RESPONSE OF POTATO (SOLANUM TUBEROSUM) TO SEED AND FOLIAR APPLICATION OF IRON AND MANGANESE

Nisar Ahmad, M.A. Avais, M. Saqib, K.M. Bhatti & S.A. Anwar Agricultural Biochemistry, Ayub Agricultural Research Institute, Faisalabad

The present study was conducted to see the response of potato plants to the application of iron and manganese. Seven treatments were used in this study. In three treatments the potato seeds were dipped in 2% solutions of FeSO₄ and MnSO₄ and FeSO₄ + MnSO₄ respectively for one hour before sowing and in the other three. 500 ppm each of above solutions were sprayed on the leaves twice during the growth period keeping the first treatment as control, The parameters studied were tuber yield mineral matter and carbohydrate accumulation and moisture contents. An inconsistent and variable response to seed dipping as well as foliar application of Fe and Mn was observed.., Key words: foliar spray. iron, manganese. potato, seed dipping

INTRODUCIION

Potato (Solanum tuberosum) is a rich source of carbohydrates and is one of the most commonly consumed vegetables in the whole world as well in Pakistan. Many researcher have reported high requirements of potato for macronutrients such as N.P and K (Muhammad et al., 1989 and Salah-ud-Din et al., 1997) Micronutrients are as essential for plant growth and development as the macronutrients. These are, however, required in very small quantities. Previously, it was considered that most of the soils can supply these minute amounts easily. It is now feared that high yielding crop varieties are mining our soils of all the nutrients especially the micronutrients because their addition as fertilizer element is negligible. Iron and manganese are involved in metabolic processes and these are considered activators of important enzymes (Mengal and Kirkby, 1987). Iron is also a structural component of haemoglobin and cytochrome (Tisdale et al., 1985). Potato has been categorized as less sensitive to Fe deficiency (Rashid and Din. 1992), while moderately sensitive to Mn toxicity (Lucas and Knezek, 1972). The data available in Pakistan regarding the response of potato to micronutrients are very meager. This study was planned to study the response of potato to seed and foliar application of iron and manganese.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present experiment was conducted in the field. The plot size was 1.410x 6m. The preexperiment soil analysis was Eee = 2.1 dSm-'; pH = 8.2; N = 0.05 %: P = 5.4 ppm; K = 175 ppm; Fe = 20 ppm and Mn = 29' ppm. Recommended doses of NPK fertilizers were applied to all the plots. The treatments used were: T_2 -control (No Fe or Mn was applied); T_2 - 2% FeSO₄ solution used to dip; $T\sim$ - 2% MnSO₄ solution used to dip we seeds for one hour: T_4 - 2% FeSO₄ + 2% MnSO₄ solution used to dip seeds for one hour: T_5 - 500 ppm FeSO₄ solution

sprayed on plants twice; T₆ 500 ppm MnSO₄ solution sprayed on plants twice; T₇-500 ppm FeSO₄ + 500 ppm MnSO₄ solution sprayed on plants twice. In case of 2nd, 3rd and 4th treatments, solutions were applied before sowing, while with last three treatments, solutions were sprayed on leaves twice, first after thirty days of sowing and then after sixty days of sowing. The crop was harvested at maturity. The tuber yield data were recorded and mineral matter as well as moisture contents were detenuined. Tuber samples were collected and analyzed for carbohydrate content colorimetrically at 490 nm using the method of Jhonson et al. (1966).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The tuber yield and other quality parameters gave an inconsistent response to the application of both iron and manganese. The response also differed non - significantly when two methods of application were compared. The maximum potato yield (31.41 t ha") was observed with the combined application of 2% FeSO₁ and 2%MnSO₁ solution for seed dipping before sowing (Table 1).It differed non- significantly in respect of yield response from all other treatments except the lowest (26.11 t ha-I), one where potato seeds were dipped in 2 % FeSO₄ solution only. There was also no statistical difference due to the use of two methods.

Similar to the fresh tuber yield, the maximumpercentage of mineral matter was obtained when seeds 'were dipped in 2 % FeSO₄ + 2 % MnSO₄ solution (Table 2). However, it differed significantly only from the results obtained with an application of 2% FeSO₄ solution to seeds and the foliar application of 500 ppm FeSO₄ + 500ppm MnSO₄. It may be noted that seed application and foliar application techniques yielded results that were contrary to each other when Fe and Mn were applied together, while in case of all the other treatments, these techniques led to similar results.

Potato tubers are rich source of carbohydrates. In the present study, both the seed dipping as well as foliar application of Fe and Mn failed to improve the carbohydrate contents (Table 3). The seed application of 2% FeSO₄ solution alone and even when used in combination with 2% MnSO₄ solution, lowered the carbohydrate concentration significantly in tubers, compared to the control. All the other treatments were statistically at par with the control. The moisture percentage increased in general with the application of Fe and Mn applied by either method. However, the effect was only significant when 2% FeSO₄ solution was applied to the seeds either alone or in combination with 2% MnSO₄ solution (Table 4).

