

## Interest Rate Risk Management by Financial Engineering in Pakistani Non-Financial Firms

Taqadus Bashir<sup>\*</sup>, Kanwal Iqbal Khan<sup>†</sup>, Shujaat Khalid<sup>‡</sup>  
and Saman Javed<sup>§</sup>

### Abstract

*The study aimed to investigate firm decisions of using interest rate derivatives and factors affecting this decision. Study is conducted by selecting data of 191 non-financial sector companies listed on PSX from 2010 to 2015. Logit model was employed to detect contribution magnitude of foreign sales, profitability, leverage, liquidity, price to earnings, interest coverage ratio and dividend payout towards decisions by firm of using the interest rate derivatives. The expected users of interest rate derivatives for purpose of interest rate exposure management were the firms with high foreign sales, lesser leverage, low profits, low dividend payout ratio and low interest coverage ratio. The examination concludes that these derivatives are financial engineering tools and serve as immunization instruments for a firm from anticipated future financial risk.*

**Keywords:** Risk management, Interest rate derivatives, Foreign sales, Hedging, Panel logit model

### Introduction

In past few decades, various businesses expanded worldwide because of development in financial reforms and growing globalization (Donohoe, 2015). Factors such as economic liberalization, elimination of free trade blocks, relaxing restrictions on wealth and economic movement supported the evolution of worldwide commerce (Giambona, Graham, Harvey, & Bodnar, 2018). On one side, company's profitability rapidly increases after entry in international market and at same time companies face numerous financial risks leading to increased uncertainty in cash flows (Khan, Khan, Mahmood & Sheeraz, 2019). Businesses, therefore, shifted their focus on risk controlling strategies in order to deal with this

---

<sup>\*</sup>Taqadus Bashir, Department of Business Studies, Bahria University, Islamabad, Pakistan. Email: [doc.tbc.tabs@gmail.com](mailto:doc.tbc.tabs@gmail.com)

<sup>†</sup>Kanwal Iqbal Khan, Institute of Business & Management, University of Engineering & Technology, Pakistan.

<sup>‡</sup>Shujaat Khalid, Department of Management Sciences, Bahria University, Islamabad, Pakistan.

<sup>§</sup>Saman Javed, Department of Business Studies, Bahria University, Islamabad, Pakistan.

cash flows uncertainty resulting from unexpected variations in interest rate exposure (Lundqvist & Vilhelmsson, 2018).

Circumstances like the financial crunch of 90's and mega financial disaster in US in 2007 (U.S. Department of the Treasury, 2012) not only triggered but amplified interest rate exposure for businesses in general, and international traders in particular. Furthermore, factors such as political and economic instability played a vital role in making currency movements extra subtle emerging from exposure of interest rates thus increasing trade of interest rate derivatives in Asian countries. ISDA (2018) reported that practice of using derivatives for interest rate risk management has increased substantially from \$69.2 Trillion in 2001 to \$563 Trillion in 2014 signifying a dramatic hike of 813% overall.

The enormous increase in usage of interest rate derivatives has encouraged investigators to study after effects emerging from usage of interest rate derivatives for managing the risk to equip with interest rate volatility (Geyer-Klingenberg, Hang, & Rathgeber, 2019). Current research analyzes the elements affecting the usage of interest rate derivatives as company's risk management strategy by incorporating data from non-financial sector of 191 companies for the period 2010 to 2015 listed at PSX. Pakistan being a developing country is exposed to higher political and economic uncertainty in comparison to developed countries, and thereby are more susceptible towards derivatives usage for hedging interest rate volatility. Also, it has been observed that Pakistani Financial Institutions are less conscious of the paybacks of the derivatives and more cautious to use them, even though they face high-interest rate exposure, high market instability and transaction cost (Bashir, Sultan & Jghef, 2013). The study aims to analyze contribution by magnitude of foreign sales, profitability, leverage, liquidity, price to earnings and dividend payout influence upon the usage of risk hedging financial instruments. Current investigation will guide to non-financial firms that they can minimize their interest rate exposure by using interest rate derivative instruments (Bashir, Sultan & Jghef, 2013).

