## SOLUBILIIY OF DIFFERENT GYPSUM SIZE-GRADES IN SYNTHETIC SALINE-SODIC \VATERS

A. Ghafoor & M. Zubalr

Department of Soil Science,

University of Agriculture, Faisalabad

In a laboratory experiment, different size-grades of gypsum were shaken mechanically in the synthetic saline-sodic waters in order to monitor their dissolution. The results reflected significantly higher dissolution of finer gypsum size-grades, range being 24 to 25 me L-l of Ca + Mg. The dissolution was also statistically improved with increasing the water SAR and EC levels.

## INTRODUCTION

For reclaiming calcareous sodic and saline-sodic soils, various amendments like gypsum, calcium chloride, hydrochloric acid and sulphuric acid can be used. These amendments either change insoluble soil calcium to soluble form or supply calcium directly to replace the adsorbed sodium from sodic and saline-sodic soils. Out of all these amendments, gypsum is the most commonly used one due to its cheap availability in Pakistan (Ghafoor et al., 1985 a, b).

The fineness to which gypsum must be ground is a matter of economic consideration. Grinding to a very fine size entails higher cost, though it will give higher availability of soluble calcium to replace the exchangeable sodium. Simultaneously, the higher sodium adsorption ratio (SAR) of sodium-problem soils as well as of irrigation waters may increase the solubility of gypsum due to decreased activity coefficient (Bohn et al., 1985) which is the only its demerit.

The present study was planned to see the effects of different levels of water SAR

| -100 mesh « <b>0</b> .16 mm diameter) | Fraction passed through 100 mesh sieve.                               |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| 60-100 mesh (0.27 - 0.16 mm diameter) | Fraction passed through 60 mesh sieve but retained by 100 mesh sieve. |
| 25-60 mesh (0.64 - 0.27 mm diameter)  | Fraction passed through 25 mesh sieve but retained by 60 mesh sieve.  |
| 16-25 mesh (1.00 - 0.64 mm diameter)  | Fraction passed through 16 mesh but retained by 25 mesh sieve.        |
| 5-16 mesh (3.20 - 1.00 mm* diameter)  | Fraction passed through 5 mesh sieve but retained by 16 mesh sieve.   |

<sup>&</sup>quot;mm (millimeter) = 16/mesh

point (V.S. Salinity Laboratory Staff, 1954). All the determinations were made in triplicate.

may be due to dominant effect of Na which tended to lower the activity coefficient of Ca and *S04* (Bohn *et al.*, 1985). However, this

Table 1. Salts (me L·I) to prepare a synthetic water or EC = 1 dS m-I with SAR = 12 and Ca:Mg ratio or 4:1

|              | Na₂SO₄ | NaCI | CaCh.2H₂O | MgS04.7H <sub>2</sub> O | Total<br>me L-l |
|--------------|--------|------|-----------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| Ca           | -      | -    | 0.88      | -                       | 0.88            |
| Mg           | -      | -    | -         | 0.22                    | 0.22            |
| Na           | 4.78   | 4.12 | -         | <b>-</b>                | 8.90            |
| Cl           | -      | 4.12 | 0.88      | -                       | 5.00            |
| S04          | 4.78   | -    | -         | 0.22                    | 5.00            |
| Total me L-l | 4.78   | 4.12 | 0.88      | 0.22                    | -               |

Statistical analysis: The data collected were then subjected to statistical analysis by ANOVA technique. The treatment differences were tested according to DMR test (Steel and Torrie, 1980).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The dissolution of gypsum was the lowest (17.39 me L-l) in canal water (control) which increased to the highest value (26.16 me L-l) in solution having EC 0.7 dS m! with SAR 5.2 (Table 3). Beyond this synthetic solution concentration, the dissolution decreased to 25.37 me L-l in solution having EC 4.0 aS m-I with SAR 28.8. In the solutions having EC 8.2 with SAR 43.5 and EC 12.2 with SAR 61.4, the dissolution of gypsum again gradually increased to 25.50 and 25.76 me L-t, respectively. The decreased dissolution might be due to common ion effects of Ca and S04 as well as due to low solubility product constant of gypsum, 6 x 10,5 at 25° C (V.S. Salinity Laboratory Staff, 1954; Bresler et al., 1982). The increased dissolution in higher salinity/sodicity

aspect still needs studies at higher SAR with varying EC levels.

