STUDIES ON THE VARIETAL RESISTANCE OF WHEINT AGAINST WHEAT APHID

Amjed Parvez & Zulfiqar Ali Department of Agri. Entomology, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad

Studies on the varietal resistance of wheat against wheat aphid were conducted during 1998-99. The experimental material consisted of 20 cultivars/genotypes of wheat including a susceptible check line. Mean population density per tiller of the wheat aphid was 1,87, 3.68, 4.01, 4.88, 4.94, 5.14, 6.40, 6.41, 6.58. 6.81, 7.27, 7.32. 7.5IJ. 8.19. 8.30. 8.36. 8.41, 10.19, 11.22 and 16.17 on wheat cultivars CHILIEUC, BAUIPRL/VEE#5, PAT IO/ALO. IL-75-2264. TANIEUCIPVN, Cont. Inq-91, ATTILA, TANIPEW/SARA, CHILIWUH3. IRENA, PSN/6CW, CHIL/CHUM-Ilt BJY/CCC. SERI/CEPEO-120.CHILIPRL.PRINA, TURACC/CHIL, MCN/IMU, GAAIPRL and CAR 422/ANA respectively. The maximum population of aphid (31.10) appeared during 3rd week of March on PSN/6CW, whereas minimum was 5.11 on II, #75-2264.

Key words: varietal resistance, wheat aphid

INTRODUCTION

Wheat aphid is an important pest of wheat crop in Pakistan (Mohyuddin, 1981) which affects the yield considerably (Hinz and Daeber, 1976; Wratter and Redhead, 1976; Mosaad et al., 1992 and Montandon et al., 1993). The infestation level of aphid population has been noticed on different cultivars of wheat (Singh et al., 1972; Castro, 1980 and Montandon et al., 1993). Hence it was thought advisable to screen out wheat varieties possessing resistance against aphid;

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Studies on varietal resistance of wheat against wheat aphid were conducted at the Experimental Farm, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad during 1998-99. The experimental material consisted of 20 varieties/genotypes of wheat including one susceptible check line. The experiment was laid out in randomized complete block design with 3 replications using a net plot size of 0.6 x 5m. Nine tillers were selected randomly and aphid population was counted at weekly interval from these tillers. The data were subjected to statistical analysis.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The infestation of aphid population started in the first week of February on all the lines/genotypes of wheat and reached its peak level during the 3rd week of March. Thereafter the aphid population decreased. The most susceptible cultivar was found to be CAR 422/ANA (16.17 aphid). The. comparatively resistant (less susceptible/palatable)cultivarswere TANIEUCIPVN (4.49), IL-75-2·264(4.88), PAT 1O/ALO (4.00), BAUIPRLIVEE#5 (3.68) and CHILIEUC (1.87).The cultivars TURACC/CHIL (8.41), PRINA (8.36), CHILIPRL (8.30), (7.59),SERIICEPEO 120 (8.19),BJY/CCC CHILICHUM 18 (7.32), PSN/6CW (7.27), IRENA (6.81), CHILIWUH-3(6.58), TANIPEW/SARA(6.41), ATIILA (6.40) and control Inq-91 (5.14) displayed moderate resistance to aphids. Only two cultivars such as GAAlPRL and MCNIIMU were found to be moderately susceptible to aphids.

The data given in Table I showed that the population of aphids varied to a great deal and their number increased significantly with the passage of time, which reached the maximum level in each test line during the 3rd week of March. Aphids mainly attacked the leaves during the test period (Feb-April). However, the population of aphids was also observed on head (spikes) during the 3rd week of March. The infestation of aphids also concentrated on the stem of wheat plant during this period. As far as the incidence level of all the species of aphids on the tested cultivars is concerned, very little relevant information was available in literature. However, Singh et al. (1972) found a relatively denser population of Nipobion avenue on other genotypes which were not immune to this aphid. Similarly, Mosaad et al. (1992) evaluated the wheat crop and compared the damage rating. They also, reported a peak aphid population on wheat during 3rd week of March. Assaul and Peresypkina (1975) observed the incidence of aphid on leaves, leaf scales and rarely on ears during February. However, Sus (1978) observed the aphids on stem too, whereas Dyadechko and Ruban (11J75) reported that aphids appeared normally at the ear formation stage and also had a considerable increase in population during this stage. Grigorov (1976) observed the increase of aphid species on ears during March-April, The present studies showed that emergence of wheat aphid varied on tested cultivars of wheat throughout the season (Feb-April). The statistical analysis showed that all the 20 genotypes exhibited significant differences from each other during the test period and the peak aphid population on wheat was witnessed during the 3rd week' of March.