### **Literature Review**

Risk minimization by portfolio diversification is an established concept where investors combine variety of assets, stocks, securities etc into the portfolio. Perfect market situations explained by Modigliani and Miller (1958) suggested zero contribution by derivatives in risk minimization. Markowitz (1952) notion prevailed for diversifiable risk and later studies suggested that operating features such as managerial holdings, liquidity constraints, growth opportunities, tax convexity and

higher financial distress cost, are capable of increasing firm value by using hedging techniques in an optimal way, (Smith & Stulz, 1985; Donohoe, 2015; Muneer, 2015).

Few studies found that firm's value can be enhanced by usage of financial derivatives in partially imperfect markets. Afza and Alam (2011) found that firm with high foreign sales have high tendency of using foreign exchange derivatives to reduce exchange rate exposure. Moreover, another study concluded that highly financially distressed firms face financial constraints after extensive use of foreign exchange derivatives (Donohoe, 2015).

Froot, Scharfstein and Stein (1993) concluded that organizations having financial constraints but advanced growth opportunities are best suitable to choose derivatives for hedging risk volatility. Positive impact of dividend payout and size but negative impact of leverage and liquidity was found on foreign exchange risk (Muller and Verschoor, 2007).

Faizullah, Azizan and Hui, (2008) also found positive impact of leverage and financial distress cost on firm's choice to buy risk hedging financial instruments. Another study took sample of gold mining firms and found no significant effect and large size firms were found more likely to hedge than small ones. Malaysian firms were found high user of derivatives to face risk exposure arising from volatility in earning per share but detected insignificant relationship with usage of risk hedging financial instruments, signaling that country have an established capital market and the Malaysian corporations are much diversified geographically than the Pakistani corporations. However, significant relationship was detected between Pakistani firm's consumption of risk hedging financial instrument and firms Value. (Adam, 2002; Alam and Afza, 2017; Geyer-Klingeberger et al., 2019).

Buyukkara et al., (2019) found support for financial distress hypothesis of hedging instead of agency cost or investment opportunities hypotheses in Turkish market. Ameer (2010) empirically found that firms with higher foreign sales and growth opportunities were more likely to use derivative with strong inclination towards use of derivative instrument for foreign exchange exposure.

Alam, and Gupta, (2018) reported that firms engaged in hedging practices face lesser decline in their values in comparison to non-hedgers even during the crisis period. Similarly, for USA financial markets, Borokhovich, Brunarski, Crutchley and Simkins (2004) found significant positive impact of debt on consumption of risk hedging financial instruments and firms with higher outside holdings were most probable users derivatives. Haushalter (2000), Mian (1996) and Horng and Wei

(1999) found positive impact on usage of risk hedging financial instruments by the company's debt ratio whereas few other studies found reverse phenomenon (Geczy, Minton & Schrand, 1997). Nance, Smith, & Smithson (1993) detected positive coefficient for tax convexity, growth opportunity and size whereas, Donohoe, (2015), Horng and Wei (1999) and Mian (1996) documented opposite evidence for tax convexity, growth and firm size.

### Research Methodology

#### *Sample and Data*

The data constituted of two clusters, one is users of interest rate hedging financial instruments and others is non-user, to differentiate between consumers and non-consumers in their operating features, and logit model is used to test data of 191 firms from non-financial sector for the period 2010 to 2015, listed at PSX as per availability from their annual reports available online.

#### *Procedure*

Following equation is used to test interest rate hedging financial instrument usage for firm risk immunization:

$$DERIV_{it} = \alpha + \beta_1 DP_{it} + \beta_2 FS_{it} + \beta_3 INCOV_{it} + \beta_4 LEV_{it} + \beta_5 LIQ_{it} + \beta_6 PE_{it} + \beta_7 ROE_{it} + e_{it} \dots (1)$$

Table 1: Description of Variables

Symbols	Variables	Description
DERIV	Interest rate derivative usage	Dummy "1" if firm use interest rate derivative instruments and "0" otherwise.
DP	Dividend Payout	DPS/EPS
FS	Foreign Sales	Log of foreign sales
INCOV	Interest coverage	EBIT/Interest expense
LEV	Debt to Asset ratio	TD/TA
LIQ	Liquidity ratio	CA - inventory /CL
PE	Price Earnings ratio	MPS/EPS
ROE	Return on Equity	Net Income/Total equity

### Analysis and Findings

Logit model is applied to analyze the elements affecting company's choice to use the interest rate derivatives. The signs (+/-) of the coefficient demonstrate the nature of relationship between the possibility of hedging and respective independent variable. Whereas, due to minor change in the independent variable, the multiple of marginal outcome and the extent of minor change in the that variable shows the magnitude of increase or decrease in the likelihood of hedging.

