recent and largest individual commercial role of furfural is as a chemical intermediate. It is a starting material of adiponitrile used in the manufacture of nylon. It is used as solvent in the refining of lubricating oils, separation of natural glyceride (fatty oils) into fractions and acetic acid recovery. It is also utilized for enhancing flavours in coffee, bread, beer, tobacco and pharmaceutical products.

Activated carbon is a powdered, granular and pelleted form of amorphous carbon characterized by very large surface area per unit volume. It is capable of adsorbing gases, liquids, or dissolved substances in solutions on the surface of its pores, selectively favouring non polar over polar substances. Compared with other commercial adsorbents, activated carbon has a broad spectrum of adsorptive activity, excellent physical and chemical stability and ease of production from readily available raw materials. Active carbon is useful for improving odour, taste and colour in potable water, beverages, sugar, proteins and different food products. It is used in nuclear reactors to adsorb radioactive gases. A number of successful attempts have been made by Chughtai *et al* (1989, 1990, 1992, 1996, 1999, 2000, 2001) to produce active carbon and furfural from various indigenous wastes. Present work is an extension of the previous efforts and it describes the optimal utilization of saw dust for the production of furfural and active carbon.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Saw dust was collected from different saws in Faisalabad. It was sun dried for a week followed by oven drying at 105°C to a constant weight. The dried material was pulverized to a fine powder and was stored in a desiccator as a stock sample.

The stock samples (25 gram each) were refluxed with 75 ml. and 125ml HCl and H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> for 100 and 140 minutes, respectively with and without added salt catalysts separately. The refluxed material was distilled and the furfural was extracted from the distillate. The extracted furfural was estimated colorimetrically by the method of Angel (1947). The residue obtained after the extraction of furfural was dried in an oven at 105°C and directly activated at 700°C for 1 hour in a muffle furnace. After cooling it to room temperature, it was ground to a fine powder. The active carbon thus prepared was checked for its adsorption efficiency using iodine and methylene blue index by a standard method (Beg and Usmani, 1985).

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### Furfural

It is evident from Table I that furfural yield increased with increasing digestion period from 100 to 140 minutes at both concentrations of HCl in the presence as well as in the absence of salt catalysts. Maximum yield of furfural (15.86%) was obtained with 16% HCl at 140 minutes in the presence of ZnCl<sub>2</sub> as catalyst. While the maximum yield of furfural (17.37%) with H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> was obtained with 14% H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> at 140 minutes digestion time using AlCl<sub>3</sub> as a catalyst.

Furfural yield increased by increasing acid concentration from 14% to 16% in case of HCl at all digestion times. But in case of H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> it was observed that furfural yield increased with increasing acid concentration at 100 minutes except in case of 16% H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> at 140 minutes. The decline in the furfural yield in case of 16% H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> at 140 minutes may be due to the severe decomposition of the product at longer digestion period in the presence of strong acid.

Table I. Comparison between average yield (%) of furfural and active carbon obtained from saw dust.

Catalysts	Concentration (%)	Acid	Digestion time (100 minutes)				Digestion time (140 minutes)			
			Solid Liquid ratio							
			1:3	1:3	1:5	1:5	1:3	1:3	1:5	1:5
			Yield of furfural (%)	Yield of active carbon (%)	Yield of furfural (%)	Yield of active carbon (%)	Yield of furfural (%)	Yield of active carbon (%)	Yield of furfural (%)	Yield of active carbon (%)
InCl <sub>3</sub>	14	H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	12.89	25.55	15.25	23.49	14.47	22.87	16.22	19.79
NaCl			13.65	24.22	15.86	23.33	14.62	21.09	16.53	20.33
AlCl <sub>3</sub>			14.83	22.27	16.84	20.02	15.55	20.15	17.37	18.78
CaCl <sub>2</sub>			13.88	22.88	15.99	20.83	14.67	20.35	16.86	19.13
Nil			11.22	28.5	13.23	26.10	11.78	25.10	13.07	17.05
InCl <sub>3</sub>		HCl	9.18	34.71	11.37	34.56	12.19	32.45	13.23	30.31
NaCl			8.86	37.13	11.05	35.10	11.22	35.15	12.90	33.23
AlCl <sub>3</sub>			8.36	37.95	10.57	35.93	10.83	37.75	12.85	37.58
CaCl <sub>2</sub>			7.93	38.85	10.41	36.63	10.08	36.50	12.45	34.15
Nil			7.32	42.02	9.53	40.50	8.70	39.33	10.00	36.71
InCl <sub>3</sub>	16	H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	15.45	22.48	16.63	19.33	10.16	19.10	12.52	18.92
NaCl			15.68	20.05	16.87	18.12	10.59	18.98	12.71	16.83
AlCl <sub>3</sub>			16.47	18.47	17.22	16.29	11.72	16.81	14.23	15.93
CaCl <sub>2</sub>			16.16	19.84	16.36	17.83	10.88	18.10	13.09	16.11
Nil			12.48	24.33	14.65	22.09	8.57	20.00	10.78	19.85
InCl <sub>3</sub>		HCl	11.97	30.27	14.62	29.10	13.65	26.49	15.86	24.44
NaCl			11.78	31.90	13.93	29.65	12.97	27.16	14.92	25.01
AlCl <sub>3</sub>			10.66	32.71	12.87	30.35	12.65	28.37	14.76	26.21
CaCl <sub>2</sub>			10.46	33.90	12.17	31.73	11.98	29.90	13.82	27.93
Nil			10.26	35.55	10.48	33.49	10.65	32.27	12.21	29.22

