

## INFLUENCE OF NOILS UPON COTTON YARN QUALITY

Nisar Ahmed Jamil & Nasir Mahmood

Department of Fibre Technology, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad

Different proportions of short fibres extracted from comber noils were blended with cotton variety MNH-93. The effect on yarn number, yarn strength and yarn evenness for 22'S was found to be significant.

Key words: cotton yarn, noils

### INTRODUCTION

In addition to raw cotton, Pakistan exports a bulk of its waste, which fetches low price as compared to the export of cotton yarn. The wastes such as blow room droppings, taker-in, card flat strips and comber noils extracted at preparatory stages of cotton spinning are sold as such. There are a few waste plants in operation in this country which utilize a small quantity of cotton waste mechanically, leaving a considerable quantity of cotton waste to be utilized by hand spinners and upholstery makers who spin coarse yarn. Obviously, the yarn spun from such material is poor in quality. Rapid increase in the cost of raw material affects the cost of manufactured yarn. It is, therefore, suggested that some appropriate measures should be taken to reduce the cost of yarn production by using considerable quantity of waste, while keeping in view the acceptability of the quality of yarn.

Booth (1983) stated that strength of fibres is generally regarded as an important criterion of quality. Wulforth and Friebel (1986) reported that the quality of yarn is characterized by various textile physical parameters such as strength, count and evenness, of which the most fluctuating characteristic is yarn number. Basit (1990) reported that differences in yarn number for different cotton/noil blends were found to be highly significant. Sheikh (1991) observed the yarn lea-strength of Pakistani cotton for the count 20'S as 107 lb.

### MATERIAL AND METHODS

This study was conducted in the Crescent Textile Mills Ltd., Faisalabad. MNH-93 cotton variety having 4.2 microgram per inch fineness, 27.50 mm staple length, 50.90% uniformity ratio, 88.50 thousand pounds per square inch strength and 88% maturity was used for this study. Waste extracted at comber noils consisting of short fibres was blended with cotton in different ratios such as T<sub>0</sub> = cotton, 'PI = 93.75% cotton + 6.25% noils, T<sub>2</sub> = 87.50% cotton +

12.50% noils, T<sub>3</sub> = +81.25% cotton 18.75% noils, T<sub>4</sub> = 75% cotton +25% noils and T<sub>5</sub> = 68.75% cotton +31.25 noils at draw frame. Yarn of 22'S was prepared on ring frame.

Yarn count was determined according to the method approved by ASTM (1968a) with the help of Uster Auto Sorter, which monitors direct reading with coefficient of variation. Yarn strength expressed in terms of lea-strength was determined on pendulum Type Tester by skein method as suggested by ASTM (1968b). Yarn unevenness was evaluated on Uster Evenness Tester Model UT-III according to its operational manual by Uster Zellweger Ltd. Completely randomized design was applied in the analysis of variance for testing the various quality characters. Tests of significance were made both at 1 and 5% levels of significance for interpretation of results. Duncan's new multiple range test was also applied for individual comparison of means as suggested by Steel and Torrie (1984).

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Yarn Number: The analysis of variance of the data of yarn count (Ne) for different cotton/short fibre blends and individual comparison of means is shown in Table 1. This table shows that differences among the mean values for blends due to both sources of variation i.e. count and treatments were highly significant. The comparison of individual mean values shows the highest count value for T<sub>5</sub> followed by T<sub>4</sub>, T<sub>3</sub>, T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>0</sub> and T<sub>1</sub> with their mean values as 23.63, 23.53, 23.19, 22.85, 22.57 and 22.49, respectively. The lowest variation in count value was recorded for T<sub>1</sub>, being the optimum value.

The relationship between short fibres percent addition to cotton variety MNH-93 and yarn number is represented by regression equation  $Y = 22.43 + 0.036 X$  (where Y = yarn number, X = short fibre percentage added). The regression equation shows that with each unit increase in the short fibre percentage in the material, yarn count would increase by 0.036. The present results agree with

Table 1. Analysis of variance for different yarn characteristics

S.O.V.	d.f.	Yarn number (22's)		Yarn strength		Yarn unevenness	
		M.S.	F.ratio	M.S.	F.ratio	M.S.	F.ratio
Treatment	5	1.88	15.79**	1221.99	166.63**	50.41	218.50**
Error	54	0.12		7.33		0.23	

\*\* Highly significant ( $P < 0.01$ ).