Table 2. Correlation Matrix

Var	DP	INCOV	FS	LEV	LIQ	PE	ROE
DP	1						
INCOV	0.021	1					
FS	0.077	-0.074	1				
LEV	0.094	-0.060	0.861	1			
LIQ	-0.009	0.216	0.126	-0.188	1		
PE	0.936	-0.020	-0.073	-0.075	-0.071	1	
ROE	0.132	0.184	0.314	-0.264	0.277	0.049	1

Table 3. Logit Regression

Variables	Predicted Signs	Coefficient	p-value
DP	-	-0.472	0.0606
FS	+	0.037	0.0017
INCOV	-	0.256	0.0331
LEV	+	0.011	0.0404
LIQ	-	0.235	0.5854
PE	+	-0.195	0.0355
ROE	-	3.644	0.0415

The findings support previous studies and theories for impact of foreign sales, profitability, interest coverage ratio and leverage however findings about liquidity and price to earning signs are contrary to the financial distress theory whereas debt ratio have a positive impact upon firm's probability of using derivative (Nguyen and Faff,2002; Nguyen and Faff,2003; Nance et al., 1993). Therefore, it is concluded that greater debt ratio increases financial distress and leads to increase in cost of hedging, thereby making it unavoidable for a highly leveraged firm to bear greater risk management cost.

Furthermore, negative effect of dividend payout ratio is found on derivative usage ability of firm, again supporting the signalling theory. Companies facing comparatively greater cash flow fluctuations are more likely to reduce dividend payout and thus show a smaller DP ratio at their year-end signaling an economic situation frailty of the firm (Spricic&Sevic, 2012). Most Likely the companies with high foreign sales, high financial distress cost, less debt ratio, DP ratio, CR (ratio) and profits (ROE) are highly susceptible users of interest rate derivatives for managing interest rate volatility faced by them.

### Conclusion

Generally, debate isthat transformation of a state's political & economic situation generate fluctuations in rates of interest, thereby increasing firm risk level leading towards usage of interest rate derivatives by majority companies for risk immunization while maximizing firm wealth. Risk management theories sustain for returns, profits and the financial distress cost but nullified for DP ratio against theory of the hedging, whereas consistent with the signaling theory. The firm's overseas revenue has a positive impact on the firm's choice to use the interest rate hedging financial instruments, regardless of the amateur Pakistani derivative market. By using the interest rate hedging financial instruments the firm have benefit as it borrows money as the lower cost of interest, because of this the firms are easily able to pay the cost of borrowed money in the following years.

### References

- Adam, T. (2002). Do firms use derivatives to reduce their dependence on external capital markets? *European Finance Review*, 6, 163-187.
- Afza, T., & Alam, A. (2011). Corporate derivatives and foreign exchange risk management: A case study of non-financial firms of Pakistan. *The Journal of Risk Finance*, 12(5), 409-420.
- Ameer, R. (2010). Determinants of corporate hedging practices in Malaysia. *International Business Research*, 3(2), 120-130.
- Alam, A., & Afza, T. (2017). Impact of derivative usage on firm's risk and value: A comparative analysis of Pakistan and Malaysia. *Argumenta Oeconomica*, 1 (38), 221-242.
- Alam, N., & Gupta, A. (2018). Does hedging enhance firm value in good and bad times. *International Journal of Accounting & Information Management*, 26(1), 132-152.
- Bashir, H., Sultan, K. & Jghef, O. K. (2013). Impact of Derivatives Usage on Firm Value; Evidence from Non Financial Firms of Pakistan. *Journal of Management Research*. 5(4), 108-127
- Buyukkara, G., Baha Karan, M., Temiz, H., & Yildiz, Y. (2019). Exchange Rate Risk and Corporate Hedging: Evidence from Turkey. *Emerging Markets Finance and Trade*, 55(8), 1737-1753.
- Borokhovich, K. A., Brunarski, K. R., Crutchley, C. E., & Simkins, B. J. (2004). Board composition and corporate use of interest rate derivatives. *Journal of financial Research*, 27(2), 199-216.
- Donohoe, M. P. (2015). The economic effects of financial derivatives on corporate tax avoidance. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 59(1), 1-24.