Both iron and manganese play an essential role in plant metabolic reactions. Iron is a transitional element capable of accepting and donating electrons, hence it is involved in oxidation - reduction reactions in plants and providing potential for many of the enzymatic transformations in the plants (Tisdale et at, 1985). Manganese is also involved in enzymeactivation. Mengel and Kirkby (1987) has described its role in CO₂ assimilation and N metabolism. The improvement in certain parameters in this study may be due to their improved availability resulting from additional application of Fe and Mu, whereas decrease in certain

other parameters may be attributed to their toxicity because their optimum and toxic limits are very close. However. the non-significant effect on most of the parameters shows the poor response of potato to additional Fe and Mn supply by either seed, dipping or foliar spray. Similar inconsistent results of micronutrient application including Fe and Mn have been reported earlier in Pakistan (Anonymous, 1989-90). Only a few studies have shown positive effects of micronutrients on certain crops on certain types. of soil (Khattak and Sajida, 1994). Parsad and Gupta (1989) studied the response of soil and foliar application of MnS0. to radish and reported a non-significant yield increase with foliar application and a significant yield increase due to soil application. Improved growth of radish due to soil application of MnS04 has also been reported by Heeman and Campbell (1980). It might, therefore, be concluded that response of vegetables to the micronutrients is a site and crop specific phenomenon in contrast to the universal response to NPK. Further, the availability of most of the micronutrients is changed with change in oxidation - reduction stale of soil. Therefore, recommendations for use of micronutrient fertilizers require a consideration of soil moisture regime as well as specific nutritional requirements of a crop in addition to simple soil analysis.

Table 1. Effect of Fe and Mn on tuber yield of potato

| Treatments | T1 | 12 | 1'3 | T4 | T5 | Т6 | 17 |
|------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|
| Yield | 29.06 | 26.11 | 27.15 | 31.41 | 28.49 | 28.10 | 2822 |
| (t ha') | ab | b | ab | a | ab | ab | ab |

Table 2. Effect of Fe and Mn on mineral matter percentage of potato

| Treatments | 'T1 | 12 | 1'3 | T4 | T5 | Т6 | 17 |
|------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Mineral | 1.29 | 1.25 | 1.37 | 1.41 | 1.39 | 1.36 | 1.23 |
| matter | abc | be | abe | a | ab | abc | e |

Table 3. Effect of Fe and Mn on carbohydrate content of potato

| Treatments | Tl | 12 | 1'3 | T4 | T5 | Т6 | 17 |
|------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Carbohyd- | 15.93 | 14.13 | 15.05 | 13.63 | 14.66 | 15.31 | 15.23 |
| rates (%) | a | bc | ab | c | abc | ab | ab |

Table 4. Effect of Fe and Mn on moisture content of potato

| Treatments | TlT | 12 | 1'3 | T4 | Т5 | Т6 | 17 |
|--------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Moisture (%) | 80.2 | 81.6 | 80.8 | 82.4 | 81.2 | 80.9 | 80.9 |
| | c | ab | be | a | abc | abe | abc |

REFERENCES

Anoymous. I()89-90. Annual Report. Soil Chemistry Section. Ayub Agricultural Research Institute. Faisalabad: 190-19 L Heeman. D.P and Campbell. 1980. Growth yield components and seed composition of two soybean cultivars as affected by manganese supply. Aust. J. Agri. Res. 11:471-476. Jhonson. R.R., T Balwani. L.J. Jhonson. K.E. McClure and B.A.Dehority. 1966. Corn plant maturity. n. Effect on in vitro cellulose digestibility and soluble carbohydrate content... 1, Anim. Sci. 25:(,17.

Khattak. 1, K. and S. Perveen. 1994. Crop responses to micronutrients. Proc. 4th National Congo Soil Sci.. Islamabad: 101-106.

Lucas. R,E. and B,O. Knezek. 1972. Climatic and soil conditions promoting micronutrient deficiencies in plants. In Micronutricnts in Agriculture. Mortvedt et al. (Eds.), pp. 265-288. Soil Science Society of America. Madison. WI (USA).

Mengel, K, and E.A. Kirkby, 1987. Principles of Plant Nutrition. 4th ed. Worhlaufen - Bern. Switzerland.

Muhammad, S.. I, Malik, G. Jehangiri, \'1 Rashir and R. Shah. 1989. Effect of various levels of NPK on yield of potato. Sarhad J. Agri. Res. 5(6): 627-[,,~() Parsad. K. and R.K. Gupta. 1989. Response Of Mn 10 radish in acid soils of Nagaland. Ind. J. Agri. Res =',(,) I.n-148.

Rashid. A. and J. Din. 1992. Differential susceptibility of chickpea cultivars to iron chlorosis grown on calcareous soils of Pakistan. 1. Ind. Soc. Soil Sci -HUXX-W2. Salah-ud-Din. J.D. Baloch. M. S. Jilani and A. Ghafoor. 1997. Effect of NPK fertilizers on the gn hand yield of potato (Solanum tuberosum L.) cv. Cnrdrua! under the agro-climatic conditions of DJ Khan. Pak .I. Soil Sci. 1\(\)(1-4): 105-107.

Tisdale, S.L., Wl., Nelson and ID. Bcaton. $I()X\sim$ Soil Fertility and Fertilizers. 4th ed. Macmillan. New York