Table 2. Comparison of means for different yarn characteristics

Treatment	Yarn number (22's)	Yarn strength (lb)	Yarn unevenness (%)
To	22.57 cd	100.90 a	12.05 f
T1	22.49 d	97.90 a	13.17 e
T2	22.85 c	89.50 c	14.25 d
Ta	23.19 b	81.80 d	15.02 c
T4	23.53 a	80.50 d	16.74 b
Ts	23.63 a	71.70 e	18.11
CV(%)	1.50	3.11	3.23

Means for any characteristic with different alphabets differ significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ).

various textile physical parameters, among which the important criterion is the fluctuation in yarn count.

**Yarn Strength:** The analysis of variance of data relating to lea-strength and resulting from different blending ratios of noils with cotton and their individual comparison of means is shown in Table 2. It is indicated that differences in mean values due to addition of different percentages of short fibres were highly significant. The highest yarn strength was recorded for control sample (To) followed by T1, T2, Ta, T4 and Ts with mean values as 100.50, 97.90, 89.50, 81.80, 80.50 and 71.70 lb respectively.

The results indicate that lea-strength decreases with each progressive addition of short fibres to cotton. The regression equation  $Y = 101.53 - 0.49 X$  was computed (where  $X$  = short fibre addition,  $Y$  = yarn strength) which shows that with unit change in short fibre content, the yarn strength changes in opposite direction. Optimum results were obtained for control sample (To). These findings partially agree with the findings of Rusca (1970) who stated that short fibres could contribute little to strength. Further, in present study, under no circumstances the blend of short fibres could give comparable results with that of pure cotton, having no short fibres in it.

**Yarn Unevenness:** The analysis of the data related to yarn unevenness is shown in Table 2. Highly significant differences exist among samples having different percentages of short fibre blends. Comparison of individual mean values shows the

lowest value for control sample (To) followed by T1, T2, Ta, T4 and Ts. Different level blends of short fibres varying affected yarn evenness. The higher the proportion of short fibre blend, the lesser was the yarn evenness. Pertinent values were 12.05, 13.17, 14.25, 16.74, 18.11% against T1, T2, Ta, T4 and Ts short fibres blended at draw frame respectively. The regression equation  $Y = 11.91 + 0.19 X$  (where  $Y$  = yarn unevenness percentage and  $X$  = short fibre blend percentage) showed that each unit change in short fibre blend causes 0.19% unevenness in yarn. The present results conform to those of Sethi (1960) who described that although both longer and shorter fibres contributed to the staple irregularity of cotton but short fibres cause evident losses and produced unevenness in yarn. The results of the present study indicated that quality of yarn spun is degraded consistently with progressive increase of short fibres in it. However, to bring the cost of yarn down and to produce a yarn of acceptable quality not more than 12% short fibre noils should be blended:

#### REFERENCES

- ASTM. 1968a. Standard Method of Yarn Number, ASTM Designation D. 1907-67. Amer. Soc. for Testing Material, Part 33, Philadelphia, USA.
- ASTM. 1968b. Tentative Method of Test for Yarn Strength (skein method), ASTM Designation D. 1578-87. Amer. Soc. For Testing Materials, Philadelphia, USA.
- Basit, A. 1990. Effect of noils addition on yarn quality. M.sc. Thesis, Univ. Agri., Faisalabad.

# Influence of noils upon cotton yarn quality

- Booth, J.E. 1983. Principles of Textile Testing and Quality Control. Butterworth, London.
- Rusca, R.A. 1970. Cotton fibre properties. Southern Regional Laboratories, New Orleans. Cotton Growing Review.
- Sethi, B.L. 1960. Cotton in India: A monograph. Indian Central Cotton Committee, Bombay, 1:52.
- Sheikh, H.R. 1991. Tensile Strength. Pakistan Textile Journal, 46(2): 12-19.
- Steel, R.G.D. and J.H. Torrie. 1984. Principles and Procedures of Statistics. McGraw Hill Book Co. Inc., Singapore.
- Wtilfhorst, B. and W. FriebeL. 1986. Significance of sliver uniformity for yarn quality. World Textile Abstracts, 18(23).