

## RELATIVE TOXICITY OF DIFFERENT INSECTICIDES AGAINST WHITEFLY, *Bemisia tabaci* (Genn.) AND BLACK THRIPS, *Caliothrips indicus* ON NM-92 MUNG BEAN, *Vigna radiata* (L.)

Muhammad Afzal, Tanveer Ahmad and M. Hamid Bashir  
Department of Agri. Entomology, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad.

The efficacy of four different insecticides viz., Imicon (imidacloprid) 25 WP @ 200 gm/acre. Pride (buprofezin) 25 WP @ 600 gm/acre. Digital (fenpropathrin) 20 EC @ 250 ml/acre and Taophos (quinalphos) 25 EC @ 250 ml/acre, was tested against whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci* (Genn.) and Black thrips, *Caliothrips indicus* on Mung bean, *Vigna radiata* (L.). All the insecticides; tested, were found to be effective against whitefly and some were effective against black thrips. On numerical basis, however, a spray of Imicon 25 WP @ 200 gm/acre was found to be most effective both for whitefly and black thrips.

### INTRODUCTION

Mung bean, *Vigna radiata* (L.) commonly known as green gram, is an important pulse crop of many Asian countries including Pakistan. It is a rich source of vegetable protein. Seeds of mung bean contain 22-24% protein (Nazir, 1994). Losses to mung bean crop due to insect pests are very high and have become a limiting factor in decreasing the production of this crop. The magnitude of insect pest losses to bean crop have been estimated by workers like Panchabhavi and Khadam (1990), Rao *et al.* (1990), Bashir *et al.* (1991) and Sharma *et al.* (1991). Sucking pests are the major cause of yield loss in mung bean. Direct damage by large population of whiteflies, *Bemisia tabaci* (Genn.) is common in India (Sehgal and Ujagir, 1987). In order to arrest the bean damage due to insects and mites, the insecticides are mainly relied upon to keep the pest population below the economic threshold level (Chhabra and Kooner, 1985). The present study was planned to investigate the relative toxicity of different insecticides in order to find an effective and economic control of these insect pests.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The relative toxicity of four insecticides was studied against whitefly and black thrips in the field. The trials were carried out at research area of the Department of Agronomy, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, following the Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with five treatments including an untreated check. Each treatment was replicated three times.

- T1 = Imicon 25 WP (imidacloprid) @ 200 gm/acre
- T2 = Pride 25 WP (buprofezin) @ 600 gm/acre
- T3 = Taophos 25 EC (quinalphos) @ 250 ml/acre
- T4 = Digital 20 EC (fenpropathrin) @ 250 ml/acre
- T5 = Untreated check

Mung bean variety NM-92, was sown and sprayed over twice with different insecticides after a gap of 15 days between them. The data on the population of whitefly and black thrips from each plot were recorded early in the

morning, 24 hours before spray and then 24, 48, 72 hours and one week after each insecticides treatment from 15 plants/plot selected at random; taking alternatively upper leaf from first plant, middle from second and lower from third plant. The population data were, however, finally presented in the form of mean values separately for each repeat of the various test treatments. The significance of the difference between treatment means for various parameters was found out through the DMR test after Steel and Torrie, (1980).

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In overall perusal of the mean values for the population of whitefly and black thrips from one treatment to another revealed a highly significant variation in them after each spray as well as in that of their overall estimate. The mean values for the overall population of whitefly and black thrips in T<sub>1</sub> to T<sub>4</sub> where different insecticides were applied to the crop, were found to be significantly lower to those in T<sub>5</sub> kept as a check. Similarly the mean values for the individual mean population of the target pest-insects after 2 sprays (Table-I) in T<sub>1</sub> to T<sub>4</sub> where the different insecticides were applied to the crop were also found to be very significantly lower to those in T<sub>5</sub> kept as a check. The lower mean values in the treatments from T<sub>1</sub> to T<sub>4</sub> compared with those in T<sub>5</sub>, the check, would in all cases reflect on their killing potential against whitefly and black thrips. Imicon after first application with the mean population of 2.58 whitefly/leaf proved to be the best followed by Digital with 3.25 whitefly/leaf. After second spray, Imicon gave the same results with 2.58 whitefly/leaf, but at this stage Pride with 4.08 whitefly/leaf proved quite effective. The overall average of two sprays revealed Imicon with 2.58 whitefly/leaf as the best treatment followed by Digital with 3.99 whitefly/leaf. On numerical basis, Taophos with 5.66 whitefly/leaf found to be least effective (Table 1). A scanning of data (Table-2) revealed that Imicon after first application with mean population of 2.33 black thrips/ leaf proved to be the best followed by Taophos with 2.67 black thrips/leaf. After second spray, Imicon showed the same results with 3.50 black thrips/leaf followed by Digital with 6.25 black

thrips/leaf. The overall of two sprays revealed Imicon with 2.75 black thrips/leaf as the best treatment followed by Digital with 4.79 black thrips/leaf. On overall basis lower mean values for the whitefly and black thrips in  $T_1$  (which involve a spray of Imicon 25 WP @ 200 gm/acre) would suggest this insecticide to be more toxic to the pests compared with the others against it. Similar results were obtained by Forer (1990), Getting and Anderson (1991),

Marcano and Gonzalez (1993), Cubillo *et al.* (1994) who reported that imidacloprid was most effective against whitefly. Whereas Afzal *et al.* (2000) reported that Nuvacron 40 WSC@ 400 ml/acre is more effective against whitefly followed by Pride 25 WP@ 600 g/acre compared with other insecticides in trial.