Table 2. Designed and obtained SAR and EC (dS m·l) levels for the synthetic waters

| Des  | igned | Obtai | ned  |
|------|-------|-------|------|
| EC   | SAR   | EC    | SAR  |
| 0.6  | 6     | 0.7   | 5.2  |
| 1.0  | 12    | 1.1   | 11.0 |
| 2.0  | 18    | 2.2   | 18.8 |
| 4.0  | 30    | 4.0   | 28.8 |
| 8.0  | 45    | 8.2   | 43.3 |
| 12.0 | 60    | 12.2  | 61.4 |

The Ca + Mg concentration was lower (24,59 me L,t) for the 5-16 mesh particle size which increased to 24.68 me L-l for 16-25 mesh size-grade (Table 3). Further decrease in particle size significantly decreased the dissolution to 24.37 me L-t for 60-100 mesh powder. However, Ca + Mg concentration again increased to a statisti-

Table 3. OままさごののはWpsum size-grades (me L'1 ot L: + N ユョョニ と : も iv EC and SAR of 7 1/2: ) waters

| Size-grade | Size-grade Comed | EC 0.7                                 | EC 1.1                       | EC 2.2                                     | EC 4.0                        | EC 8.2                  | EC 12.2                               | Mean                         |
|------------|------------------|--|------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| (Nesn)     |                  | 3AK 3.2                                | SAK 11.0                     | SAK 18.8                                   | SAK 28.8                      | SAK 45.5                | SAK 61.4                              |                              |
| 5-16       | 17.48 1          | Sp. Sp. Sp. No.                        | -98<br>ft",<br>Ci<br>NN      | I,)<br>vii<br>o::<br>tri<br>N              | Î'n                           | 25.22 jk                | 0.0°.₹ <b>!:</b> ′¤                   | ر ~ ا                        |
| 16-25      | 17.36 1          | (j                                     | ),<br>('I<br>('S<br>)<br>NNN | r,0<br>:=f=<br>:>><br>:7;<br>('            | a:a<br>f)<br>ff)<br>in<br>NNN | 25.79 dh                | o,o,√ Irl<br>N                        | ~ U<br>0.:::<br>0.:::<br>1NN |
| 25-60      | 17.44 [          | 'V<br>₽                                | ;l-t<br>\c<br>In             | 'V" \(\o\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\ | Ī'n                           | 25.22 jk                | и <b>п</b> гоп                        | ~                            |
| 60-100     | 17.28 1          | ~<br>©<br>Ç⁵<br>",İn                   | i~<br>ı ('!<br>t.n           | J <u>5</u><br>'n<br>≥ <b>in</b><br>N       | Î'n                           | 25.50 fk                | to                                    | \<br>1 1\'<br><').           |
| -100       | 17.37 1          | ************************************** | · ∼t<br>o<br>o<br>©          | <br>Q,,<br>O,,~<br>\Q<br>N                 | cu<br>o<br>tn                 | : §<br>o. ·<br>r-<br>~~ | ~<br>14-<br>) <b>01</b><br>"()<br>NNV | -\c                          |
| Mean       | 17.39 D          | ~<br>\0.00<br>\.                       | 0<br>0q<br>1 <i>n</i> 2      | to<br>~1<br>In<br>N                        | ۲۰<br>In                      | u<br>o""<br>''>>>       | to<br>(r)                             | •                            |
| % increase |                  | ď                                      | <u> </u>                     | *\t  | o,,<br>1n                     | , <b>.</b> †            | ° <b>∑</b> `                          |                              |
| -5<br>t    |                  |  |                              |  |                               |                         | . <b>-</b>                            |                              |
| e<br>Eou   |                  |  |                              |  |                               |                         |                                       |                              |
|            |                  |  |                              |  |                               |                         |                                       |                              |

Figures followed by the same letter(s) are not statistically different at P = 0.05%. However, for simplicity only the first and last letter has been retained to indicate the significance e.g. ad is actually abod.