The results obtained with different concentrations are in good agreement with the results obtained by Chughtai *et al.* (1985, 1990) who observed that there was an increase in the yield of furfural with an increase in the acid concentration upto certain limit after which yield of furfural decreased.

Lower yields of furfural obtained at lower acid concentrations or H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> may be due to incomplete hydrolysis of pentosans into pentoses, whereas, at higher acid concentration furfural yield seemed to be decreased due to destructive effect of concentration of acids or polymerization or chemical at low pH.

The catalysts showed remarkable positive effect on the percent yield of furfural at all experimental conditions shown in Table I. The maximum yield (15.86) of furfural was obtained with InCl<sub>3</sub> in case of HCl at all digestion times and acid concentrations, whereas minimum yield (7.93) of furfural was obtained with CaCl<sub>2</sub>. However in case of H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> the maximum yield (17.37%) was obtained with AlCl<sub>3</sub> at all digestion times and acid concentrations, whereas minimum yield (10.16%) was obtained with InCl<sub>3</sub>.

The data presented in table I shows that H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> furnished better percentage yields of furfural in the presence as well as in the absence of salt catalysts than HCl at all concentrations and digestion times proving it to be a better hydrolyzing agent as compared to HCl. This may be due to dehydrating

nature of H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. These results are in accordance with the results of Bains *et al.* (1977) who reported H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> to be a better hydrolyzing agent than HCl.

Keeping in view the effect of solid liquid ratio on the overall yield of furfural. It is quite clear from the data given in table I that by increasing solid liquid ratio from 1:3 to 1:5 yield of furfural increased at all experimental conditions. This increase may be attributed to the fact that with lower solid: liquid ratio a direct contact between hydrolysing agent and carbonaceous material decreases thereby decreasing the yield. The results are in harmony to the findings of Itomo (1970) who also noted an increase in furfural yield with an increase in solid: liquid ratio.

Comparing the effect of different acids (H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and HCl) at various concentration (14% and 16%), salt catalysts (NaCl, AlCl<sub>3</sub>, CaCl<sub>2</sub>, ZnCl<sub>2</sub>), digestion times (100 and 140 minutes) and various solid liquid ratios (1:3 and 1:5) it was observed that maximum furfural yield (17.37%) was obtained with 14% H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> at 140 minutes with AlCl<sub>3</sub> in the case of 1:5 ratio while the minimum furfural yield (7.32) was obtained with 14% HCl without any catalyst in 1:3 ratio. These results showed that H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> is a promising hydrolyzing agent AlCl<sub>3</sub> is better catalyst and 1:5 ratio is better than 1:3 ratio for the production of furfural. It was further noted that furfural yield increased with the increase in digestion time from 100 to 140 minutes, with all concentration of HCl whereas in case

of  $H_2SO_4$  the furfural yield increased when digestion time was increased from 140 minutes with 14%  $H_2SO_4$  while the yield of furfural slightly decreased with increasing digestion time with 16%  $H_2SO_4$  in the presence of salt catalysts.

#### Active Carbon

The residue left after the extraction of furfural from each experiment was activated at  $700^\circ C$  in the absence of air in a muffle furnace to get active carbon.

The average yield of active carbon decreased with increasing digestion time for both the acids with all catalysts (Table I). The maximum yield (42.02%) was obtained when the sample was hydrolyzed with 14% HCl for 100 minutes without any catalyst at 1:3 solid liquid ratio.