Table 1. A multiple comparison of the mean values for the population of whitefly (leaf<sup>1</sup>) on mung variety NM-92 after being sprayed over with different insecticides at University of Agriculture, Faisalabad.

Treatment	Insecticides	Dose	Mean population of whitefly		
			Overall	Individual spray	
				1st	2nd
$T_0$	Control	-	10.54 a	9.08 a	12.00 a
$T_1$	Taonhos 25EC	250 ml/acre	5.66 b	4.83 b	6.50 b
$T_2$	Pride 25WP	600 g/acre	4.50 c	4.97 b	4.75 c
$T_3$	Digital 20EC	250 ml/acre	3.99 c	3.25 c	4.75 c
$T_4$	Imicon 25WP	200 g/acre	2.58 d	2.58 c	2.58 c

Table 2. A multiple comparison of the mean values for the population of black thrips (leaf<sup>1</sup>) on mung variety NM-92 after being sprayed over with different insecticides at University of Agriculture, Faisalabad.

Treatment	Insecticides	Dose	Mean population of black thrips		
			Overall	Individual spray	
				1st	2nd
$T_0$	Control	-	9.54 a	6.92 a	12.17 a
$T_1$	Pride 25WP	600 g/acre	7.21 b	4.33 b	10.08 b
$T_2$	Taophos 25EC	250 ml/acre	5.45 c	2.67 c	8.25 c
$T_3$	Digital 20EC	250 ml/acre	4.79 d	3.33 be	6.25 d
$T_4$	Imicon 25WP	200 g/acre	2.75 e	2.33 c	3.50 e

## REFERENCES

- Afzal, M., M. T. Sharif, A.B.M. Raza, T. Ahmad and M.H. Bashir, 2000. Comparative efficacy of some traditional and nontraditional insecticides against whitefly (*Bemisia tabaci* Genn.) on Mung-85 (*Vigna radiata* L.). Pak. Entomol., 22(1-2):47-49.
- Bashir, M., S.H. Mughal and B.A. Malik, 1991. Assessment of yield in lentil due to insect pests and virus in urd bean, *Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Pak. J. Bot., 23(1): 140-142.
- Chhabra, K.S. and B.S. Kooner, 1985. Losses in summer mung bean due to insect pests in Punjab. Ind. J. Entomol., 47(1): 103-105.
- Cubillo, D., R. Quijije, W. Larriva, A. Chacon and L. Hilje, 1994. Evaluation of repellency of various substances to whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci*. Manejo Integrado de Plagas, (33): 26-28.
- Forer, G., 1990. Whitefly management in Israel to prevent honey dew contamination. Intl. Cotton Adv. Cornrn., 33-37.
- Marcano, R. and E. Gonzalez, 1993. Evaluation of insecticides for the control of whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci* (Genn.). Boletín de Entomologia Venezolana, 8(2): 123-132.
- Nazir, M.S., 1994. Crop Production. NBF, Islamabad. pp. 511.
- Oetting, R.D. and A.L. Anderson, 1991. Imidacloprid for control of whiteflies on green house grown poinsettias. Brighton Crop Pro. Conf., 1: 367-372.
- Panchabhavi, K.S. and M.I. Khadam, 1990. Avoidable loss in yield due to insect pests at different stages of growth in Pigeonpea. Ind. J. Agric. Sci., 60(11): 742-743.
- Rao, N.V., A.S. Reddy and D.D. R. Reddy, 1990. Relative toxicity of some insecticides to cotton whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci*. Ind. J. Pl. Protec., 18(1): 97-100.
- Sehgal, V.K. and R. Ujagir, 1987. Mung bean: Proc. 2<sup>nd</sup> Internat. Symp., Bangkok, Thailand, p. 16-20.
- Sharma, V.K., S.N. Pandey and R. Singh, 1991. Avoidable losses in pigeon pea, (*Cajanus cajan*) variety, UPAS-120 due to insect pests. Ind. J. Entomol., 53(3): 511-512.
- Steel, R.G.D., and J.H. Torrie, 1980. Principles and Procedures of Statistics. McGraw Hill Book Co., Inc., New York, pp. 633.