Table 1. Aphid population on various lines/genotypes of wheat

Varietics/genotypes Feb. 9,1999 Feb. 16,1999 Feb. 23,1999 March 2,1999 And 11 % a			2	Tean aphid por	Mean aphid population per tiller	ler		
	or with dearen				•	0000	0001 30 1	A 1 1000
1.11 % a 11.78 a 11.19 ⊕ 1 % b 1.1 .			Feb. 23,1999	March 2,1999	March II, 188	March 18,1999	March 25,1999	April 1,1777
O 6.78 ab 4.11 def 2.00 ob 2.00 ob 2.23 1			~ II)	1. ⁻ ; ≡ }	2.22 cde	27.33 efg	14.67 cdef	pu =-T:
1.0		_			1.78 ghi	15.11 hij	13.67 ij	12.67 gh
### 3.56 a 0.17 limin 1.3 min 1			Z. 10 04. ∑	;;;; × N	1.70 bc	6.78 cde	3.33 cde	3.67 c
W/SARA 8.67 a 7.36 3 9.89 c 1 18 H ± IC/PVN 3.33 defg 1.67 defg 1.11 t± 100 a 3.89 defg 3.22 b 0.78 st² ₹22 cd 7.11 bc 7.22 b 2.56 de C/CHIL ₹22 cd 7.11 bc 7.22 b 2.56 de C/CHIL ₹22 cd 7.78 b 7.67 c 3.60 cd RL 1 ±5 cd 1.78 de 1.67 cde 3.56 fghij HUM Is 7.56 t 1.78 de 1.67 b 1.67 b CW ₹.11 defgh 3 to t 3.00 fs 5.22 cfg 22/ANA 1 ±5 cd 0.56 cd 2.01 f 5.22 cfg 24 3.89 def ± 77 t 4.11 defg 1.44 de RL/VEE#5 1.55 gh 4.11 def 1.22 cdefg 3.00 cfgh 3C 5.22 cd ₹11 de 2.67 cd 3.00 cfgh 3C 5.22 cd ₹11 de 5.20 cd 5.20 cc 3C 5.22 cd 5.11 gh 6.41 gh 6.41 gh </td <td>R</td> <td></td> <td>3.56 a</td> <td><u>∺</u>į≷: Ł≀ 0</td> <td>6.44 ghi</td> <td>:E'</td> <td>15.11 fghi</td> <td>15.22 efg</td>	R		3.56 a	<u>∺</u> į≷: Ł≀ 0	6.44 ghi	:E'	15.11 fghi	15.22 efg
CCPVN 3.33 defg 167 iii 2.22 b 2.22 cd 7.11 bc 7.22 b 7.22 b 7.22 cd 7.22 b 7.22 cd 7.25 cd			9.89 c		1.70 b	.а -т л	8.44 hij	6.89 defg
2.CCHIL		fg.	4.67 cdefg	;;;; =	2.44 cd	8.44 bcd	6.22 c	3.69 defg
C/CHIL			3.22 b) iii 00 r- O	1.89 cdef	0\ 00 1"h	17.33 fghi	7.89 def
C/CHIL 5.35 11 15 6 6 7.78 b 7.67 c RL HUM IS 7.35 1 3.78 de 4.67 cde HUM IS 7.35 1 3.78 cdefg CW)U THE P			2.56 de	1.67 a		9.11 cd	9.33 defg
1 = 5			7.67 c	3.60 cd	2.89 ghi	17.56 abc	.c: ~ II) M	8.44 cd
M IS 7.56 \ 2.178 \ \tau \ 3.78 \text{ cdefg} T.16 \text{ defgh} \ 3.00 \ \text{ COO 15} ANA \ \text{ List cde} \ \text{ OO7} \ \text{ OO7} \ \text{ O.56 \text{ cd}} 1.56 \text{ gh} \ \ \text{ CAT} \ \text{ L.11 \text{ cd}} YEE#S \ \text{ 89 C \ 2.44 \ 1.17 \ defg} 1.22 \text{ gh} \ \text{ L.11 \text{ defg}} 5.22 \ \text{ cd} \ \text{ Zi.44 \ 1.17 \ defg} 8.22 \ \text{ cd} \ \text{ Zi.44 \ 1.17 \ defg} 8.22 \ \text{ cd} \ \text{ Zi.44 \ 1.17 \ defg} 8.22 \ \text{ cd} \ \text{ Zi.17 \ defg} 8.22 \ \text{ cd} \ \text{ Zi.17 \ defg} 9.11 \ \text{ Bh}			4.67 cde	3.56 fghij	1.00 fgh	25.00 cde	, P Z Z	12.67 def
X.11 defgh 3 10 1 5.00 % 1.56 gh 1.78 cd 4.11 cd 3.89 def ± 17 2 4.11 defg 5 289 cl 2.44 lf 2.67 cd 1.22 gh 4.11 de 4.22 cdefg 5.22 cd ⊠11 efg ⊠11 % 3.00 cfgh ±.00 dcf 0.41 gh	00 Z		3.78 cdefg	1.67 b	1.11 defgh	19.11 cde	~ ~ U S	9.22 cde
NA			<u></u> с:	5.22 efg	1.33	19.44 a	12.22 a	e<3 O\ O
1.56 gh	NA		0.56 cd	S	24.67 efgh	M	33.56 j	0\ 00 N
3.89 def = 1.7 \ 4.11 defg VEE#5 \ \cdot \geq \cdot \frac{2.44}{2.44} \cdot \cdot \frac{2.44}{2.44} \cdot \cdot \frac{2.67}{2.44} \cdot \cdot \frac{2.67}{2.44} \cdot \cdot \frac{2.67}{2.44} \cdot \cdot \frac{2.67}{2.44} \cdot \cdot \frac{2.47}{2.44} \cdot \cdot \frac{2.67}{2.44} \cdot \cdot \frac{2.44}{2.44} \cdot			4.11 cd	0.33 ghijk	1.22 defgh	1.11 ghi	0.67 ghi	.: ZZ :i
VEE#5 189 € 2.44 16 2.67 cd 1.22 gh 4.11 de 4.22 cdefg 5.22 cd ⊠II efg ⊠II ₺ 43 3.00 efgh 4.00 def 0.41 gh			4.11 defg	1.44 bc	1.33 cde	10.44 ij	6.67 ij	c: ~,J:) \O Ir, en
1.22 gh 4.11 de 4.22 cdefg 5.22 cd ⊠II efg ☑ II ₺ 3.00 cfgh 1.00 dcf 0.41 gh	VEE#5		2.67 cd	1.44 de	1.78 hi	5.11 ab	3.78 b	M. ₩.
5.22 cd			4.22 cdefg	3.00 efgh	c: ~~ ~ 000	26.78 cde	26.44 cde	EE. cde
3.00 efgh 4.00 def 0.41 gh					~ ~ ~ ~ I	19.00 efg	15.56 defgh	.33 cde
		ء	0.41 gh	6.33 bc	·g	15.89 ghi		10.89 cfg
2.88 ii² 2.88 ii²			+,6 ≥ fgh	2.88	्रा मुख्	8.44 hij	2'	8.67 fgh