It is evident that the yield of active carbon decreased with increasing acid concentration with  $H_2SO_4$ , while the yield did not show any prominent difference with HCl.

The data in table I shows that in case of  $H_2SO_4$   $ZnCl_2$  gave higher yields of active carbon while  $AlCl_3$  furnished the poor results. As far as HCl is concerned, maximum yield of activated carbon, i.e. (42.02%) was with 14% HCl without any catalyst. Moreover, all the four catalysts showed

prominent positive effect on the yield than the yield obtained without any catalyst (Table I).

Results obtained regarding the effect of solid liquid ratio on the percentage yield of active carbon showed that higher percentage of active carbon were obtained at 1:3 solid liquid ratio for both the acids with catalysts

It is quite clear from the results that increase in acid concentration and digestion period resulted decrease in the percentage yield of the active carbon. Moreover, the catalysts improved the yield to a remarkable extent. Comparing the two acids it was noted that higher yields of active carbon were obtained with HCl as compared to  $H_2SO_4$ . Higher yield in case of HCl indicated that the raw material is less prone to decomposition or degradation with HCl as compared to  $H_2SO_4$  in 1:3 ratio.

The adsorption capacity of the prepared active carbon was evaluated with iodine and methylene blue (Beg and Usmani, 1985). The results obtained are recorded in Table 2 for methylene blue and iodine. Commercial sample of active carbon (E. Merck) absorbed 663mg  $I_2/g$  and 120mg methylene blue/g of active carbon.

Table 2: Comparison between average adsorption capacities expressed as mg of methylene blue and mg of iodine adsorbed by 1g of active carbon.

Catalyst	Acid conc. (%)	Acid	Digestion time (100 minutes)				Digestion time (140 minutes)			
			1:3		1:5		1:3		1:5	
			Solid Liquid ratio		Solid Liquid ratio		Solid Liquid ratio		Solid Liquid ratio	
			Methylene blue	Iodine	Methylene blue	Iodine	Methylene blue	Iodine	Methylene blue	Iodine
$ZnCl_2$	14	$H_2SO_4$	46	256.15	52	287.43	53	291.83	57	316.33
NaCl			48	266.20	53	291.85	54	296.21	58	321.55
$AlCl_3$			50	277.35	59	326.98	56	310.10	61	336.47
$CaCl_2$			49	271.72	55	302.88	55	305.17	59	327.97
Nil			51	181.87	39	216.48	35	191.41	41	225.26
$InCl_3$		HCl	32	177.88	39	214.48	38	208.95	42	233.05
NaCl			30	165.65	36	198.60	36	199.67	43	227.53
$AlCl_3$			31	164.95	35	192.95	35	190.35	39	214.58
$CaCl_2$			28	155.71	34	188.75	33	182.82	38	210.59
Nil			24	132.76	29	161.37	26	144.65	28	155.82
$InCl_3$	16	$H_2SO_4$	58	318.45	63	349.75	60	332.85	68	373.41
NaCl			60	331.55	64	354.61	61	337.21	69	382.35
$AlCl_3$			64	353.23	69	380.21	64	354.66	75	415.95
$CaCl_2$			61	336.05	65	359.22	62	341.45	70	385.95
Nil			42	233.35	49	271.73	47	259.17	53	293.64
$InCl_3$		HCl	40	222.37	48	266.20	46	253.77	51	281.74
NaCl			38	208.97	44	244.11	43	237.88	49	273.13
$AlCl_3$			37	205.42	43	237.58	43	238.51	47	260.60
$CaCl_2$			37	204.50	42	233.00	41	226.63	46	255.05
Nil			30	166.74	34	189.10	30	165.15	36	196.89

(1g of commercial active carbon adsorbed 120mg of methylene blue and 663 mg of  $I_2$ )

Data pertaining to the effect of acid concentration on the adsorption capacity of active carbon revealed that adsorption capacity (for both methylene blue and iodine) increased with increasing acid concentration from 14% to 16% in the presence as well as in the absence of salt catalysts for both the acids. The increase in the adsorption efficiency of the product may be due to the evolution of oxidising gases at higher acid concentration, leaving the mass more porous. This is in accordance with the findings of Chughtai *et al.* (1989, 1990, 1993) who also reported that the adsorption capacity of active carbon increased with increase in acid concentration. The maximum adsorption capacity of active carbon for methylene blue (75mg/g) and iodine (415.95mg/g) were recorded with 16% H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> in 1:5 ratio.

The results showed that the adsorption capacity of active carbon increased with increasing digestion time from 100 to 140 minutes. Maximum adsorption value for methylene blue (75mg/g) and iodine (415.95mg/g) was obtained at 140 minutes digestion period.