Treated - Control

Coleable ower comes = ------ x for Control

cally the highest value of 24.76 me L-l for the -100 mesh size-grade. According physical laws, finer particles have higher surface area and hence because of more contact between solid and solvent, higher dissolution is expected. But the higher dissolution for 5-16 and 16-25 mesh particles than that of the 25-60 and 60-100 mesh sizegrades appears to be due to hammering effect of particles during shaking. The larger particles would have collided with other with a greater force than the finer ones. This collision might have caused mechanical disintegration of coarser particles. Hira and Singh (1980) noted higher efficiency of finer gypsum grades for sodic soil reclamation through releasing sufficient Ca for Na-Ca exchange. However, Chawla and Abrol (1980) reported higher hydraulic conductivity (HC) with coarser than that with finer gypsum particles. This sustained higher HC might be due to physical presence of gypsum particles which tended to keep the soil lose.

The interactive effects of gypsum size and solute concentration in waters on its dissolution arc statistically significant. Higher EC and SAR caused more dissolution which increased further with gypsum fineness. The results arc very similar to those reported by Rhoadcs (1982) from his soil reclamation experiments.

The results help opine that for soils and water of higher sodicity (SAR), coarser gypsum particles may release sufficient Ca to sustain the Na-Ca exchange and He. This may particularly be true for Pakistan soils where Na-Ca exchange is slower (Bear, 1964) partially because of illite type clays dominance (Ranjha, 1988) in soils.

## REFERENCES

Bear, F.E. 1964. Chemistry of the Soil (2nd Ed). Reinhold Pub. Co. NY, USA.. pp: 380-384.

- Bohn, H.L., B.L., McNeal and CA. O'Connor. 1985. Soil Chemistry (2nd Ed). A Wiley Interscience Pub., NY, USA
- Bresler, E., B.L. McNeal and D.L. Carter. 1982. Saline and Sodic Soils: Principles-Dynamics-Modelling. Springer- Verlage, NY, USA..
- Chawla, K.L. and LP. Abrol. 1980. The fineness of gypsum and the reclamation of sodic soils. p. 339-347. In: Proc. Int. Symp. on Salt-Affected Soils. February 18-21, 1980, Karnal, India.
- Ghafoor, A, S. Muharnmed and A Rauf.. 1985 a. Field studies on the reclamation of Gandhra saline-sodic soil. Pak. J. Agri. Sci. 22: 154-163.
- Ghafoor, A, S. Muharnmed and N. Ahmad, 1985 b. Reclamation of Khurrianwala saline-sodic soil. Bull., Pak. Council of Res. in Water Resources 15: 23-28.
- Hira, G.S. and N.T. Singh. 1980. Irrigation water requirement for dissolution of gypsum in sodic soils. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 44: 930-933.
- Ranjha, A.M. 1988. Morphological and chemical properties of some soils of Pakistan. Ph.D. Dissertation, Dept. Soil Sci., Univ. Agri., Faisalabad,
- Rhoadcs, J.D. 1982. Realamation and management of salt-affected soil after drainage. p. 123-197. In: Proc. Soil and Water Management Seminar. November 29 December 2, 1982, Canada.
- Steel, R.G.D. and J.H. Torrie, 1980. Principles and Procedures of Statistics (2nd Ed). McGraw Hill Book Co. Inc., NY, USA., pp. 187-188.
- U.S. Salinity Laboratory Staff., 1954. Diagnosis and Improvement of Saline and Alkali Soils. USDA Handb, 60, Washington D.e., USA.,