REmtENCES Assaul, D.B. and T.H. Peresypkina. 1975. The cereal aphid

on winter wheat, Zast Rost. 12:51:

Castm, G.D. 1980. Evaluation of wheat varieties to determine their resistance to aphids in E.l. Bajio. Agti. Tech. en

Mexico. 3(5): 176-177 Dyadecl\ko. N.P. and M.B. Ruban. 1975. The harmfulness

of cereal aphids. Zash Rast, 12: 17-18.

Grigoro\!, S. 1976. Study on the biology of Alacrosiphum avenae (F.) (Homoptera: Aphididae) Rastit

Nauk. 4: 67-81. Hinz, B. and F. Daeber. 1976. Yield formation in winter wheat varieties as influenced by the English grain aphid. Macrosiphum (.Sitobion) avenae (F.) Arch. Fur Phytopath.

Pflanz, 12: 111-116. Mohyuddin. A.l. 1981. A review of biological control in Pakistan. Proc. 2nd Pak. Congo Zool.: Lahore: 31-79.

Montandon, R., J.E. Slosser, W.A. Frank. 1993. Factors reducing the pest status of the Russian wheat aphid (Homopetra: Aphididae) on wheat in the rolling plains of Texas. 1. Eco. Entomol. 86(3): 899-IJ()5.

Mosaad. M.S.. A.A. Shaft and RH. Miller. 191J2. Aphid infestation and damage in Egypt. A Cameau. KM Makkouk.

Aleppo. Syria: ICARDA: 139-146.

Singh, CD. O.P. Katiyar, A.R. Reddy and S.P. Mukharji. 19~2. Incidence of Macrosiphum (Si/ohion) avenae (Aphididae: Homoptera) on certain germplasms of wheat of Varanask. Sci. Cult. 38: 207-208.

Sus. L. 1978. Survey of the insects injurious to wheat and maize and pest control experiments in IlJ77. Infonn.

Phytopath. 28(4): 15-17·

Wratter, S.D. and P.e. Redhead. 1976. Effects of cereal aphids on the growth of wheat. Ann. App. Biol. 84: 433440 .