The results further indicated that the catalysts helped a lot in improving the adsorption capacity of active carbon. Without using the catalysts, the maximum adsorption capacity was found to be 53 mg/g of methylene blue and 293.64 mg/g of iodine with 16% H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> whereas the active carbon prepared with the same acid and concentration adsorbed 75 mg/g of methylene blue and 415.95 mg/g of iodine in the presence of AlCl<sub>3</sub> as catalyst under identical conditions of concentration and digestion times. Moreover, AlCl<sub>3</sub> was found to be the best catalyst when used with both H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and HCl.

The results also revealed that adsorption efficiency of active carbon is greater at 1:5 solid to liquid ratio than at 1:3 for almost all the catalysts and acids. This may be due to the reason that at 1:3 ratio less amount of hydrolysing agent was unable to cause the complete activation of the product.

It is obvious from the results that the maximum adsorption capacity of active carbon for methylene blue and iodine (75mg/g and 415.95 mg/g, respectively) was with 16% H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> with AlCl<sub>3</sub> as a catalyst at 140 minutes digestion time with 1:5 solid liquid ratio. Samples obtained with H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> at 1:5 ratio gave higher adsorption of iodine and methylene blue at all concentrations and digestion times proving H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> to be a better activating agent as compared to HCl and 1:5 ratio better than 1:3 ratio. Among the catalysts, the samples treated with AlCl<sub>3</sub> gave better results than the other catalysts proving AlCl<sub>3</sub> to be the best activating catalyst.

## LITERATURE CITED

- Angel, F.G. 1947. Some analytical methods applicable to furan and its derivatives. *Analyst.* 72: 178-84.
- Bains, S.S., S.C.Puri and J.S. Chawala. 1977. Thermal hydrolytic studies on Lignocellulosic wastes. *IPPT A*, 14(3):201-4(*Chem.Abst.*, 92.(12)95808f 1980).
- Seg, A. M. and T.H. Usmani. 1985. Low ash activated carbon from rice husk. *Pak. J. Sci. Ind. Res.* 28(4):282-286.
- Chughtai, F. A. and M. H. Shah. 1993. Acid hydrolysis of Kallar grass for the production of furfural *Proc. Fifth National Chem. Conf. Karachi.*
- Chughtai, F.A., M. Nazir, A.S. Hashmi and A. Hussain. 1985. Utilization of agricultural wastes and vegetative materials for the production of furfural. I. I. Production of furfural from dhabb (*Typha angustata*). *Sarhad J. Agric.*, 1(2):417-422.
- Chughtai, F. A., Z. Abbas, A. Ilahi and G. Mohy-ud-din. 1989. Recovery of furfural from Pahari Kikar. *Sarhad J. of Agric.* 5(1):37-39.
- Chughtai, F. A., S. Munawar, Fakhar-un-Nisa and S. Nawaz. 1990. Acid hydrolysis of poplar for production of furfural. *Sarhad J. of Agric.* 6(1):21-23.
- Chughtai, F. A., Z. H. Nazli and S. Naheed. 1992. Utilization of sawdust to produce furfural and active carbon. *Fourth National Chemistry Conference, Karachi, December 21-24.*
- Chughtai, F. A., M. Iqbal, M. Moazzam and Z. H. Nazli. 1993. Preparation and evaluation of activated carbon from poplar. *JAPS*; 12(1):27-30.
- Chughtai, F. A., Z. Iqbal, Z. H. Nazli and J. A. Awan. 1996. Activated carbon from Sawdust. *JAPS*; 6(3-4): 119-120.
- Chughtai, F. A.; S. Younis, Z.H. Nazli and M. Salam. 1999. use of Khabble grass (*cynodon dactylon*) for the production of furfural and active carbon. *JAPS*; 9(3-4): 123-125.
- Chughtai, F. A., Z.H. Nazli and W. A. Shah. 2000. Conversion of some Agro industrial waste into useful industrial products. *Pak. J. Agri. Sci.* 37(3-4): 109-112.
- Chughtai, F.A., W.A. Shah, Z.H. Nazli and S. Naheed. 2001. Processing of Agro-industrial wastes into furfural and active carbon. *JAPS.* 11(1): 30-32.
- Utomo, T. 1970. Manufacture of furfural from Indonesian industrial wastes. *Res. J. Dir. Gen. Higher Educ. Indones.* 3(1):20-30. (*Chem. Abst.*, 80(6):28720k; 1974).