- Faizullah, M., Azizan, N., & Hui, T. (2008). The Relationship between Hedging Through Forwards, Futures & Swaps and Corporate Capital Structure in Malaysia. *Second Singapore International Conference on Finance (SSIF), Singapore*. Saw Center for Financial Studies and Department of Finance NUS.
- Future of Derivatives Survey. (2018). A Report by International Swap and Derivative Association. <https://www.isda.org/>.
- Froot, K. A., Scharfstein, D. S., & Stein, J. C. (1993). Risk management: Coordinating corporate investment and financing policies. *The Journal of Finance*, 48(5), 1629-1658.
- Gatzert, N., & Martin, M. (2015). Determinants and value of enterprise risk management: Empirical evidence from the literature. *Risk Management and Insurance Review*, 18(1), 29-53.
- Geczy, C., Minton, B., & Schrand, C. (1997). Why firms use currency derivatives, 52(4). *The Journal of Finance*, 1323-1354.
- Geyer-Klingeborg, J., Hang, M., & Rathgeber, A. W. (2019). What drives financial hedging? A meta-regression analysis of corporate hedging determinants. *International Review of Financial Analysis*, 61, 203-221.
- Giambona, E., Graham, J. R., Harvey, C. R., & Bodnar, G. M. (2018). The theory and practice of corporate risk management: Evidence from the field. *Financial Management*, 47(4), 783-832.
- Haushalter, G. (2000). Financing policy, basis risk, and corporate hedging: Evidence from oil and gas producers. *The Journal of Finance*, 55(1), 107-152.
- Hornig, Y. S., & Wei, P. (1999). An empirical study of derivatives use in the REIT industry. *Real Estate Economics*, 27(3), 561-586.
- Khan, M. S., Khan, K. I., Mahmood, S., & Sheeraz, M. (2019). Symmetric and asymmetric volatility clustering Via GARCH family models: An evidence from religion dominant countries. *Paradigms*, 13(1), 20-25.
- Lundqvist, S. A., & Vilhelmsson, A. (2018). Enterprise risk management and default risk: Evidence from the banking industry. *Journal of Risk and Insurance*, 85(1), 127-157.
- Markowitz, H. (1952). Portfolio Selection. *J. Financ.*, 7(1), 77-91.
- Mian, S. (1996). Evidence on corporate hedging policy. *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis*, 31(3), 419-439.
- Modigliani, F., & Miller, M. (1958). The cost of capital, corporation finance and the theory of investment. *American Economic Review*, 48(3), 261-297.
- Muneer, S. (2015). An Interaction Between Financial Practices and Firm Performance with Moderating Effect of Agency Cost in

- Pakistani Corporate Sector. PhD Thesis (Univesiti Teknologi Malaysia).
- Muller, A., & Verschoor, W. F. (2007). Asian foreign exchange risk exposure. *Journal of the Japanese and International Economies*, 21(1), 16-37.
- Nance, D., Smith, C., & Smithson, C. (1993). On the determinants of corporate hedging. *The Journal of Finance*, 48(1), 267-284.
- Nguyen, H., & Faff, R. (2002). On the determinants of derivative usage by Australian companies. *Australian Journal of Management*, 27(1), 1-24.
- Nguyen, H., & Faff, R. (2003). Further evidence on the corporate use of derivatives in Australia: The case of foreign currency and interest rate instruments. *Australian Journal of Management*, 28(3), 307-317.
- Smith, C. W., & Stulz, R. M. (1985). The determinants of firms' hedging policies. *Journal of financial and quantitative analysis*, 20(4), 391-405.
- Sprcic, D. M., & Sevic, Z. (2012). Determinants of corporate hedging decision: Evidence from Croatian and Slovenian companies. *Research in International Business and Finance*, 26(1), 1-25.